# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## III. 2012 Master Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus Master Plan</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Evolution</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Conditions</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Master Plan</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Districts</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acknowledgements</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall Academic Health Center Campus Master Plan
LEGEND

• New Gateway Health Sciences Research Complex
• Integrated Health Sciences Education
• “Walk of Life”
• Cancer Research
• New Wishard Memorial Hospital
• Ball Gardens
• Ball Gardens and Riley Drive Extension
• Vermont Street Housing
• Central Campus Piazza
• Riverfront Park
• Academic Infill and Quads
• Admin / Academic Gateway
• Blackford Street / Cultural Trail
• Indiana Avenue Mixed Use
• Engineering Sciences Quad
• Blake Promenade
• NCAA Expansion
• Mixed Use Garage
• Research Incubator Partnerships
• Neurosciences Research

Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis Campus Master Plan
Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis Campus Master Plan View from West
MASTER PLAN SUMMARY

Indiana University Purdue University at Indianapolis (IUPUI) is the state of Indiana’s premier health sciences and urban research campus. Well-known for its educational programs, academic endeavors, and innovative research, IUPUI has nationally ranked programs in nursing, public and environmental affairs, law, and health sciences. The IU School of Medicine is the state’s only medical school and the second largest medical school in the country by enrollment. The IU School of Nursing is ranked 8th nationally in research, and represents the largest nursing student body in the country. Through its affiliation with IU Health hospital system, the Indiana University / IU Health Academic Medical Center campus is one of the 20 largest academic medical centers in the country. IUPUI has also earned national recognition for civic engagement, outreach, and innovative service learning programs, and is consistently ranked in the top ten of U.S. News and World Report for First-Year Experience, Service Learning, and Learning Communities.

Established in 1969, IUPUI has grown rapidly in its 50 year history. However, the development of the IUPUI campus extends further back in history to the early 1900’s, with the establishment by Indiana University of the first medical school in Indianapolis, under the direction of Indiana University. The present IUPUI campus began in 1914 with the development of the medical campus on the western side of the peninsula created by the confluence of Fall Creek and the White River, in downtown Indianapolis. Almost one hundred years of construction for medical and health sciences education buildings, hospital and clinical buildings, nursing residences, and research facilities has created a dense academic medical district. Land acquisition and development in the second half of the twentieth century shifted expansion of the campus to the east and south, creating a lower density campus of superblocks with a modern architectural aesthetic.

Recognizing IUPUI’s important role in the continued health, education, and economic growth of Indianapolis and the state, Indiana University has prepared a new vision for its urban research campus. This comprehensive Campus Master Plan has been commissioned to support IUPUI’s mission and establish a framework for decision making and strategic development over the next 20 years. Led by Indiana University President Michael A. McRobbie, the plan is charged with creating a vision for the future the supports the academic, research, healthcare and service objectives of the campus and encourages the exploration and sharing of knowledge through collaboration, innovation, application, and engagement. The plan provides new opportunities for better functional integration of its diverse missions, while continuing to grow and evolve as a dynamic, urban, intellectual environment. Campus infrastructure needs, environmental sustainability, and economic development are integral to the framework not only to support the campus, but also to enhance and enliven neighboring communities and the downtown.

The Campus Master Plan represents a broad
cross section of campus constituencies and endorses a holistic and integrated approach to complex interrelated campus initiatives. The plan must also reflect and accommodate the needs of all campus users, including faculty, staff, students, researchers, clinical healthcare employees, patients and visitors. Development strategies must enhance the quality of life and campus experience for the total campus population, encouraging greater collaboration, interaction, and partnerships. This Campus Master Plan will be used to “fire the imagination” of potential donors and is an essential part of conveying an inspiring longterm vision for the future of IUPUI, Indianapolis, the state of Indiana, and the nation.

THE VISION - KEY THEMES
Create a Dense Urban Environment
The most effective urban environment is dense, flexible, convenient, and multi-faceted. As a major urban campus, IUPUI must capitalize on the value of its urban land, particularly on the peninsula between Fall Creek and the White River. Increased density and the vertical integration of multiple uses will allow IUPUI to meet its needs for future growth, while leaving peripheral land reserves for growth beyond the planning horizon.

Unite the Campus
The increased need for collaboration and interdisciplinary learning, research, and healthcare delivery models will require a more integrated approach to development. The historical perception of a separate health sciences campus from the academic core of IUPUI must be replaced by a vision of one united campus. This can be achieved through the physical re-organization of campus to connect districts, simplify circulation, and create multi-user facilities and shared learning environments in support of new interdisciplinary programs.

Engage the City
IUPUI’s mission of civic engagement and commitment to the educational, cultural, and economic growth of the region is also expressed in the way the campus physically engages with the city and its neighbors. Opening up views and linkages to adjacent cultural and neighborhood assets with new strategically located mixed use development at campus edges will strengthen its visibility and capacity for engagement.

Redefine the Public Realm
A strong, clear hierarchy of open space, a connected network of walks and public spaces, and the right-sizing of campus spaces are necessary ingredients to help orient campus users and break down the scale of superblock development on campus. The re-organization of campus open space will create a new spatial structure that better connects campus districts to one another and to existing parks, trails, and the White River. Future development should relate to new campus quadrangles, providing a greater sense of spatial definition.

Animate the Campus
The addition of student housing and dining on campus, new social gathering spaces visible from campus streets, and a new outdoor commons at the Campus Center will build urban energy and
create a more animated, intellectually engaging campus. A more human-scaled pedestrian environment modeled after successful urban streets, plazas and squares will increase the vitality, comfort, and livability of campus.

**PROCESS**
The planning process undertaken for the IUPUI Campus Master Plan was inclusive and comprehensive. Initially spanning a 12-month period, the planning process was extended to engage IU Health in an integrated plan and programming for the academic medical campus. The combined planning effort involved extensive input from IUPUI faculty, staff, students, and administrators; IU Health senior administration and staff; City of Indianapolis leaders and staff; and neighborhood and local community groups. The planning team was led by an Executive Committee chaired by Indiana University President Michael A. McRobbie and was supported by a Master Plan Working Group and Master Plan Steering Committee. The Working Group and Steering Committee were comprised of key University stakeholders representing academics, research, student life, facilities, and campus infrastructure. Methods of discovery and communication throughout the process included regular Working Group and Steering Committee meetings, topical workshops, focus group sessions, technical meetings, personal interviews, and public open house presentations. Considerable effort was made throughout the process to maximize collaboration and inclusiveness, ensuring that the Campus Master Plan represents a balanced vision of a broad constituency.

**ANALYSIS**
The planning team devoted considerable time to analysis and inventory activities at the beginning of the planning process. This period of discovery involved detailed assessments of all campus systems, infrastructure, natural resources, and social structure. The campus environment was evaluated for land use distribution, space utilization, building condition, and campus density. Campus systems were assessed for their current condition, relevance, longevity, and efficiency. Detailed studies of campus circulation patterns including vehicular and pedestrian traffic patterns and parking infrastructure were conducted. Observations were made on overall campus character, the scale and use of campus open spaces, and the character of campus edges and arrivals.
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Integration of Education, Healthcare and Research
Continuing changes in medical education, research, and healthcare delivery requires even greater integration and physical collocation of facilities for the Academic Medical Center campus. Present research and healthcare facilities lack the capacity to accommodate future growth and projected space needs.

Recommendation: Continue coordinated planning and sharing of resources between IUPUI and IU Health across all Academic Medical Center campus districts and properties, for the optimum strategic alignment and functional adjacency between future research, health sciences education, and healthcare facilities.

Recommendation: through strategic phasing of new construction, demolition, and redevelopment of sites, create new facilities and renovate existing structures to provide adequate space for future growth of the Academic Medical Center campus, in proximity to existing hospitals and research facilities.

Districts
The separate evolution of the health sciences district and the non-health sciences academic campus has led to a perception of a fragmented campus. Land use districts that comprise the IUPUI campus tend to be self-contained, limiting opportunity for academic interaction, collaboration, and a greater sense of community.

Recommendation: tie campus districts together into a larger framework of contiguous facilities, multi-use zones, open space, gateways, and urban amenities, connected by an improved circulation and transportation system.

Student Housing
Increased enrollment of full-time students at IUPUI has resulted in the need for additional on-campus housing, dining, and student life facilities.

Recommendation: Provide increased campus housing in a new student life corridor on Vermont Street as a more integrated model, to include dining facilities, academic support services, classrooms, student health and recreation space.

Campus Character
The core academic campus lacks density, scale, and an architectural coherence that diminishes the pedestrian experience and reduces the overall quality of the campus environment.

Recommendation: Increase density within the core campus through strategic infill projects in a manner that will establish a sense of place and promote architectural unity.
Wood Memorial Plaza and Fountain
Open Space
IUPUI is situated in a unique urban ecosystem, at the confluence of the White River and Fall Creek, but historically has not fully embraced its close relationship to this natural environment. Many campus spaces are memorable, but there is no sense of coherence across campus.

Recommendation: Unite the campus with its environment by creating a continuous green network of open space that connects to natural systems, greenways, and the City’s parks and open space. Extend Ball Gardens river to river as a unifying amenity connecting campus districts.

Public Realm
IUPUI’s existing public realm reflects its history of superblock development and the removal of the street grid. The campus lacks a level of organization at the human scale that responds to primary pedestrian circulation corridors.

Recommendation: Humanize the campus by establishing new memorable spaces, attractive urban streetscapes, and green linear corridors in conjunction with new development that reflects an understanding of the human scale.

Campus Circulation
Smooth vehicular and pedestrian movement within the core campus is compromised by a one-way traffic model and limited use of alternative modes of transportation.

Recommendation: Re-establish a two-way, urban street grid combined with new north-south roads to improve campus connectivity, simplify access and wayfinding, and reduce congestion at key intersections.

Recommendation: Simplify on-campus shuttle routes and better connect shuttles to the People Mover and IndyGo to increase the use of transit.

Recommendation: Improve the reliability, capacity, and comfort of the People Mover system to increase ridership and connectivity to the other academic medical districts of IUPUI and IU Health. Develop two new People Mover stops linked to intermediate parking garages off-campus.

Recommendation: Promote the use of sustainable modes of transportation, with a specific focus on bicycle traffic, through development of a comprehensive network of bike routes connected to city routes, regional trails and the Cultural Trail.
Parking
Parking is currently at capacity with few parking facilities located close to campus entries and arrival points, resulting in increased traffic congestion and pedestrian conflicts on campus.

Recommendation: Selectively redistribute and increase parking capacity near high demand zones at the campus perimeter and adjacent to projected development areas. Parking for healthcare facilities must remain close and convenient for patients and visitors.

Recommendation: Develop an integrated parking and transportation strategy to share existing and future off-campus parking resources with IU Health, connected by an enhanced people mover and campus bus circulator system for optimal access from parking to campus destinations.

Like many older urban areas across the country, much of the campus is currently served by a combined stormwater and sanitary sewer system, which discharges into the White River. In addition, much of the existing piping is undersized for future development, or deteriorating with age.

Recommendation: Install separate sewer lines for all new campus development, and implement water quality treatment facilities for all districts on campus.

Recommendation: Disconnect and separate storm water from the combined system for existing buildings, roads and parking as much as possible.

Stormwater Management

Chilled water production capacity is limited and will not support projected campus growth. Steam service will need to be extended to serve the development of sections of the campus. New equipment, duct bank, and cable will be needed for electrical and telecommunications to support future growth in certain areas of campus.

Recommendation: Disconnect and separate storm water from the combined system for existing buildings, roads and parking as much as possible.

Recommendation: Expand central chilled water production facilities as needed to support future campus development.

Utility Infrastructure

Recommendation: Invest in steam, chilled water, power, and telecommunications system extensions and distribution networks as needed to support future campus development.

SUSTAINABILITY

Environmental sustainability will play a crucial
role in the development and improvement of the IUPUI campus. The Campus Master Plan defines a broad holistic approach that unifies fundamental planning recommendations with meaningful qualitative and quantitative green strategies. Sustainable planning principles, carbon reduction strategies, alternative modes of transportation considerations, and innovative building initiatives all come together to inform the development vision for the campus and ensure that growth is forward thinking and environmentally sustainable. As part of this initiative, Indiana University has committed that all new structures on all campuses will be constructed to achieve a LEED® Silver certification as defined by the United States Green Building Council.

Campus development should prioritize sensible land use practices that encourage physical and functional consolidation and facilitate pedestrian mobility, access, and convenience. Campus functions should be concentrated in defined walkable areas, encouraging multi-use neighborhoods that minimize reliance on automobiles and promote alternative modes of transportation. Transportation and circulation infrastructure should be fully integrated with local and regional transit systems and provide efficient access to campus parking facilities. Parking infrastructure for non-healthcare patients and visitors should be refocused along core campus edges to reduce internal campus traffic and facilitate the daily transition of vehicle commuters to campus pedestrians. Bicycle use should be encouraged with development of a comprehensive campus-wide bicycle route network connecting to existing city routes, including convenient bicycle parking, storage, and a bike share program.

As an urban campus, IUPUI can do much to conserve, protect, and restore natural resources. Restoration of the White River and Fall Creek riparian corridors in conjunction with pre-treatment of storm water before discharge, reduction of impervious surfaces, and the separation of combined storm and sanitary sewers will have a big impact on water quality and habitat. Increasing the tree canopy on campus will also help with storm water management, sequester carbon, and reduce the heat island effect of urban environments.

Campus energy efficiency should be improved, and it should move toward a carbon neutral campus by implementing greenhouse gas emission reduction strategies. Should all of the recommendations of the master plan be implemented within the proposed timeline, the campus could realize an overall 25 percent greenhouse gas emissions reduction within the planning horizon, and an 80 percent reduction by 2050, including anticipated development. These reductions can be achieved by reducing existing and future energy consumption, using carbon emissions as a metric when evaluating suppliers, purchasing green power, and monitoring actual campus energy use to better understand power consumption and develop reduction strategies.
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VISION STATEMENT
“The Vision of IUPUI is to be one of the best urban universities, recognized locally, nationally, and internationally for its achievements.”

MISSION STATEMENT
“Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), a partnership between Indiana and Purdue Universities, is Indiana’s urban research and academic health sciences campus. IUPUI’s mission is to advance the State of Indiana and the intellectual growth of its citizens to the highest levels nationally and internationally through research and creative activity, teaching and learning, and civic engagement. By offering a distinctive range of bachelor’s, master’s professional, and Ph.D. degrees, IUPUI promotes the educational, cultural, and economic development of central Indiana and beyond through innovative collaborations, external partnerships, and a strong commitment to diversity.”

Approved by the Indiana University Board of Trustees, November 2005
INTRODUCTION
INTRODUCTION TO THE PLAN

Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis – IUPUI - is Indiana University’s urban research and academic health sciences campus and offers a comprehensive range of degree programs. IUPUI’s mission is to conduct world-class research, scholarship, and creative activity relevant to Indianapolis, to Indiana, and beyond.

The origins of IUPUI’s campus began with the establishment of Indiana University’s School of Medicine and first teaching hospital in the early 1900’s, on the peninsula of land between the White River and Fall Creek in northwest Indianapolis. Since that time, IUPUI and Indiana University Health (IU Health) has grown to be one of the 20 largest health sciences centers in the country. The IU School of Medicine is the second largest school in the country, making IUPUI a healthcare and economic force in the city and state.

In January of 1969, IUPUI began as a collaborative venture between Indiana University and Purdue University. Situated in America’s 14th largest city, IUPUI has quietly emerged as a well-known urban campus. In 2009 IUPUI celebrated its 40th anniversary. Chancellor Charles Bantz noted that “We have gone from the work of the schools to a vision of a campus, having gone from 10,000 to 30,000, and have gone from being dispersed in seven locations to being together on this campus.”

In the last decade, IUPUI has seen a dramatic change in its student body, its retention and graduation rates, and its research activity. The University is experiencing record student enrollment. The percentage of full time students has grown from 57% to 70%, graduate and professional enrollment has grown, and international student enrollment has doubled. There has been a 50% increase in the graduation rate at IUPUI in the last 5 years and an increase in the number of master’s degrees conferred. While 2008 was the highest year yet for securing external research dollars, IUPUI anticipates further increases in research activity for a number of its schools and colleges.

Such change requires a physical campus master plan to help guide decision-making and plan for growth that will ensure the long term viability of the campus and its resources. In February 2008, IUPUI embarked on a 12-month process to explore the physical challenges and opportunities of the IUPUI campus and create a comprehensive Campus Master Plan. This planning initiative addressed:

- Anticipated increase in student enrollment and project space needs to accommodate growth
- Building and facilities growth and renovation to support learning and research
- Improvement of the quality of campus life and the learning environment
- Better integration of transportation systems and parking
- Improvements to infrastructure to serve campus development
- Sustainable strategies for campus growth
• Recognition of the value of urban land
• Connectivity to the City of Indianapolis
• IUPUI’s contribution to the health and life sciences economy of the state
• Advancing the campus’ stature, to become a leading urban research institution

INTENT OF THE CAMPUS MASTER PLAN
The quality of the physical environment has a tremendous influence on the image and function of the institution. The intent of the Campus Master Plan for IUPUI is to serve as a foundation for shaping the campus fabric in support of its academic mission and vision.

At its very essence, the Campus Master Plan is an assemblage of powerful ideas. These ideas establish the philosophical framework and principles for coordinating physical change on the campus. The Campus Master Plan provides guidelines to better integrate the various activities of the university, establish stronger urban districts with a high quality aesthetic, and promote a more vibrant campus life. Quality academic, research, and healthcare facilities and an appealing campus environment are central to the campus’ image and to its ability to recruit high caliber students, faculty, and researchers.

The master plan for IUPUI is a composite document of principles, goals, objectives, ideas and recommendations, and the graphic maps that support and illustrate these concepts. The principles behind the master plan are the enduring elements. However, a master plan is not solely one component or another. It recognizes how each component relates to and affects each other, and how each component must be considered in relation to the whole. As an example of integrated systems thinking, the master plan can be used as a long range tool and a living guide, adapting to the needs of the campus and its stakeholders in response to new or unforeseen factors.
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Campus Activity near the Business-SPEA Building
This Campus Master Plan is the first document to collectively record recommendations for all campus systems, including future space and program needs; building renovation and new construction; residence life and amenities; campus landscape; transportation, transit and parking; infrastructure; and sustainability measures.

In preparing the Campus Master Plan, the planning team reviewed and incorporated past master plans including those prepared by Edward Larrabee Barnes in the 1970’s and 1980’s, and many recently completed plans and reports, including the following:

2001 IUPUI Campus Planning Framework Plan
2003 Northwest Quadrant Plan
2005 IUPUI Traffic Study
2006 Campus Framework Plan

The planning team synthesized previous planning recommendations while responding to current concerns and demands. The Campus Master Plan therefore sets forth recommendations addressing the physical, social, educational, intellectual, and sustainability challenges the campus will face in the 21st century. The plan has been crafted to address both a programmatic 10-year planning horizon for academic demand, and a longer 20-year or greater build-out horizon, recognizing that the pace of construction on campuses fluctuates depending on need and the availability and source of funding.

Implementation of the Campus Master Plan will increasing rely on strong partnerships, greater collaboration, and innovative thinking to achieve its aspiration for IUPUI as an outstanding urban institution for:

• Excellence in teaching and learning;
• Excellence in research, scholarship, and creative activity; and
• Excellence in civic engagement locally, nationally and globally.
GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
Indiana University embarked on the development of the IUPUI Campus Master Plan by establishing two overarching goals that formed the planning foundation and guided the proposed physical framework for development. The two goals embody the aspirations of IU and the unique attributes of the IUPUI campus and link the institution’s physical environment to its academic values.

1. Support Academic Excellence
Driven by the Chancellor, IU and campus leadership, and the Executive / Steering Committees, the Master Plan will be a tool for advancing IU’s overall academic and research mission. Discussion during the planning phases centered not only on the quantitative need for space (particularly for research) and student life, but also on the qualitative need for flexibility and increased interaction among members of the campus community. The University expressed its desire to enhance experience for undergraduates, graduate, and professional students, and to better connect faculty, students, physicians, researchers and staff.

As a goal, Support Academic Excellence is further defined in a series of objectives intended to:
• Integrate places for learning and campus life
• Reflect contemporary learning styles
• Accommodate change and flexibility
• Establish a framework for sustainable facility growth
• Enhance interdisciplinary learning
• Provide a stimulating campus setting

2. Create a Vibrant Urban Campus
Seeking to enhance the character and vitality of the Indianapolis campus, IU campus leadership developed this goal in order to guide decision making and stimulate innovative thinking. Further objectives reflect these ideals and vision of a quality, distinctively urban academic environment:
• Improve the quality of campus life
• Provide stimulating external and internal settings
• Take the city seriously
• Realize the value of urban land
• Introduce vertically integrated space
• Enhance the pedestrian realm

View of IUPUI Campus with Indiana State Capitol in the Background
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Robert Meadows, former Assistant Vice President Facilities & University Architect (retired)

INTRODUCTION

The Master Plan Working Group guided the iterative development of the Campus Master Plan. Members of the group included the University Architects Office as well as academic, auxiliary enterprise, and administrative representatives. This committee provided valuable project support, facilitated consensus building, and furnished current data relevant to the planning effort during its development.

Master Plan Steering Committee
Chaired by Chancellor Charles Bantz, the Master Plan Steering Committee was established to shape the evolution of the Campus Master Plan. Members of the committee represented campus deans administration and the IUPUI. This committee provided valuable input and greatly facilitated consensus building during the Plan’s development.

MASTER PLAN PROCESS

The Campus Master Plan is Indiana University’s plan. While the consultant team has contributed their technical expertise, the IU and campus participants have passionately guided its development. The Campus Master Plan reflects the institution’s vision, priorities, culture, and future needs.

The Indiana University Board of Trustees commissioned SmithGroupJJR to develop a Campus Master Plan for IUPUI. Work began in February 2008 and a draft report was completed in spring of 2009. The planning process was divided into five major tasks: Discovery, Analysis, Alternatives, Refinement, and Documentation.

The planning process included faculty, students, staff, administrators, trustees and community leaders. Input has been solicited at major decision points throughout the process, through regular committee meetings, workshops, focus group sessions, technical meetings, one-on-one interviews, and digital information exchange. As a result, the Campus Master Plan offers a widely representative planning perspective.

Several important committees were tasked with directing, advising, and supporting the Campus Master Plan.

Executive Committee
The Executive Committee oversaw the development of the Campus Master Plan. The committee provided final direction to the planning team, as well as administrative guidance, coordination of internal and external input, and final planning recommendations.

Michael A. McRobbie, President
Thomas A. Morrison, Vice President for Capital Planning and Facilities
J. Terry Clapacs, former Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer (retired)
Paul Sullivan, Deputy Vice President for Capital Planning and Facilities

Robert Meadows, former Assistant Vice President Facilities & University Architect (retired)

Master Plan Working Group
The Master Plan Working Group guided the iterative development of the Campus Master Plan. Members of the group included the University Architects Office as well as academic, auxiliary enterprise, and administrative representatives. This committee provided valuable project support, facilitated consensus building, and furnished current data relevant to the planning effort during its development.

Master Plan Steering Committee
Chaired by Chancellor Charles Bantz, the Master Plan Steering Committee was established to shape the evolution of the Campus Master Plan. Members of the committee represented campus deans administration and the IUPUI. This committee provided valuable input and greatly facilitated consensus building during the Plan’s development.
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Advisory Committee
The Advisory Committee provided counsel throughout the development of the Campus Master Plan. Members of this committee represented important university wide input from academic, administrative, staff, auxiliary enterprise, and student perspectives. This deliberate mixing of expertise provided critical user input during the plan’s development.

Community Partners Committee
The Community Partners Committee was established to guide the evolution of the Campus Master Plan in response to the University’s community and neighbors. Members of this group represented an important mix of neighborhood entities, municipal and City representatives, and a broad range of civic and community organizations. This committee provided valuable input and greatly facilitated consensus building during the Campus Master Plan’s development.

Campus Health Sciences District Committee
The Health Sciences District Committee was comprised of individuals representing both clinical facilities and medical education programs on the IUPUI campus, including representatives from IU Health, IU School of Medicine, Wishard Memorial Hospital and the VA Hospital. The purpose of this committee was to discuss common planning concerns among the various healthcare constituents on the peninsula, and to provide information regarding future plans that would affect the Master Plan. The work of this committee led to the expanded planning process and to the development of the Integrated Plan for the Academic Medical Center Campus.

Student Participation
**Campus/Community Leadership and Outreach**

The ideas and opportunities documented by the Campus Master Plan reflect the combined efforts of institutional and community representatives working collaboratively with the consultant team. Engagement with the following individuals and groups was a critical component of the planning process:

- Michael A. McRobbie, President
- Thomas A. Morrison, Vice President for Capital Planning and Facilities
- J. Terry Clapacs, former Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer (retired)
- Paul Sullivan, Deputy Vice President for Capital Planning and Facilities
- Robert Meadows, former Assistant Vice President Facilities and University Architect (retired)
- Charles Bantz, Chancellor, IUPUI
- John Lewis, Associate Vice President for Capital Planning and Facilities

- Indiana University Board of Trustees
- Indiana University Foundation Board of Directors
- Roger Schmenner, Chief of Staff to Chancellor, IUPUI
- University Deans
- Various Department Chairs
- Finance and Administration
- Facilities Department Staff
- Auxiliary Services
- Sustainability Committee
- IU Health
- Indiana University Hospital
- Riley Children’s Hospital
- Wishard Memorial Hospital
- VA Hospital
- Bio Crossroads
- Office of the Mayor
- Indianapolis Deputy Mayor
- City of Indianapolis Departments of Engineering, Planning, and Transportation

A full listing of all committee members, groups and individuals involved in the plan can be found in the Acknowledgements at the end of Volume One of this report.
3 | CAMPUS EVOLUTION
CAMPUS HISTORY
THE BEGINNING - INDIANAPOLIS AND IUPUI IN THE 19TH CENTURY (1800 - 1900)

Established in... “The Place of Noisy Water”

Over the last forty years IUPUI has rapidly progressed and expanded, as an institution and as a campus. However, the origins and influences for its development extend further back into history than IUPUI’s formal establishment in 1969. As an urban campus, IUPUI’s development has, and continues to be, influenced by the City of Indianapolis’ ongoing evolution.

In the early 1800s the “land of Indians” was a U.S. territory, and the Miami and Delaware tribes inhabited this area of the future State of Indiana. Fall Creek flowed directly south and it and its tributaries spread out along what would later be the western half of IUPUI’s campus. The creek, a popular fishing spot of the local tribes, was named Chank-ti-nun-gi which meant “The Place of Noisy Water.” The adjacent White River was also a Native fishing spot and

CAMPUS EVOLUTION

was known as “White Waters” due to its clarity. Although the Natives frequented the site that would be IUPUI for fishing, they did not settle there because frequent flooding made the site unattractive for habitation.

Due to its proximity to the geographic center of the State as well as to Fall Creek and the White River, this area was chosen as the site for the capital city of Indianapolis. The initial thought was that the river would serve as a valuable trade route; however it proved unsuitable for navigation. In order to solve the problem, the state authorized the Indiana Central Canal project in 1835. Intended to run 296 miles, the canal was to connect Lake Erie to the Ohio River in Southern Indiana. Only the 8 mile portion connecting downtown Indianapolis with Broad Ripple to the North was ever operational. The original vision for a transportation hub became a reality with the Madison & Indianapolis Railroad in 1847.

The city’s founders were inspired by L’Enfant’s plan for Washington D.C. which was reflected in Alexander Ralston’s design for the city. The plan proposed a grid of streets radiating outward from a central circle and N. West Street formed the boundary of the ‘mile square’ city. N. West Street is now the IUPUI campus’ eastern edge. Military Park, at N. West and W. New York Streets, dates from Indianapolis’ founding. Originally called Military Ground, the Park has seen various uses: militia training for the Black Hawk War in 1836, site of the first Indiana State Fair in 1852, and a camp for Union soldiers during the Civil War.

Proximity to both the river and the creek created problems for the city’s development. Flooding was continual until the 20th century when the modern levees were put in place. Water-borne illnesses were also prevalent before the advent of modern vaccines for such illnesses as typhoid fever. A plague cemetery for some of the city’s first settlers lays somewhere below IUPUI’s campus.

At the time of European settlement of the area, orientation of Fall Creek and its tributaries was just to the west of the present site of Wishard Memorial Hospital. The Wishard Memorial Hospital site also served as the location of the city’s first hospital. The hospital was located on a bluff above Fall Creek – its riverbed lying between the city hospital site on the east and the White River on the west. In the 1870s efforts were made to realign Fall Creek. Its
predominately African American residents who were restricted from white neighborhoods. On a national level, Indiana Avenue was the place for jazz; JJ Johnson, Duke Ellington, Ella Fitzgerald, Dinah Washington and Count Basie were among the many notable jazz greats performing at the Walker Theater, constructed in 1927. Indiana Avenue has been designated a Cultural District by the city and a formal development plan has been created.

Given the undesirable conditions, the earliest settlers tended to be those at the fringes of society. In the 19th Century the area was populated by immigrants from Ireland and Eastern Europe. In the early 20th Century, thousands of blacks came to the North in what has become known as the Great Migration and the area became a predominately African American neighborhood. The Indiana Avenue neighborhood was a center of African American heritage and cultural, music and spiritual life. The Bethel African Methodist Episcopal Church dates from 1869. The church played a role in the Underground Railroad movement and Civil War recruitment, and housed the first NAACP chapter in the city. In 1916 the area had numerous businesses that served its predominately African American residents who were restricted from white neighborhoods. On a national level, Indiana Avenue was the place for jazz; JJ Johnson, Duke Ellington, Ella Fitzgerald, Dinah Washington and Count Basie were among the many notable jazz greats performing at the Walker Theater, constructed in 1927. Indiana Avenue has been designated a Cultural District by the city and a formal development plan has been created.

The first hospital for the City was built on the Wishard Memorial Hospital site in 1859 and immediately became known as “Dunlap’s Folly” because the exorbitant cost of construction left no money for furnishings or operating the hospital. The location was chosen because it was on the far western edge of Indianapolis and in an area deemed unfit for human habitation, a good place to send contagious patients who were not wanted in other areas. Shortly after the hospital was built it was abandoned and in the intervening 20 years it was used for a variety of purposes. In the 1880s, a new hospital founded by Dr. William Niles Wishard was built on the
site. The new hospital was dubbed “Wishard’s Wisdom”. It hosted the first nursing training program in the state and became the cornerstone on which the Medical Center at Indianapolis was built.

Academic classes in Indianapolis were first offered in 1891 on an informal basis for IU alumni residing in Indianapolis. This “extension movement” was held in the Extension Center downtown. Popularity of these programs led to the sporadic spread of educational spaces across the city. More permanent programs were established in 1916 with the founding of the IU Indianapolis Extension Center.
EXPANSION DURING THE FIRST HALF OF THE 20TH CENTURY (1900 - 1950)

“Six years ago we undertook to establish an adequate medical school in connection with Indiana University”

Although a formal medical department was founded at IU Bloomington in 1903, in the first decade of the 20th Century discussions began for a combined Medical Center in Indianapolis. The Medical Center brought together the interests and resources of Indiana University and Purdue University, which had recently merged with the Indiana Medical College. On April 4, 1908 an agreement was reached to form a new medical teaching institution under the direction of the Trustees of Indiana University. The new Medical Center was located adjacent to the City Hospital.

The rapid development of the Medical Campus was guided by a plan produced by the Olmsted Brothers in the 1920s. Extensive improvements to the landscape were undertaken.

2 Burton Dorr Myers, M.D., History of Indiana University Volume II - The Bryan Administration, Ivy L. Chamness and Burton D. Myers, eds. (Indiana University, 1992), 68.
between 1934 and 1937: between five and ten thousand trees were planted on the 35 acre campus. Grading and filling of this area which was once the bed of Fall Creek was carried out per the Olmsted Brothers Plan. Many improvements were completed with manpower provided by the Works Progress Administration with tools, supervision and technical assistance provided by Indiana University and the Riley Memorial Association. By the end of the Bryan Administration in 1937, the Medical Center campus consisted of nearly 50 acres. By the 1950s the historian Burton Dorr Myers remarked that “The campus is now the most beautifully landscaped area in the west part of Indianapolis.”

The present IUPUI campus began in the western portion of the site, in the area surrounding Ball Gardens and what is now Riley Hospital for Children. It was during the Bryan Administration that the campus’ architectural character began to be established as more properties were acquired and buildings constructed. The building materials used during this era consisted of a mix of brick and limestone.
Long Hospital was the first of many buildings in the Medical Center – it was constructed in 1914, just south of Wishard Memorial Hospital along West Michigan Street. The Medical School Building (now Emerson Hall) was the next constructed, opening in 1919. That building was followed in the 1920s by the James Whitcomb Riley Memorial Hospital (1924).

In the late 1920s it was determined that accommodations were needed to fit the housing needs of the growing nursing school. Thus, in 1928, Ball Residence for Nurses was constructed. Several additions to Riley Hospital continued during the depression years of the 1930s, including: Kiwanis Unit (1930), Rotary Convalescent Home (1931), and the Hydrotherapeutic Pool (1935). In 1958, a clinical building was added to the Medical School. Development subsequently continued throughout the following decade primarily as small scale row housing along the periphery of the initial core.
Ball Gardens, 1928

Dentistry School, 1934

Riley Hospital, 1930s

Row Houses 1940s

“No other university has the backing of two Big Ten schools”

In 1943, Purdue University initiated its Division of Technical Studies. Although the official establishment of IUPUI, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, as an undergraduate academic institution occurred in 1969; plans for the merger were in the works for most of the 1960s. Indiana University, under the direction of President Wells and through the Hoosier Realty Corporation, began buying up property as it became available adjacent to the Medical Center as early as the 1950s for creation of a central campus. The decades from 1960 to 1980 were marked by significant development of the central campus.

The majority of land acquisition activities and the consolidation of property owned by Indiana University occurred during the 1950s and 1960s. The University was assisted in its consolidation

efforts by the City of Indianapolis Redevelopment Corporation. In the late 1950s the land adjacent to the School of Dentistry building between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets was identified for the future growth of the Medical Center. In order to expand the Medical Center south of W. Michigan Street, the University needed to acquire a massive amount of land. The City of Indianapolis classified the neighborhoods south and east of the Medical Center as deteriorated or in need of major rehabilitation, allowing the land to be acquired under the auspices of urban renewal and revitalization. Over the two decades preceding the formation of IUPUI, Indiana University obtained hundreds of acres in the newly coined ‘University Quarter’ of Indianapolis.

Hardly a trace of the neighborhood that was the Old Fourth Ward is visible today. Neighborhood streets have disappeared with the advent of the superblock. Other major changes to the neighborhood were the partial demolition of Lockefield Gardens, one of the nation’s first public housing developments, and the addition
of University Boulevard in the 1980s. Today the IUPUI campus and the Medical Center occupy the peninsula of land from Indiana Avenue and West Street to Fall Creek, and the White River to Military Park.

This progress in the development of IUPUI did not come without a cost. Families and residents of the west side of Indianapolis’s fast displaces by the expansion of the state government, the Interstate, and IUPUI’s growth in the name of urban renewal. As a result, rebuilding community relationships has been a hall mark characteristic of IUPUI’s outreach programs since the early days of existence.

The earliest buildings that defined the new IUPUI campus include the library (now University College) from the mid 1960s, and the Lecture Hall and Cavanaugh Hall from 1971. These structures, with exteriors composed of brick and limestone with the addition of precast concrete, were admittedly ‘utilitarian’ given economy employed in their design and construction. The original Law School building
was dedicated in 1970 and was considered an extremely well functioning, modern facility. That building has been renovated and presently serves as the Herron School of Art. University Hospital opened in 1970 and began to define IUPUI’s medical campus.

It was in the 1970s that the center of campus shifted towards the east with the early projects of Edward Larrabee Barnes. He served as the campus planner in the late 1970s and 1980s and was design architect for the Education/Social Work Building (1980), the Business-SPEA Building (1980), the Natatorium/Physical Education Building (1982), the University Hotel and Conference Center (1982), the Science, Engineering and Technology Buildings (1982) and (1988), and the University Library (1993). These buildings were monumental in their stature and began to form unified enclosures around defined exterior campus space.

Barnes’ campus plan, which he refined in the early 1990s with Zion & Breen Associates Inc., is primarily responsible for the overall character...
of the campus today. It established the campus’ large super-blocks, generous setbacks along West Street, W. New York and W. Michigan Streets, and the placement of parking garages. These aspects of the planning relate to commuter, non-residential, vehicle-based functions and experience, likely to transform with current recommendations for a more characteristically urban, pedestrian oriented campus design approach. Barnes’ architecture and planning expressed a precise, modern, forward-looking identity for IUPUI which will be maintained by future campus landscape and building design.

The emergence of IUPUI’s sports facilities paralleled developments within the city, which in the late 1970s adopted a strategy of achieving growth by becoming a center for sporting events. Construction of the Hoosier Dome in 1984 for the Indianapolis Colts was followed by additional investments in sports, arts, and entertainment facilities. In 1987, IUPUI and Indianapolis hosted both the World Indoor Track and Field Championships and the Pan American Games. In the 1990s IUPUI was admitted to Division
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CAMPUS EVOLUTION

Engineering and Science Building, 1998 + 1992
University Hotel and Conference Center, 1987
University Library, 1993

Campus Plan, Circa 1990

Existing On-Campus Buildings
New Constructed On-Campus Buildings
Off-Campus Buildings
1 of the NCAA. Building projects included the NCAA headquarters and Conseco Fieldhouse. In addition, cultural investments during this time were represented by establishment of a cultural district running from W. 11th Street to the White River State Park and the Eiteljorg Museum.

EXPANSION OF IUPUI AND INDIANAPOLIS IN THE 21ST CENTURY (2000 - TODAY)
The City of Indianapolis and the IUPUI campus continues to expand and evolve in the beginning of the 21st century. Projects that mutually benefit the University and the city will continue to be identified. The proximity of White River State Park to IUPUI provides a potential opportunity and suggests the direction of future campus growth and expansion in this area.

White River State Park, the nation’s only urban cultural state park, offers a wide variety of cultural, educational, and recreational attractions. These include the Eiteljorg Museum of American Indians and Western Art, a subtle and powerful Kasota stone structure that was designed by Jonathan Hess in 1989.
and expanded in 2005. The NCAA Hall of Champions and National Headquarters occupy a structure designed in 2002 by the nationally prominent architect, Michael Graves. The Indiana State Museum, which opened in 2002, was designed by Indianapolis’ Ratio Architects and is an elegant museum constructed of Indiana limestone, sandstone, steel, brick, and glass. Plans for IUPUI’s future development capitalize on White River Park’s rich diversity of urban attractions and amenities, while also reinforcing a connection to downtown that has been established with the development of the eastern campus edge.

The newest campus buildings include the Campus Apartments on Riverwalk (2003), designed by Ratio Architects, which initiated IUPUI’s commitment to provide significant residential facilities for undergraduates. The Information and Communications Technology Complex (2004) by Robert A. M. Stern Architects joins with Inlow Hall, the School of Law (2001) by SmithGroupJJR, to form a unified, monumental limestone ensemble and a
strong presence for the University along N. West Street. These buildings also act as a gateway to the campus.

The University has established new partnerships and collaborations by developing the Life Sciences Corridor at the northwest edge of downtown at the head of Canal Walk between W. 10th and W. 11th Streets. The Emerging Technologies Center, founded in 2003, houses facilities to incubate and accelerate life sciences, biotechnology, and bioinformatics companies. The 2006 Health Information and Translational Sciences Building contains research labs for the IU School of Medicine, IUPUI, and the Regenstrief Institute. The contemporary design by Beyer Blinder Belle incorporates Indiana limestone, brick, and glass and maximizes natural daylight into research and work interior spaces. Its ground floor contains a café and retail amenities. Completed in 2008 and designed by BSA Life Structures, Fairbanks Hall is a simulation center, a new collaborative enterprise of the IU Schools of Nursing and Medicine and IU Health partners.

The Campus Center (2008), by SmithGroupJJR, fulfills the 1960s vision for a student services building (never realized) as part of IUPUI’s original Downtown Campus. Not far from its original proposed site, the Campus Center is located at the geographic center of the campus, at the busy corner of W. Michigan Street and University Boulevard. The Center is an extremely active hub and brings together all members of the diverse IUPUI community, including those from the Medical School and hospital complexes. The design is highly transparent, connects interior and exterior activities and spaces, and offers a wide variety of lounges, meeting rooms, and activity areas to enhance student life and experience. The Center has generated many favorable impressions by faculty, staff and students. Sharon J. Hamilton, Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, Chancellor’s Professor and Professor
CAMPUS EVOLUTION

The Campus Master Plan also seeks to create a more unified campus experience that eliminates the sense of physical and programmatic dislocation between academic and medical precincts. These initiatives build upon positive aspects of the influential and most recent IUPUI campus planning work of the architect Edward Larrabee Barnes and the landscape firm Zion and Breen while introducing a new district framework for development that establishes a sense of place and individual character for each area. The Master Plan provides guidance for future campus growth and expansion by considering both IUPUI’s development and history, as well that of the City of Indianapolis.

IUPUI AND INDIANAPOLIS FUTURE

During their shared history and development, both IUPUI and Indianapolis have faced challenges and obstacles, that they have overcome with energy, creativity, and vision. The Campus Master Plan strategies are rooted in an understanding of the campus and Indianapolis’ historical and physical developments over time.

Several factors are especially relevant which particularly inform Campus Master Plan principles. Perhaps the most fundamental goal is to capitalize on IUPUI’s urban context and position in Indianapolis. In addition, the presence of Fall Creek and the White River natural systems present unique opportunities to enhance the campus’ relationship to the environment. The Campus Master Plan also seeks to create a more unified campus experience that eliminates the sense of physical and programmatic dislocation between academic and medical precincts.

of English said, “This dramatic and exciting space symbolizes just how special we think our students are. They are a vital center of IUPUI’s intellectual life, just as this Campus Center will become an essential center to campus life.”

Campus Center, 2008
4 | EXISTING CONDITIONS
THE CAMPUS TODAY

IUPUI: Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis was founded in 1969 as a partnership between Indiana University and Purdue University. The campus is home to the only medical and dental schools in the State of Indiana and is well-known as “Indiana’s urban research and academic health sciences campus.”

2007/2008 Baseline Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus Population</td>
<td>37,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>29,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>21,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>6,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>3,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>4,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Acreage</td>
<td>509 / 318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Buildings</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Square Feet</td>
<td>9,859,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Area Ratio</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Spaces</td>
<td>19,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Ratio</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Beds</td>
<td>1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living On-Campus</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

View of IUPUI Campus
At the baseline year of 2007/2008, the IUPUI campus had a student population of nearly 30,000 and drew students from the local, regional, national, and international levels. The University only provides housing for a little over a thousand students. The IUPUI campus is supported by a faculty and staff numbering nearly 8,000 in 2007/2008.

The campus covers 509 acres utilizing 129 buildings which amount to nearly 10 million gross square feet of classrooms, hospitals, laboratories, student housing, offices, athletic facilities and support spaces. With the recent construction of the Gateway Garage completed in 2010, the campus now has 20,906 parking spaces for visitors, faculty, staff, and students.

---

CAMPUS COMPARISONS

- University of Alabama - Birmingham
- University of Cincinnati
- University of New Mexico
- Wayne State University
- University of Illinois - Chicago
- Wayne State University

During the planning process, the physical campus of IUPUI was compared to six other public, urban research university campuses that included medical academic centers. These campuses were chosen primarily for physical commonalities shared with IUPUI. Comparisons were drawn from a list of common attributes such as population, land area, building area, physical scale, density, parking and on-campus housing.¹

Of the six peer institutions in this study, Wayne State University in Detroit, the only urban research university in the state of Michigan, provided the best case for comparison. Although, WSU has a slightly larger student population than IUPUI’s, this is primarily due to larger graduate and professional student populations. Wayne State’s campus is about 40% the size of IUPUI’s with roughly the same amount of gross square feet and is therefore significantly denser. This denser footprint allows Wayne State to house double the students on campus while providing a significantly better parking ratio than IUPUI. In fact, all peer universities had double or triple the number of on-campus residences than IUPUI. This contributes to a related improvement in parking ratio and higher persons per space, even in cities with limited public transportation like Indianapolis.

¹ Information used in these comparisons were supplied by the institution represented via their web site or through interviews with campus personnel. The data used was collected from the academic year 2007-2008.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

IUPUI WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY
- Only urban research university in Michigan
- Campus 40% the size of IUPUI’s
- Similar total built area

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA - BIRMINGHAM
- Half the student population
- Campus a third the size of IUPUI’s
- Nearly twice as dense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Comparison</th>
<th>IUPUI</th>
<th>WSU</th>
<th>Scale Comparison</th>
<th>IUPUI</th>
<th>UAB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campus Population</td>
<td>37,780</td>
<td>41,291</td>
<td>Campus Population</td>
<td>37,780</td>
<td>36,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>29,854</td>
<td>33,240</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>29,854</td>
<td>17,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>21,202</td>
<td>21,145</td>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>21,202</td>
<td>10,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>6,052</td>
<td>9,115</td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>6,052</td>
<td>4,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,980</td>
<td>Professional</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>2,085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>3,161</td>
<td>2,760</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>3,161</td>
<td>2,248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>4,765</td>
<td>5,291</td>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>4,765</td>
<td>9,491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Acreage</td>
<td>509 / 318</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>Campus Acreage</td>
<td>509 / 318</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Buildings</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Number of Buildings</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Square Feet</td>
<td>9,859,179</td>
<td>10,000,000</td>
<td>Gross Square Feet</td>
<td>9,859,179</td>
<td>12,956,378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Area Ratio</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>Floor Area Ratio</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Spaces</td>
<td>19,924</td>
<td>12,500</td>
<td>Parking Spaces</td>
<td>19,924</td>
<td>12,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Ratio (persons/space)</td>
<td>1.90/1</td>
<td>3.30/1</td>
<td>Parking Ratio (persons/space)</td>
<td>1.90/1</td>
<td>2.78/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Beds</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>2,510</td>
<td>Number of Beds</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>1,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living On-Campus</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Living On-Campus</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE
- Does not include the medical center campus
- A third of the size of IUPUI
- 15% of students live on-campus

UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI
- Campus population 25% larger
- Similar size campus and built area
- Parking ratio double IUPUI’s

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO
- Student population is 20% smaller
- More than double the on-campus housing
- Nearly a 3 to 1 parking ratio
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS - CHICAGO

- Similar size of campus population
- Half the land area
- Nearly three times the density

The summary chart to the right measures IUPUI on three physical planning parameters:
- Density (F.A.R.)
- Parking Ratio
- On-Campus Housing
CAMPUS ANALYSIS

NATURAL FEATURES

Land Form and Hydrology

Indianapolis in Central Indiana is part of the Tipton Till Plain, a thick layer of glacial till remaining from the last ice age. As the glacier retreated, meltwater poured through the White River drainage basin, creating its low-lying, meandering floodplain. IUPUI is located within the geological formation of the West Fork Outwash Plain. The underlying bedrock consists of dolomites, shale, sandstone, limestone, anhydrite, and gypsum.

As part of the original floodplain and delta of Fall Creek, the IUPUI campus is relatively flat, ranging from a high point of 756.0 feet near IU Health and IUPUI medical facilities, to a low point of 668.0 feet, a difference of 88 feet. The levee elevation along the banks of the White River averages 673.0 feet, creating a low pocket for site drainage behind the levee.

A ridge line in the northeast quadrant of the campus divides the land into two drainage basins: The Fall Creek-Minnie Creek watershed

Existing Topography

TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS

- 668’ - 682’
- 684’ - 698’
- 700’ - 712’
- 714’ - 726’
- 728’ - 740’
- 742’ - 756’

* Contour line intervals are every two feet
is located on the northern portion of campus and the White River-Indianapolis watershed is located on the southern portion of campus. Stormwater runoff in both drainage basins feed into the City of Indianapolis storm sewers that discharge into Fall Creek or the White River.

**Floodplain and Site Drainage**

After the Indianapolis flood of 1913, earthen levees were constructed along the banks of the White River and Fall Creek from the 1920s to the 1960s. The levees redefined the floodplain boundary, holding both the 100-year floodplain and the floodway within manmade channels on the White River and Fall Creek. On the main IUPUI campus, approximately 448.3 acres of land area drains via storm sewers to the White River. Of this amount, 308.8 acres, or 69% of land area in this basin is impervious surface, consisting of building and garage roof areas, and paved surfaces of parking lots, roadways and sidewalks. A portion of this area drains to Fall Creek-Minnie Creek before reaching the White River.
The White River and Fall Creek
The White River drainage basin is approximately 5,746 square miles, and drains most of the central part of Indiana. The West Fork of the White River is 273 miles long, flowing southwest where it drains to the Wabash River. 27 miles of the West Fork of the White River flows through Marion County, forming the western boundary of the IUPUI campus. Fall Creek is a tributary stream of the West Fork and forms the northern boundary of the campus.

Prior to European settlement, the White River was a clear, cool water river with an abundant fish population, flowing through a dense hardwood forest. Over time, forests were cleared, and runoff from agriculture, urban development, and industrial discharge has severely degraded the water quality and stream condition of both the White River and Fall Creek. Both water bodies still receive sewage overflow during major storm events from combined storm and sanitary sewer systems.

Significant portions of the White River and Fall Creek also lack woody vegetation. This is causing bank slumping and erosion during flooding. Flooding along Fall Creek in particular has caused scouring along its banks, impeding the growth of natural vegetation.

The City and County’s latest efforts to improve the water quality and habitat of the river have been successful. Recent studies have shown improvements to water quality and fish populations within the river, but more remains to be done. The City of Indianapolis is pursuing implementation of a long term plan to separate the combined storm and sanitary sewer system, so that sanitary sewage waste no longer discharges to the river. This will have some of the most positive impacts on water quality in Indianapolis.

Wetlands
The National Wetlands Inventory map for the IUPUI campus indicates three types of wetlands within the floodplain boundaries of Fall Creek and the White River: floodplain
forested wetlands susceptible to temporary flooding, seasonally flooded areas with emergent vegetation, and areas of river floodplain with no vegetation definition.

Soils and Urban Fill
A large part of the IUPUI campus was forested wetland and floodplain for the White River and Fall Creek. In the early 1800’s Fall Creek flowed directly south, its creek bed and tributaries fanned out through the area that is now the western half of campus. Over time, the area has been greatly altered and filled. Two urban soil types are therefore found on campus: the Urban Land – Fox Complex, and Urban Land – Genesee Complex, both typical of urban fill soils and debris found in disturbed and/or developed areas. The constraints for the Urban land – Genesee complex are primarily due to frequent flooding, although this soil type is not listed as hydric. The water table depth is given as 48 to 72 inches. No hydric soils are found within the IUPUI campus.
It is interesting to note the close correspondence between the lowest areas of campus – west of the former mill race location - and the area with lesser quality urban fill soils, located in the western third of the peninsula. This difference in soil type and elevation indicates that deep pile foundations may be required for construction in this area of campus.
Vegetation and Land Cover

Central Indiana was originally part of a vast deciduous forest that covered most of the state. Pre-settlement Marion County consisted of deciduous forest, streams and wetlands, with no prairie openings. Dominant tree species included Sugar Maple, American Beech, Ash, Chinquapin and White Oak, and Shagbark Hickory in upland areas, with Swamp White Oak, Silver Maple, Black Willow and Sycamore in floodplain forests. It is estimated that by 1876, forest cover in Marion County was down to 40% of land area, decreased to 10% in 1952, and down to 1% of land area by 1986. Forest cover has been replaced by agricultural fields, lawns, parks, and urban development.

Wildlife habitat or areas with natural vegetation on the campus is almost nonexistent. Areas with natural vegetation are found along the banks of the White River and Fall Creek, although most of this vegetation is impacted by flooding and scouring. There are a few good quality pockets of vegetation with intact riparian forest and natural

---

**VEGETATION COVER**

- Recreational Areas 349,455 SF
- Riverbanks 1,827,153 SF
- Grass 4,490,991 SF
- Paths 1,618,831 SF
- Trees

Existing Vegetation Cover
undergrowth along the eastern shore of the White River near the confluence with Fall Creek.

On the IUPUI campus, the majority of pervious land cover is open, mown lawn. In fact, lawn accounts for 100 acres of the 352 acres on the peninsula. Approximately 9% of the total campus property is covered by tree canopy from street trees and more formally planted bosques. Although not fully realized, one of the earliest master plans by the Olmsted Brothers called for planting five to ten thousand trees. The Zion & Breen landscape master plans in the late 20th century identified W. Michigan and W. New York Streets as significant gateway streets. Portions of these streets were planted with multiple rows of trees on either side, forming dense allees. Not all of the allees of trees on these corridors have been completed.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER
The character of campus is defined by the urban grid of streets and superblocks, with a formal landscape geometry responding to the orthogonal layout of the streets and buildings. Open space on campus is comprised of a hierarchy of four types: the linear, tree-lined setbacks along W. Michigan and W. New York Streets; the historic Ball Gardens and Military Park; the large Academic Quad that surrounds the University Library; and a few smaller courtyards and pedestrian spaces such as the pedestrian walk at Riley Hospital for Children and the courtyard at the University Place Hotel. Although the campus has a formal geometry in response to the street grid, it lacks a level of organization and detail that is scaled to the pedestrian, and connected back to the city.

Memorable Spaces
Existing memorable spaces - outdoor places with a unique character, spatial quality or trait - are limited to a few notable places on campus.

The scale and mature landscape of Ball Gardens makes it one of the most memorable and iconic spaces on campus. Smaller spaces such as the University Place Courtyard and the Wood Memorial Plaza and Fountain create high quality, pedestrian-scaled outdoor environments with a rich landscape texture, site furnishings, and amenities. The outdoor terraces at the new Campus Center, Inlow Hall and ICTC are also models of more successful urban spaces. Lockefield Green and the Cavanaugh Quadrangle are two undefined open spaces on campus. Although they provide open space, they lack spatial definition or a clear program for use. Other than these notable spaces, IUPUI lacks sufficient memorable spaces at a variety of scales.
The campus is in close proximity to City and State parks and cultural resources, such as Military Park and the White River State Park, and museums to the south. However, visibility and accessibility to White River State Park and museums are almost non-existent from the campus. Visibility and connections to these important civic and open space resources are important considerations for the master plan.

MEMORABLE SPACES
1. Ball Gardens
2. Pedestrian Mall at Riley Hospital for Children
3. University Place Courtyard
4. Wood Memorial Plaza and Fountain
5. Locke/f_i eld Green
6. Outdoor Terraces at Inlow, ICTC
7. Cavanaugh Quadrangle
8. Library Green South of W. Michigan St.
9. Military Park
10. Open Space Along the White River
11. Canal Walk
Character Gaps
Open houses held early in the process revealed that campus faculty, staff and students ranked the quality of the campus environment low and in need of improvement. The lack of quality construction and architectural design on what were ‘temporary’ structures (such as the Administrative Office Building) detract from the higher quality of design and construction on many campus buildings. Overscaled and undefined open space also lessens the visual quality and pedestrian experience of campus.

In particular, the academic quadrangles that surround the University Library lack a level of landscape refinement and amenities. Potential
views and access to the riverfront from the campus is a current gap in the campus character and a hidden opportunity for future landscape expression.

The visual dominance of large, unscreened, parking lots and the poor quality of parking deck facades create the largest gaps in the quality of the campus environment. While the university needs to provide parking for its large commuter student and faculty/staff populations, improvements to existing surface parking can and must be addressed as part of the university’s commitment to enhancement of the public realm and sustainability. Surface parking on campus also provides land bank opportunities for future development, which will radically alter the visual character of these areas.

Campus Edges
The N. West Street frontage of the IUPUI campus, particularly between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets, portrays a clear sense of identity. Its consistent setback, similar building heights, massing, and materials, and quality
landscape all contribute to the character of this campus edge. However, the overhead utility lines detract from the attractiveness and quality of this primary campus edge. Surface parking lots, sparse landscape, and an inconsistent architectural style and setback dilute the clarity of remaining edges of campus on Indiana Avenue and W. 10th Street.

Internal to campus, the Zion and Breen landscape plan established deep setbacks for W. Michigan and W. New York Streets. This has created a lush but suburban quality to campus, adding to the perception that W. Michigan and W. New York Streets are barriers to accessing campus. Setbacks on other campus streets vary, but are typically deeper than traditional urban streets. Large sections of surface parking and inconsistent building placement along major corridors such as University Boulevard do not convey a consistent urban design intent.

**Streetscape Character**
The streetscape character at IUPUI is at best utilitarian. Generally, sidewalks are provided along most streets and thoroughfares, sometimes at the curb, sometimes separated from the road traffic by a narrow lawn panel. There are few streets with a healthy or established row of street trees. Some of the best examples on campus include University Boulevard south of W. New York Street. There, mature street trees planted along both sides of the sidewalks provide shade to pedestrians and motorists and define the street. The portions of W. Michigan and W. New York Street planted with staggered double rows of trees are also successful examples. Some of the poorest streetscapes are also at some of the busiest pedestrian areas, such as the east side of University Boulevard from W. Michigan to W. New York Streets. This streetscape consists of large expanses of pavement next to on-street parking, surface parking lots and a 4 -lane roadway, plus the Cavanaugh Hall loading dock. It has wider walks to accommodate foot traffic, but lacks any street trees, landscape, or urban amenities. Improvements to the streetscape character on campus will be a key component to enhancing the pedestrian realm.
**Gateways**

Gateways are arrival points to the campus, and can consist of a building or grouping of buildings, an architectural feature, a landscape feature, or a piece of public art. They can be scaled to either a vehicular scale or a pedestrian scale, depending on the mode of arrival and surrounding context.

The majority of traffic arrives to campus from the northeast or southeast. Because of the one-way street pairs, gateways to the IUPUI campus are limited to University Boulevard and W. Michigan Street off of N. West Street. Here, major campus entry signs and landscape have been installed. They are generally effective for announcing the campus entries. However, the signs lack an urban context and density of development around them to fully express the concept of gateway and arrival at a great urban research university. The I-65 exit ramp at N. West Street is a true regional front door for IUPUI and the medical campus, and provides an opportunity for a larger scale entry design.

Internal to campus, there are a few well-designed pedestrian gateways to the Barnhill and Middle Drive pedestrian malls at Riley Children’s Hospital that could serve as models for future gateways to other campus spaces.

Wayfinding is a critical concern for all users and visitors to campus. The University has been working with IU Health to implement a better wayfinding and signage program to direct visitors and patients to Riley and IU Hospitals, and various clinics. Modifications to roadways and the addition of new development and/or relocated facilities will require updating this wayfinding system.

**CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT**

**Community Context**

According to the United States Census, the City of Indianapolis had a population of 795,458 in the year 2006, making it the third largest Midwestern city, after Chicago and Detroit. Its metropolitan area has a population of over 1.7 million. The IUPUI campus occupies the peninsula of land formed by the White River.
and Fall Creek, in the northwest quadrant of downtown Indianapolis. A mix of government uses, parking, high density residential, historic properties, and single family neighborhoods surround the main campus.

The campus is bordered by 3 neighborhood and economic development districts to the east and south: the Indiana Avenue District, the Canal District, and the White River Cultural and Sports District. The Central Business District of downtown Indianapolis lies a few blocks east of the campus. North and west of Fall Creek and the White River are the neighborhoods of Riverside, Haughville, and Stringtown.

The IUPUI campus and the neighborhoods of Indiana Avenue and the Canal District are part of the larger Indiana Avenue Cultural District, under the jurisdiction of the BOS Community Development Corporation. There are six registered National Historic Districts and numerous historic properties within this area, including Indiana Avenue, Fayette Street, Lockfield Gardens, Ransom Place, and
the Flanner Homes. Historically significant structures and institutions include the Walker Theater and the Crispus Attucks High School, both on the National Register of Historic Places.

The White River Cultural and Sports District south of campus contains several significant state and local cultural facilities and destinations, including the 250-acre White River State Park, the Eiteljorg Museum of American Indian and Western Art, the State History Museum, Victory Field baseball complex, and the NCAA National Hall of Champions. The historic Military Park is also a federally recognized historic district within this area.

The State Capitol Building and state government offices are at the southeast corner of campus, across from Military Park. Although only 6 city blocks away from Monument Circle and the heart of downtown Indianapolis, the IUPUI campus is separated from downtown businesses and activities by several blocks of surface parking lots and decks and by N. West Street, a major nine-lane wide city arterial. The lack of urban vitality and active land uses between campus and downtown affects pedestrian quality and creates the perception that the campus is isolated from the rest of the city. Higher density residential infill along the Canal district has helped somewhat to bring population to the downtown and campus, although its inward-focused urban design character does not enhance the street level.
Property Ownership

Indiana University, Indiana University Foundation, the Indiana University Trustees, Indiana University Health, the Federal Government, and Marion County are the primary land owners on the peninsula formed by the White River and Fall Creek. The City of Indianapolis, Marion County, the State, the federal government, Methodist Hospital and IU Health are other significant land owners surrounding the campus. IU Health and Indiana University have acquired land northeast of campus, at the head of the downtown canal, largely along the People Mover. Indiana University has also acquired outlying parcels north of Fall Creek, along Stadium Avenue.

Land Use

The concept of IUPUI as one campus is a relatively recent condition. The construction of the Herron School of Art on W. New York Street in 2005 was the last step to consolidate the various academic departments and schools onto the peninsula. A few research facilities on W. 10th Street at the Canal—the Health
Information and Translational Sciences Building (HITS), the Emerging Technologies Center, and the Biomedical Research Technology Center (BRTC) facility—and miscellaneous support space (such as the ceramics studio for the School of Art) off of Stadium north of Fall Creek are the only off-campus facilities operated by IUPUI.

Currently the IUPUI campus is divided into five land use districts on the main peninsula:

- Health Sciences District
- Non-Health Sciences Academic District
- Parking Districts
- Athletics and Recreation District
- Residential Districts

*The Health Sciences District*, the oldest developed land area of campus, occupies the northwest quadrant on the peninsula. It includes the IU Hospital and Riley Children’s Hospital, patient clinics, medical and health sciences educational facilities, health sciences research facilities, and administrative departments and offices for the IU School of Medicine, School of Dentistry, and the School of Nursing. Wishard Memorial Hospital complex and the Veterans Administration Medical Center are neighbors to IUPUI in this northwest quadrant of campus. The district also houses miscellaneous campus support facilities such as the Power Plant, the Environmental Management Facility, the campus post office, and campus police in the Ball Annex.

The existing county-owned Wishard Memorial Hospital has outgrown its site at the corner of W. 10th Street and University Boulevard. In the fall of 2009, county voters approved a millage to allow the transfer of land between IUPUI and the county for the construction of a new county hospital. This will allow replacement of the existing Wishard Memorial Hospital, and the transfer of its land and facilities to IUPUI for campus use. The new Wishard Memorial Hospital is currently under construction on the west edge of campus, on the former Larue Carter psychiatric facility and old State Board of Health sites, between the VA Hospital and IUPUI’s border. The land transfer is scheduled for December of 2013.

*The Non-Health Sciences Academic District* by contrast is the newest part of campus in the southeast quadrant, between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets, east of University Boulevard. This district contains the remaining academic functions, schools, and colleges of the University and the central University Library. The new Campus Center and the University Place Hotel and Conference Center are strategically located in the geographic center of the campus, at the crossroads of W. Michigan Street and University Boulevard, where they can be easily accessed by both the medical research and non-medical academic districts.

*Parking Districts* for the campus are concentrated into the remaining southwest and northeast quadrants, unfortunately located across W. Michigan Street from the destinations and functions the parking is intended to serve. Primarily used for parking, these districts also contain non-academic functions such as Administration Offices, the Ronald McDonald House, and the Center for Young Children.
The Athletics and Recreation District on the south end of campus includes the Natatorium, the Indianapolis Tennis Center, the Michael A. Carroll Track and Soccer Stadium, the National Institute for Fitness and Sport, and softball and baseball fields. Kuntz Memorial Soccer Stadium, home to IUPUI's men's and women's soccer teams is located off of the main campus, north of Fall Creek on Stadium Drive.

The athletic facilities at IUPUI were built in the 1980s to host the 1987 Pan American Games held on campus, and to attract other national and world-class events. They have contributed to Indianapolis’ reputation as “Amateur Sports Capital of the World”. Although used by IUPUI, they were not constructed for IUPUI Athletics programs, and are not always seen as connected to the mission of IUPUI. As a district, their location, particularly the Tennis Center, cuts off access from campus to the White River State Park and cultural museums to the south.
**Residential Districts** are on the west and east ends of the peninsula. The University currently houses approximately 4% of its student body on campus. The Campus Apartments at the River Walk on the west side is a newer student housing complex that holds the majority of student housing. Its location is remote from the main academic campus, and lacks access to student amenities, services, and retail. Although not a part of student housing, Locke/field Gardens on the east side of campus provides housing for many graduate and medical school students, close to the campus core, medical school, and healthcare facilities.

**Campus Land Use Issues**
Overall, the potential energy and vitality of IUPUI as an urban campus is limited by the division of the campus into single use zones. Uses that are too distant from each other and a lack of campus amenities result in an academic core that feels empty, particularly at night. Surface parking lots as a primary land use on Indiana Avenue misses a key opportunity to create a vibrant urban edge to the neighborhood.

The Health Sciences District faces significant demand for expansion and/or new facilities but is severely land locked. Multiple uses, for medical education, research, faculty offices, and health care all need proximity and are competing for limited land area. Older structures such as Long Hospital, the Clinical Building, Coleman and Fesler Halls are on key sites for future growth, but new space must be found to move their existing programs and occupants before these sites can be made available for new construction.

As one part of the total University, the Health Sciences District faces increased challenges to integrate and accommodate new and emerging programs affecting patient care services, biomedical research, and medical education in new ways. These trends also reinforce the need for interdisciplinary collaboration among research scientists, clinicians, health care workers, physicians, medical educators and students.

**Wishard Memorial Hospital Site and Land Transfer**
Construction of the new Wishard Memorial Hospital and subsequent transfer of its existing land area to IUPUI will provide over 30 acres for future redevelopment. This will be a significant asset to address the future growth of health sciences education, research, and patient care in an interdisciplinary, integrated model. The current build-out of the existing Wishard site, condition of its current facilities for renovation and re-use, and ability to phase construction have been evaluated and described in detail as
part of Volume 2 of this master plan report, the **Integrated Plan for the Academic Medical Center Campus**. Please refer to that volume at the end of this document.

**Campus Height and Density**
For an urban research university in the heart of a major metropolitan center, the building height and density on the IUPUI non-medical academic campus is very low in scale and density. The great majority of buildings (78%) are less than four stories tall. 18 percent of buildings are between five to eight stories, predominantly within the Medical Research District. The University Place Hotel and the new Riley bed tower are the only structures on campus over 9 stories tall.

Floor Area Ratio (F.A.R.) is a means of measuring the proportion of building square footage to land area to determine the density of development. Overall, the IUPUI campus has a 0.7 F.A.R. However, the Academic District has an F.A.R. of only 0.4. Continued growth at lower levels of density will limit the capacity of the peninsula to accommodate future development. IUPUI must begin to value campus land as a strategic resource.

**Building Condition**
An evaluation of the physical condition for all campus facilities was conducted by the University Architect’s Office and provided to the planning team. Buildings were evaluated based on building age, replacement value, building component values, overall condition, prior renewal capital expenditures and observation.

The majority of buildings on campus were found to be in either satisfactory condition requiring no immediate renovation, or fair condition, requiring minor to moderate levels of renovation. Over one dozen campus structures were identified in need of major remodeling, including:

- Cavanaugh Hall
- Rotary Building
- Ball Residence Hall
- Coleman and Fesler Halls
- Clinical Building
- School of Dentistry
- Former Union building
- Natatorium
- Michael A. Carroll Stadium

A few structures were identified for demolition, primarily the Graduate Townhouse Apartments on Lansing Drive.

A number of the athletic venues built for the Pan Am Games in the 1980’s have significant renovation issues and ongoing maintenance costs for the amount of use by IUPUI Athletics. The Michael A. Carrol Stadium and the Tennis Center are two facilities that are over-scaled for the amount of university use they receive, and are costly for IUPUI to maintain. Major renovation and retention of these facilities in their current form will likely not serve the University’s needs in the long term.
### Existing FAR Density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Total Bldg GSF</th>
<th>Dist Area (AC)</th>
<th>FAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stadium Drive District</td>
<td>194,945</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Head</td>
<td>183,360</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Research</td>
<td>5,287,032</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Core</td>
<td>1,672,862</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>2,273,869</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>1,244,487</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/Academic</td>
<td>585,249</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverfront</td>
<td>64,355</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Campus Property</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Acreage is based on IUPUI Property ownership data from the IU GIS Database.*
Educational Adequacy
26 buildings on the IUPUI campus were evaluated as to their educational adequacy, including an analysis of their functionality, suitability for their use and purpose, and flexibility of space. The buildings reviewed were sorted into four categories:
1. High Quality/Model Buildings
2. Functional Buildings Requiring Upgrade / Renovation
3. Dated Buildings for Renovation or Replacement
4. Dated Buildings on Underutilized Sites

The specific buildings evaluated were:
1. High Quality Buildings
   - Biotech and Research Building
   - Eskenazi Hall
   - Health Information & Translational Science (HITS)
   - Informatics & Communications Technology Center (ICTC)
   - Inlow Hall
2. Buildings Requiring Upgrade
   - Business SPEA
   - Ceramics & Sculpture
   - Education/Social Work
   - Emerson Hall
   - Engineering & Technology Building
   - Engineering, Sciences & Technology
   - Medical Research & Library Building
   - National Institute for Fitness & Sport
   - Science Building
   - University Library
   - VanNuys Medical Science Building
3. Dated Buildings to Renovate/Replace
   - Dental Building
   - Natatorium
   - Nursing School
   - Taylor Hall (University College)
   - Union Building
4. Dated Buildings, Underutilized Sites
   - Cavanaugh Hall
   - Coleman Hall
   - Fesler Hall
   - Gatch Clinical Building
   - Lecture Hall

Long Hospital, Coleman Hall, Fesler Hall, and Gatch Clinical Building are significantly older buildings that have been converted from their original use and purpose. Although there has been some partial renovation, they are still identified in need of major renovation. Given their central location between Riley and IU Hospitals, the building sites of Long Hospital, Gatch Clinical Building and Coleman Hall are valuable to accommodate future growth for Cancer Research that will need close proximity to the hospitals and Simon Cancer Center. Though parts have been recently renovated, the Nursing School still has classrooms that are dated. The VanNuys Medical Science Building has been built in stages, and is also in need of major renovation.

The Dental Building is used for teaching clinics, research and teaching labs, classrooms, and offices. Starting in 1934 the building was built in three phases, and is outdated and in need of renovation and/or replacement space to accommodate its growing program and clinical practice.
Originally designed as a hotel, the Union building is at the end of its useful life. It is scheduled for demolition in the fall of 2011, as part of the site construction for the new county hospital, Wishard Memorial Hospital.

On the academic campus, the biology labs in the Engineering/Sciences and Technology Building are crowded and spaces are generally too small. The Science Building is also functional but needs more lab space.

Taylor Hall and the Lecture Hall are two of the original three buildings on campus. Taylor Hall is the former library, and has been reused for many purposes. The Lecture Hall is a one-story structure primarily used for classrooms. Both facilities are somewhat outdated and are too low in density at critical locations in the center of campus. In order to better utilize these prime campus sites, IUPUI should consider increasing the size of each building if renovation occurs.

Cavanaugh Hall is the third of the original buildings. It is primarily used for classrooms and faculty offices. This building is seriously dated, office spaces are too small, and it has no collaborative space for students and faculty. Given its location at a key intersection at the center of campus, it would be better to replace this facility with a more modern classroom building than renovate it.

Built in 1982, the Natatorium has hosted national events and U.S. Olympic trials. With a seating capacity of 4,700, it has the largest indoor pool in the United States. However, the Natatorium has a significant amount of deferred maintenance issues and is in need of a major renovation. The gymnasium in the building is used for basketball and volleyball, but is greatly undersized for its use.

For further information, please refer to the full Educational Adequacy report in the Technical Appendix.
CIRCULATION AND PARKING

Roads and Vehicular Traffic

IUPUI is served by a roadway network and hierarchy of streets that include regional arterials, city arterials, campus arterials, and local roads.

The city arterials of W. Michigan and W. New York Streets are one-way pairs, with W. Michigan Street carrying westbound traffic into campus and W. New York Street carrying eastbound traffic back to downtown. Blackford Street is also one-way between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets, leading southbound, to aid drivers exiting campus to W. New York Street. Average daily traffic volumes show that the majority of traffic coming to campus stays on campus, rather than using the city arterial streets as through routes.

Because of the campus’s location on the peninsula and its one-way pairs, there are only five vehicular entrances: W. Michigan Street from N. West Street on the east; University Boulevard at Indiana Avenue and Blackford Street at Indiana Avenue on the north; and the
The majority of traffic, 71%, arrives to campus from the northeast and southeast. It breaks down as:

- 51% arrives from the northeast via I-65,
- 20% arrives from the southeast,
- 17% arrives from the W. New York Street bridge,
- The remaining traffic arrives from either the W. 10th Street bridge, or from the east on W. Michigan St.

The direction of arrival and the one-way street pairs create several congested intersections on campus. Several intersections have failing levels of service, including the triangle of intersections at Indiana Avenue, W. 10th Street, and University Boulevard. On the east, long queues line up to turn onto W. Michigan Street from N. West Street, and line up again on W. Michigan Street turning onto Barnhill Drive to access the Vermont Street and Barnhill Drive Garages. Evening queues line up on Blackford, Barnhill, and W. New York Streets for traffic exiting campus.

Traffic congestion on campus is more a function of the direction of travel and lack of north-south options, rather than street capacity. The traffic volume and 8 travel lanes combined on W. Michigan and W. New York Streets handle the same volume of traffic as W. 10th Street with 4 travel lanes (at half the capacity). W. Michigan and W. New York St. have capacity to handle the road volumes, but still have congestion due to turning movements. Further, the high speed of travel, number of lanes, and long stretches with no signalized pedestrian crossings make W. Michigan and W. New York Streets barriers to campus pedestrian movement.
**Service Corridors**

Service and loading areas typically are located and screened to minimize disruption to the public realm of campus. A few notable exceptions includes the loading dock of Cavanaugh Hall that fronts directly onto University Boulevard, and the University Library and former University College loading dock that faces W. New York Street, directly on a main pedestrian route through campus. As the campus continues to develop, service areas and drives will need to be carefully integrated to not disrupt the campus fabric.
Parking
As of 2008, the existing parking supply on campus was 19,924 spaces serving the campus population of students, faculty, staff, hospital patients, and visitors. 17,209 spaces were located on the main peninsula. Approximately 2,715 spaces were on land owned by the IU north of Fall Creek, at the Canal District and other lots, serving off-campus facilities and remote parking. 8,331 spaces were in structured parking in 9 garages (including the parking below Inlow Hall) with 8,878 surface spaces on the main campus.

Approximately 73% of parking spaces on the main campus are permit parking for faculty, staff, and students. The remaining spaces are used for staff, physician, patient, and visitor parking for Riley Children’s Hospital, IU Hospital, the University Place Hotel and Conference Center. A parking utilization study conducted in 2008 for all non-hospital related permit lots demonstrated that the campus parking demand was at or beyond capacity. All parking lots and decks on the main campus
showed a 90% or greater utilization. In essence, the decks and lots on the peninsula were full.

As a result of the study, IUPUI commenced construction on a new 1,200 space parking garage on the surface lot at California and West Michigan Streets. This garage opened in the fall semester of 2010, adding much needed parking in the northeast quadrant of campus.

Additional factors since 2008 have also changed the parking supply on campus. Construction of the new Wishard Memorial Hospital complex has taken out 1,700 surface parking spaces on the west side of campus. Temporary parking has been utilized north of campus, including parking at the former Bush Stadium on 16th Street, and parking at Kuntz Stadium with a shuttle service to campus. Combined, these two surface lots add over 1,200 peripheral parking spaces. Once the new Wishard Memorial Hospital is completed and occupied, IUPUI will regain about 1,700 spaces in existing surface lots and the Wishard parking garage on the old Wishard Memorial Hospital site, as part of the land swap with the County.

The location of the majority of parking on campus creates significant circulation and pedestrian safety issues. Parking is clustered in three locations: the surface lots and garages in the northeast quadrant of campus, largely across West Michigan Street; in the center of campus (the Barnhill and Vermont Street garages); and the southwest quadrant of campus (the surface lots between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets, west of Barnhill). Parking located across West Michigan Street from the academic core creates significant conflicts for pedestrians trying to cross West Michigan. Parking located in the southwest quadrant is difficult to access. Not enough parking facilities are located close to campus entries and arrival points, causing motorists to drive further into campus to park, and then walk back to their destination. This leads to increased campus traffic congestion and conflicts with pedestrians. Future parking demand and the location of new facilities to support the growth of campus and to serve hospital patients and visitors are key concerns for the campus master plan.
### EXISTING CONDITIONS

#### Parking Utilization Study, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Spaces</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 - 50</td>
<td>50% - 59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 100</td>
<td>60% - 69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 - 200</td>
<td>70% - 79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 - 500</td>
<td>80% - 89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>&gt;90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Blackford St
- W. Michigan St
- W. New York St
- University Blvd
- Indiana Ave

*Parking Utilization Study, 2008*
Transit / Alternate Transportation
The IUPUI campus is served by two separate campus shuttles, the East Loop and the West Loop. These two systems do not overlap, and the direction of travel on the one way streets results in bus stops located on the wrong sides of the streets for riders, causing pedestrians to have to cross traffic to reach campus. The campus also has five city bus routes with stops within or at the perimeter of campus, but their stops are not coordinated with the campus shuttles. The city operates the Red Line, a shuttle bus run connecting the campus with the downtown. This has proved to be a promising route. The campus also has an elevated People Mover that connects the IU and Riley Hospitals with IU Health’s Methodist Hospital north of 16th Street, allowing medical faculty, students, and physicians access to all of the IU Health medical facilities in downtown Indianapolis.

Despite the number of campus shuttles, city bus routes, and the People Mover, not one of these systems connects to any other. The campus is in a sense transportation rich, but mobility
impaired. Even with traffic congestion, one-way streets, and parking at capacity, it is still easier to get around campus with a car.

The planning team conducted a user survey in 2008 for faculty, staff, graduate and undergraduate students to understand their travel patterns, parking patterns, and use of transit on campus. The survey revealed that:

- On average, 86% of all campus population groups live beyond three miles from campus.
- 95% of the total campus population uses the automobile to reach campus.
- 87% on average drive alone.
- 22% of students live within 3 miles of campus, but 86% of students drive alone to classes.
- Approximately 8% of all campus populations carpool to campus.
- 20% on average move their cars during the day (24% of students move their cars).
- 34% of all drivers circulate through multiple lots before finding a spot (50% for students).
- Slightly more people walk to campus than ride transit (1.8% vs. 1.6%).
- 2% use bus transit while on campus, although faculty reported an 8% use of the People Mover.
- 45% of drivers would consider an alternate mode of travel to campus.
- The Red Line is the most popular bus route with students.

The overall mode split in 2008 for faculty, staff, and students coming to campus:

- 87% drive alone
- 8% carpool or get dropped off
- 1.8% walk
- 0.7% bike
- 1.6% bus

With the vast majority of campus users living more than 3 miles from campus, current transit options in Indianapolis may not be viable. However, the campus could do more to increase the ridership and effectiveness of its own campus shuttle system, to cut down on the amount people drive to multiple spots on campus during the day.

The fact that 45% of drivers to campus would consider alternate means of transportation, including transit and carpooling if they were more convenient, suggests that a robust and comprehensive Transportation Management Plan could have some impact on the traffic and parking demand on campus. Current Carpooling and Guaranteed Ride Home programs could also be better advertised. Campus and City efforts to introduce more housing and more housing choice on and near campus would also help reduce the traffic and parking demand on campus.

**Pedestrian Circulation**

The basic pedestrian flow on campus is east west along Vermont Street and through the center of the Academic Core. Secondary pedestrian routes include Barnhill Drive and the pedestrian malls within the medical campus.
The primary pedestrian circulation issue is the north south access across campus and the location of parking on the opposite side of W. Michigan Street from medical or academic destinations. East of University Boulevard, decks and surface parking lots that serve the academic core are north of W. Michigan Street, causing pedestrians to cross multiple lanes of traffic. West of University Boulevard, parking decks serving the medical campus are south of W. Michigan Street, creating significant pedestrian volumes at the intersection of W. Michigan Street and Barnhill, adding to this already congested intersection.

A number of overhead skywalks serve the medical research district campus, connecting it to the hotel, conference center, academic district (through SPEA) to the Natatorium and its sports medicine facilities. This skywalk system was constructed primarily to serve the medical and research functions of campus, and as a way to bridge over W. Michigan Street. It does not connect the rest of academic destinations. Brief observations of pedestrian movements revealed that a slight majority of pedestrians still crossed at grade rather than use the overhead skywalk on W. Michigan Street at Blackford or Blake, even if it meant jaywalking.

A number of the regional and city arterial streets are very wide, including N. West Street (94’ to 104’), W. 10th Street (60’ wide), and W. Michigan and W. New York Streets (44’ and 48’ wide, respectively). Street widths, the volume and speed of traffic, and long blocks without any signalized pedestrian crossings make these streets barriers to pedestrian movement across campus. University Boulevard, with street widths ranging from 50 to 60’, has a number of signalized intersections, making it easier for pedestrians to cross.

N. West Street poses the biggest barrier to pedestrian movement from downtown to campus. Pedestrian access across N. West Street is only at the signalized intersections at W. New York and W. Michigan Streets. Signal timing and the width of pavement does not easily accommodate pedestrians crossing N. West Street.

The outdoor environment at IUPUI needs better landscape definition and human scale to create more comfortable outdoor spaces and walkways. The formal bosques of trees within the academic quadrangle do not shade pedestrians moving between classes, and the main east west pedestrian route has no tree cover. The large open spaces around the Library are uninviting, open to the extremes of the climate and weather. Campus streets lack activity and vibrancy to attract students and visitors to stay on campus for longer periods.

Walkways within the medical research district have received better landscape treatment. The east west pedestrian mall on the former Middle Drive next to Riley Hospital is an excellent example of an appropriately scaled and designed outdoor space.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

Colors

Pedestrian Circulation

Existing At Grade Pedestrian Route
Existing Above Grade Pedestrian Route
Pedestrian Conflict
Informal Pedestrian Path
Pedestrian Circulation

Pedestrian Mall at Riley Hospital for Children

East-West Pedestrian Route on Central Campus
**Bicycle Use**

In 2008, the IUPUI campus had relatively little bicycle circulation facilities. Recently, the City of Indianapolis has striped a one-way bike lane on W. Michigan and W. New York Streets, following the one-way flow of vehicular traffic. While these facilities help support bicycle ridership, particularly commuter biking, the large blocks of campus and the one-way design of major streets make it difficult for bicyclists to easily reach their destinations on campus, without going out of their way.

The campus does have connection to the 5-mile long White River Wapahani Trail that follows the top of the levee along the White River, connecting the White River State Park and the campus across the footbridge over Fall Creek. The White River Wapahani Trail connects to the regional greenways of Fall Creek Trail and the Central Canal Towpath to the north. Expansion of the Cultural Trail route within downtown Indianapolis to Blackford Street on campus will tie in Military Park and the White River State Park south of campus. This leg of the Cultural Trail would connect campus back to the Monon Trail east of Meridian Avenue, creating a fully connected, regional greenway and recreational trail system.

Given the commuter nature of the IUPUI campus, the university might want to consider developing a bike sharing program for students and staff as an easier alternative to using personal vehicles to drive to campus destinations. Additional internal bike routes, an on-campus bike station for repairs, showers in new construction, bike lockers and more bicycle racks would all help increase campus bicycle use.

Please refer to the full Transportation Report as part of the Technical Appendices of the Master Plan.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

CAMPUS INFRASTRUCTURE

Chilled Water System

The IUPUI campus is currently served by Citizens’ Thermal (CT), the Indianapolis district energy company. In addition, IUPUI owns a 5,500 Ton chiller plant which CT maintains and operates. The plant was recently relocated to the new Riley Faculty Building located at Wishard Blvd and Wilson Street. This chiller plant is located on the North end of the Chilled Water distribution system and assists with maintaining capacity and hydraulic pressure differential on campus. The projected cooling load for the campus in 2008 was 17,113 Tons. In addition to IUPUI buildings, there are several IU buildings which are connected to the district cooling system that share the same distribution piping with IUPUI. The main chilled water piping service to campus originates at the CT N. West Street plant. A set of 42” mains enter the campus from the South near the white river canal. Several distribution additions were recently added to the IUPUI campus to support the new buildings that are operational or under construction. The largest piping installation was a set of 24” mains that started in University Boulevard north of W. Michigan Street, and connected to the existing piping in Walnut Street. This extension facilitated the connections to Cancer Research II and III, and provided a second feed into the 18” chilled water loop, originally installed by IUPUI.

Campus Steam and Condensate System

The IUPUI campus steam system is supplied by the Citizens Thermal (CT) from the Perry K steam plant. Steam is delivered to the IUPUI campus from the ‘O’-Vault where the pressure is reduced from a supply pressure of 250 psig to 150 psig for distribution to IUPUI and to the hospitals (Veterans Administration, Wishard, and Riley) on the north side of campus. The steam supplied to the hospitals is delivered through the IUPUI steam system but metered at the hospitals, deducted from the campus use, and billed separately.

Campus Cooling Problems

The distribution system has been hydraulically modeled to verify support of the existing building systems plus the buildings which are currently under construction. The last building under construction, Riley Phase V, was the last load to be modeled. A conclusion made at the completion of the hydraulic model was the existing distribution system cannot support additional cooling load on the campus without some type of distribution expansion. The problem is most acute in the Riley hospital block as this is the furthest point away from the N. West Street chilled water plant on campus. The new IUPUI North plant operation is critical to maintaining adequate differential pressure in the Riley hospital block during peak cooling periods.
PRVs in the vault, with two of them normally activated. The third PRV in the vault is intended for use as an in-line spare should either of the two active PRVs require replacement or maintenance. The current usage, including the hospitals, is approximately 170,000 lbs/hr.

The steam distribution system is owned by IUPUI and is maintained by CT. Steam is distributed through the campus at 150 psig with any further pressure reduction occurring at the individual buildings as required for each user. There are some distribution sections that supply steam to multiple users at 50 psig. The distribution system consists of both direct-buried pipe and a system of utility tunnels. It is generally in good condition and meets the existing demand for both IUPUI and the hospitals. There is some concern that the supply to the VA hospital may become insufficient if additional future load is installed on campus.

**Campus Steam and Condensate Problems**

The distribution system for both IUPUI and the hospitals is supplied by a single pipe and
IUPUI operates and maintains circuits that originate in these switchyards. IUPUI campus Substation A, on the north side of the campus, is fed from three circuits. Substation B, on the west side of the campus, is fed from two circuits. Substation C, on the southeast side of the campus, is fed from two circuits. Each of the three AES/IPL utility switchyards provides 13.8KV to the substations maintained and operated by campus facilities services. The 13.8KV electrical distribution system is mainly an underground radial distributed throughout the campus. The existing duct bank distribution system and cable capacity is currently satisfactory. Each building has distribution equipment that can be fed from two different radial feeds. This redundancy is critical for system reliability and planned maintenance.

There is no condensate return system at the IUPUI campus. Generally speaking, condensate is tempered and discharged to the sewer. There are heat recovery systems at a few locations on campus; however, heat recovery is minimal.

Electrical System
The Campus electrical distribution system supplies academic buildings, research facilities and IU Health and VA hospital loads. AES/IPL is the electrical utility provider for the campus. Peak consumption is 38MW. AES/IPL provides a total of six circuits to three utility switchyards. IUPUI operates and maintains circuits that originate in these switchyards. IUPUI campus Substation A, on the north side of the campus, is fed from three circuits. Substation B, on the west side of the campus, is fed from two circuits. Substation C, on the southeast side of the campus, is fed from two circuits. Each of the three AES/IPL utility switchyards provides 13.8KV to the substations maintained and operated by campus facilities services. The 13.8KV electrical distribution system is mainly an underground radial distributed throughout the campus. The existing duct bank distribution system and cable capacity is currently satisfactory. Each building has distribution equipment that can be fed from two different radial feeds. This redundancy is critical for system reliability and planned maintenance.

Campus Power Problems
IUPUI circuits operate at 13.8KV. AES/IPL’s nominal distribution voltage is 13.2KV, but typically is maintained at a somewhat higher value. Operation of the circuits under these conditions is normally transparent, but
occasionally when AES/IPL allows the voltage to drop to 13.4KV, IUPUI will start experiencing voltage drop problems in their low voltage building distribution systems. The voltage dip is seen quickly in major equipment such as elevators and large HVAC equipment. The utility transformers are 10% impedance (Z).

A small quantity of cable runs is in need of being upgraded to 750 MCM cable. Most of the main 15KV distribution conductors consist of 750 MCM with EPR insulation. There are some existing conductors with XLP insulation that are scheduled for replacement with EPR insulation. All future 15KV distribution conductors should be equipped with EPR insulation. Standard size for all standard conductors should be 750 MCM.

There is a concern for Feeders AE1 and AE3, which originate from Substation A. In normal operation these feeders can accommodate the load capacity. But in an emergency, or for planned maintenance switching scenarios, these feeders could become overloaded and exceed the rated capacity of the circuits. Pickup trip units are set to 600 amps; and the conductor insulation is XLP.

Currently there is an AES/IPL 13.2KV circuit in the Substation B switchyard for emergency feed of the AES/IPL loads (apartments and small offices). There is a manual switch for this emergency circuit with a sign that highlights the fact that the IUPUI feed is provided at 13.8KV and AES/IPL loads are based on 13.2KV. AES/IPL would only need the emergency backup if the existing service feed from across the bridge was out of service.

The AES/IPL service feed for Substation C also serves the GM plant. This has been a problem in the past when GM or one of AES/IPL's other customers on that service feed has a problem that requires switching by AES/IPL or the customer. The switching is experienced by IUPUI as a brown-out, or on rare occasions as a momentary outage.

“Rider 15”, a contractual agreement between AES/IPL and IUPUI, allows AES/IPL the option of requesting that IUPUI operate its existing generators in order to reduce the load on the AES/IPL grid during the months of June, July and August. IUPUI does not normally operate generators for peak shaving.

Telecommunications System
The telecommunications distribution system is comprised of duct bank systems interwoven throughout the IUPUI campus. There are additional direct-buried conduits that connect the IUPUI campus to IU Bloomington and Purdue University West Lafayette campuses. Additional conduit is routed on the IU Health People Mover infrastructure. IUPUI’s telecommunications infrastructure has many existing redundant network loops; and has the capability to expand to accommodate new facilities that will be added to the campus in the future.

Telecommunications Problems
Currently, there are no significant problems or issues of the IUPUI telecommunications system that require resolution.
Stormwater and Sanitary Systems
The main campus drainage system improvements can be broken down into four main drainage corridors. These corridors were identified based on the four main combined sewer overflows which convey storm water runoff and sanitary discharge from campus property; Beauty Avenue corridor, University Boulevard corridor, Blackford Street corridor and Indiana Avenue corridor. The areas which contribute storm water runoff to these main lines are, in large part, planned for development as a result of the Master Plan and therefore create an opportunity to separate storm and sanitary sewers.

Several smaller storm water systems outlet runoff to the White River and Fall Creek; however the largest systems exist combined with the sanitary sewer system. This combination is what causes the release of sewage during high runoff events.

In addition to the adverse nature of the combined sewer system, many of the existing pipes are undersized or deteriorating due to age. Whether the storm and sanitary systems are separated or not, the piping needs to be upgraded.

A sewer separation and campus drainage strategy coinciding with the master plan has been developed. This plan is broken down into four phases of separation based on the four proposed main drainage corridors. Under this plan, all new development, (buildings, parking lots, recreational fields and open space) are recommended to be separated if they are not currently. The sizing and capacity of the proposed system is to be determined based on the current capacity of the system as it is today, and the projected load on the system at full build out. It will be up to the campus and the City to determine pipe sizing and decide how to implement the construction of new drainage infrastructure based on the recommendations of the master plan.

A significant investment on the part of the City and the University will be needed to achieve separation in all proposed development areas.

The storm water runoff and sanitary loads from all new building projects will have to be routed separately to the main sewer system collection lines based on city regulations. The full separation of as many existing and proposed building sites as possible is the goal of the master plan. As development continues, it will be necessary to determine on a case by case basis how best to route, re-route, abandon or convert storm and sanitary lines in a way that makes fiscal sense, minimizes disturbances to campus, maximizes sewer separation, and promotes sustainability.
EXISTING CONDITIONS

Existing Combined Sewer System

Existing Sanitary Lines
Existing Storm Lines
Existing Separated Zones

Stormwater Outfall at White River
PROGRAM
The planning team evaluated three aspects driving the need for increased space: qualitative space needs, quantitative space needs, and social space needs. Each of these is a determinant in the formation of academic, support, and campus life programs accommodated by the Master Plan framework.

QUALITATIVE SPACE NEEDS
Teaching and Research Space
One of IUPUI’s primary academic goals is to enhance the resource base on campus. This includes addressing the quality of teaching and research space. At the lab and classroom level, research and instruction spaces must facilitate interdisciplinary collaboration and interaction through group work and active learning. New and existing classroom spaces should continue to incorporate emerging communication technologies, including streaming video, web conferencing and virtual learning software.

At the building and campus level, functions must be more integrated in order to improve cross-collaboration and communication among members of its diverse population. New program and building adjacencies, physical integration of programs within buildings, and inclusion of informal, unprogrammed interaction spaces will facilitate new collaboration.

Campus Life
The increased number of full-time students has changed the culture of IUPUI. Given the diversity and varying schedules of the student body, there is an increased need for informal social space in academic settings to encourage the continuation of in-class discussions outside the classroom. According to the 2008 VOICE report commissioned by the IUPUI Division of Student Life, “IUPUI students have very different lives and there needs to be … more interaction between all students.”

More residence halls, dining services, informal gathering spaces, recreation, and student activities are needed to build a stronger sense of community and campus identity, and to improve student retention and graduation rates. Faculty members have also expressed the need for greater visibility, accessibility, and space for social venues such as an expanded Faculty Club.

QUAntiTATIVE SPACE NEEDS
Quantitative space needs include the mathematically driven elements that are necessary for future program development. They outline physical building blocks and

Academic Space
identify specific space types. Space needs are in essence an assemblage of spatial parts from which to construct a physical vision of the future campus. It is important to apply this technical information through a qualitative filter and broad campus-wide lens.

For the purposes of the Master Plan, the space needs were derived in assignable square feet (ASF) and subsequently converted to gross square feet (GSF). This conversion assumes a 63% ratio of ASF to GSF (1.58 multiplier). This building efficiency relationship was validated, on a campus-wide level, by the Indiana University Facilities Inventory Summary for the IUPUI campus. Campus space needs are divided into four broad categories of academic, academic support, auxiliary, and residential typologies.

**Academic Space**
- Classroom, laboratory, research, office, service

**Academic Support Space**
- Library, administrative, recreation, assembly, exhibit, physical plant

**Auxiliary Space**
- Student center, health, athletics

**Residential Space**
- Residential halls, dining facilities

**Campus Baseline: 2007-2008 Academic Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Enrollment</th>
<th>29,854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>21,202 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>8,652 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>3,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>4,765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Campus Population</td>
<td>37,780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The planning team utilized the fall 2007 data as the point of departure for spatial and programmatic projections. Consideration of facilities needs, transportation requirements, and infrastructure demands is based on aggregate totals of the campus population and not full time equivalent (FTE) metrics.

**Historical Enrollment Growth**

Historically, working in increments of 10 years, IUPUI experienced an average growth of 6,520 students per decade from 1968 to 2008.

Over the last decade, IUPUI continued to experience similar enrollment growth. Using information from the Indiana University Reporting and Research database, from 1998 to 2008 the University grew from an enrollment of 27,036 to 29,854, adding 2,818 students, representing 10 percent growth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enrollment</th>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>% Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3,761</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21,700</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23,618</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27,036</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29,854</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Projected Enrollment Target**

Based on fall 2007 data, IUPUI reported a record student headcount of 29,854 and a full time equivalent (FTE) enrollment of 22,161. The relatively high ratio of FTE to headcount suggests a reasonably high utilization of campus facilities through the day. This spatial efficiency was confirmed during interviews with faculty...
EXISTING CONDITIONS

For the purposes of the Campus Master Plan, a new enrollment level of 35,000 was established as the planning target. Essentially, this suggests increasing student enrollment 17 percent over enrollment levels of the 2007-2008 academic year. This enrollment direction underscores the University’s commitment to growth at IUPUI, a quality student experience, research, and the need for state of the art facilities to meet the competitive academic needs of the future.

Historical Facilities Growth

Based on fall 2007 baseline data, IUPUI contains more than 129 buildings on 509 acres representing 9.86 million GSF. Using information from the University Bureau of Facilities Programming and Utilization, from 1998 to 2008 the university grew from 6.6 to 9.86 million GSF, adding 3.3 million GSF and representing 49% growth. Historically, the university has experienced an average increase of 2.0 million GSF per decade:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilities (GSF)</th>
<th>Decade</th>
<th>% Growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,809,622 GSF</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,413,047 GSF</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>88.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,173,467 GSF</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,616,781 GSF</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,859,179 GSF</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Process to Determine Need

The planning team conducted two studies to validate quantitative space needs for campus: 1) a benchmarking analysis of space allocation per student within peer institutions, and 2) a space needs analysis comparing current and projected IUPUI space needs against a normative data base of over 400 comparable universities across the country.

IUPUI provided the planning team with a staffing file, which included faculty and staff identified by job title and unit assignment, and a facility inventory that summarized space by space type and assigned unit. Enrollment information separated by school was also provided. During the study, the planning team met with campus leaders and deans in addition to representatives from various master plan committees to review unique space needs. The planning team visited the campus and toured selected buildings. The data was utilized to analyze space needs and to illustrate benchmarking data. For reference, the nomenclature “base year” refers to the academic year 2007 and “future year / target year” refers to a 10-year minimum planning horizon.

Space Allocation Benchmarking

At the outset of the study, IUPUI leadership identified twelve urban, public research universities as peer institutions for the planning team to survey. Once identified, an electronic questionnaire and cover letter was developed and sent to all twelve institutions. The survey requested data on space overall and space by school or college; the number of students; the number of faculty; and student credit hours. Data was gathered from the following nine peer...
institutions:
• University of Louisville
• University of Alabama at Birmingham
• University of New Mexico
• University at Buffalo
• University of South Florida
• University of Cincinnati
• University of Utah
• Virginia Commonwealth University
• University of Illinois at Chicago

Temple University, Wayne State University, and the University of Colorado Denver were also identified and contacted as part of the original twelve peer institutions; they declined to participate.

The student FTE enrollment and full-time faculty for each of the peer institutions are depicted in the Data Analysis Summary table. Following the comparative analysis, the benchmarking data was normalized by calculating the ASF per student and ASF per full-time faculty. Once normalized, the average for the peers was calculated and compared to the

---

**Data Analysis Summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Total Campus ASF</th>
<th>Student FTE</th>
<th>FT Faculty</th>
<th>Faculty/Student Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University A</td>
<td>4,803,912</td>
<td>13,337</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University B</td>
<td>4,330,215</td>
<td>14,298</td>
<td>1,203</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University C</td>
<td>4,111,855</td>
<td>23,100</td>
<td>2,083</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University D</td>
<td>5,945,365</td>
<td>24,557</td>
<td>1,673</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University E</td>
<td>3,078,974</td>
<td>17,214</td>
<td>1,468</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University F</td>
<td>2,218,573</td>
<td>20,337</td>
<td>1,046</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University G</td>
<td>4,096,430</td>
<td>20,178</td>
<td>1,216</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University H</td>
<td>6,072,301</td>
<td>23,307</td>
<td>2,104</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University I</td>
<td>3,195,561</td>
<td>20,337</td>
<td>1,888</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Benchmark Average:**
- Total Campus ASF: 4,305,912
- Student FTE: 21,848
- FT Faculty: 1,866
- Faculty/Student Ratio: 1.14

**IUPUI Compared to Average:**
- Total Campus ASF: 3,019,737
- Student FTE: 136
- FT Faculty: 1,429

**IUPUI as a % of Average:**
- Total Campus ASF: (30%)
- Student FTE: (32%)
- FT Faculty: (47%)
data for the IUPUI campus. The planning team compared ASF per student and ASF per full-time faculty campus-wide, by type of space, and by school and college.

**Space Allocation Findings**

IUPUI ranks ninth out of the ten peer institutions examined in total campus ASF, equivalent to 30 percent less ASF than the combined campus average. The ASF per student at the peer institutions ranges from 110 to 360 ASF per student. The average of the peers is 205 ASF per student. IUPUI has 136 ASF per student, ranking eighth in ASF per student when compared to the urban research universities in the study.

At the baseline year of 2007, IUPUI’s faculty per student ratio was higher than the average of the peer institutions. However, IUPUI ranked seventh in its full time equivalent (FTE) for student enrollment.

The ASF per full-time faculty ranges from 1,429 ASF to 3,780 ASF per faculty. The average of the peers is 2,688 ASF per full-time faculty. IUPUI has 1,429 ASF per full-time faculty, placing IUPUI last in comparison to its peers.

This benchmarking comparison demonstrated that IUPUI is deficient in space for both its student population and in ASF per full-time faculty.

**SPACE NEEDS ANALYSIS**

The *IUPUI Space Needs Analysis for the Master Plan* study focused on the campus-wide, non-healthcare needs and analyzed physical space needs by major space types. The study also evaluated space needs at the school level and provided information on where each academic school or college stands in relation to recognized space guidelines at current and proposed activity levels.

The purpose of the study was twofold:

- To identify and define existing and future space needs to aid IUPUI in fulfilling its educational and research mission.
To provide potential square footage requirements to aid in prudent land use, capacity, adjacency, and campus organizational decision-making.

Space Needs Analysis Findings

Existing Space Needs at the Campus-Wide Level
At the campus-wide level in the base year IUPUI had a total space deficit of nearly 896,000 ASF (1,415,000 GSF). This represented 30 percent of the existing academic and related space on campus.

Existing Space Needs by Category
The greatest existing space needs at the base year were in research space and academic office space. This was equivalent to 60% of the overall existing campus-wide need. There was also a 19% deficit in both classroom and class lab space on campus.

Capital Projects

As of the base year, IUPUI had approximately 194,000 ASF (311,000 GSF) of capital projects that were approved, funded, and/or are now nearing completion, that include the Glick Eye Institute, the Research Institute III, and office, classroom, and lab space within the IU Health Fairbanks Hall in the Canal District on W. 10th Street.

Campus Wide Projected Space Needs
In order to accommodate growth to a 35,000 student enrollment target, future year projections established in 2008 (including the 311,000 GSF of currently funded capital projects) showed that the University could anticipate an additional demand of 1,422,000 ASF, the equivalent of 2,200,000 GSF. This projection did not account for future demolition or replacement of facilities.

Projected Space Needs by Category
Future year projections showed that the greatest space needs will remain in the categories of research space and academic office space. These two categories represented 51% and 28% respectively. Collectively, classroom and class lab space represented 18% of the overall future need. Per the 2008 study, and considering the need for demolition and replacement of outdated space, IUPUI would have a total future space need projection of almost 3.4 million gross square feet of new construction to address current space deficiencies and to accommodate enrollment and research growth.

2008 Space Needs Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Space Category</th>
<th>Future Needs (GSF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic Space</td>
<td>1,525,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Support Space</td>
<td>515,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary Space</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Replacement</td>
<td>1,184,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Space Need</td>
<td>3,384,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EXISTING CONDITIONS

2011 Revised Space Needs

The IUPUI Space Needs Analysis for the Master Plan Report study was completed in early 2009. Since that time, a more detailed program analysis was conducted for the Health Sciences Education Schools (School of Medicine, School of Health and Rehabilitative Services, School of Nursing, School of Dentistry and Department of Public Health), as part of the integrated planning effort with IU Health. In that process, future space projections for the Health Sciences programs increased, reflecting changing assumptions of clinical need and growth for the School of Dentistry, increased demand for the School of Nursing and School of Health and Rehabilitative Services, and a re-assessment of the future research and academic need for School of Medicine. The space projections for IUPUI have been revised as follows:

### 2011 Revised Space Needs: (GSF)
- Health Sciences Schools: 1,947,460
- Non-Health: 1,824,700
- Academic/Support/Auxiliary: 1,372,235

Subtotal: 4,890,910

Demolition/Replacement: 1,118,750

Total Future Space Need: 4,890,910
EXISTING CONDITIONS

SOCIAL NEEDS

Residence Life
With less than 4% of the student body residing on campus, a complete on-campus student living-learning experience is diminished. As of fall 2007, the campus contained approximately 1,107 beds in three primary developments, concentrated on the west side of campus.

Existing On-Campus Housing Breakdown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residence</th>
<th>Beds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ball Residence Hall</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Townhouse Apartments</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Apartments at River Walk</td>
<td>747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>1,107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Built in 1928, the Ball Residence Hall is the most historic residence, overlooking the sunken gardens and open space of Ball Garden. The Graduate Townhomes on Lansing Street were constructed in 1958 and are viewed as outdated models for student needs. Built in 2003, the Campus Apartments at the River Walk are the most recent housing units and contain the campus’s majority of student housing.

IUPUI is evolving from a part-time to a full-time student environment. This shift brings opportunities and pressures to accommodate not only a growing enrollment, but also a growing need for more on-campus housing with related dining facilities and student life programs. The Department of Housing and Residence Life at IUPUI has set a goal to build a stronger campus community to promote student recruitment and to increase student retention, engagement, and graduation rates.

At the time of this report, IUPUI was conducting a concurrent housing market demand study. Early indications from the study noted an ample supply of apartment-style units and the need for more innovative suite-style units geared toward freshmen and sophomores. For the purposes of the Master Plan, residential programming was based on a target goal of supplying beds for ten percent (10%) of the student population, the upper limit for on-campus housing at IUPUI established by the State Legislature. In order to be competitive, the campus will need to provide a more integrated model of campus housing that includes dining facilities, academic support services, classrooms, and student health and recreation space.

CAMPUS GATHERING SPACES

Although IUPUI lies within the northwest quadrant of downtown Indianapolis, the campus environment lacks retail activity and gathering spaces conveniently located for campus users on campus. Gathering spaces could include a range of retail and commercial uses, recreational uses, student-run and local businesses and services, public spaces, small restaurants, casual food, coffee houses, and evening destinations. As both indoor and outdoor environments, gathering spaces encourage interaction and the exchange...
of ideas for more informal learning among students, faculty, staff, medical personnel, and visitors. Such activities and spaces provide opportunities for recreation, healthy living, arts, culture, and fun—a more fully integrated, lively, and intellectually stimulating campus environment.

Creating a Dynamic Urban Campus
Increasingly, urban universities have pursued both public and private partnerships with local municipalities and the private sector to develop more amenities and gathering spaces on and adjacent to their campuses. The Southern Gateway, a joint venture of the City of Columbus, Ohio, The Ohio State University, and the private sector is an example of a revitalization of a campus/neighborhood edge into a vibrant, urban experience.

Untapped Potential
The planning team estimated a daily population of 57,400 on campus, comprised of students, faculty, staff, researchers, medical staff, outpatients, and hospital/campus visitors. Currently the campus lacks sufficient amenities to serve this large, captive, daily population. The exceptional and immediate success of the new Campus Center and the popularity of other on-campus gathering spaces (University College Courtyard, SPEA student lounge, and the University Place Hotel restaurants) demonstrate an under-serviced demand for additional eateries and social gathering places.

The planning team refined the daily campus population and visitor population into differentiated user groups in order to determine potential economic spending and demand:

**Primary Users:**
- IUPUI students, faculty, and staff
- Medical campus employees, visitors, and outpatients
- University Place Hotel guests
- Conference Center attendees
- Special Events Visitors (sports and charitable events-related)

**Secondary / Regional Users:**
- Downtown and adjacent neighborhood residents
- City of Indianapolis residents
- Overnight visitors staying in downtown Indianapolis

Considering retail expenditures and economic...
activity adjacent to campus and in the city of Indianapolis, the IUPUI campus has the potential to capture nearly $79 million annually in expenditures on campus. The Primary User Group for campus is projected to account for 86% of potential expenditures. 14% is projected to come from the Secondary/Regional User Group defined above. Students alone are projected to account for 43% of all expenditures, while the medical campus population is projected to account for 18%.

This potential commercial economy on campus can be translated into the equivalent of over 60 small businesses, services, and amenities at an average size of 1,800 square feet each, for a combined total of approximately 108,000 square feet of additional retail and enhanced gathering spaces.
5 | THE MASTER PLAN
A CAMPUS IN TRANSITION

IUPUI is maturing from its campus ‘adolescence’ into adulthood. The campus is transitioning from a commuter-based to a more mixed use environment, from suburban density to an urban density, from an isolated peninsula to a connected grid, and from a place removed from the City to a place within the City. The principal outcomes of this transition affect the physical, cultural, and intellectual framework. Building on the foundations that formed the campus, the Master Plan proposes a new approach to transform the campus into a great urban university.

To begin the transformation, the Master Plan re-evaluates the past assumptions that one-way streets and large super-blocks constitute an effective urban environment. The Master Plan suggests that the most effective urban environment is dense, flexible, convenient, and multi-faceted. The Plan proposes continued physical integration of the medical and academic centers, the refinement of over-scaled open space environments, and the creation of a pedestrian-centric culture. The Master Plan proposes to transform IUPUI by breaking down the physical and perceptual campus barriers, redefining and re-imagining the campus form by embracing urbanity, and connecting institutional, neighborhood, and City communities.

The future holds significant change for IUPUI. As the university targets growth from a 30,000 to a 35,000 student population, several concurrent needs are triggered. These needs shape the perspective of the Master Plan, defining the importance of managing dramatic growth strategies to address the necessity of building new facilities, replacing or renovating aging buildings, and reshaping the quality of the existing learning environment.
THE MASTER PLAN
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THE MASTER PLAN

A Strong Urban Edge, A Model for Indiana Avenue

The physical Master Plan is comprised of three components: the master plan principles and themes, campus wide systems and guidelines, and campus district recommendations. This overview describes the Master Plan principles that have led to the formulation of key themes that inform all aspects of the Master Plan.

The planning principles convey the intent, goals, and long-term values of the campus. They are the most fixed and enduring elements. The planning principles were developed early in the process to test campus development. They represent ideas on campus enhancement, and ways to invigorate existing campus districts and edges. The planning principles for the IUPUI campus are:

- Capitalize on IUPUI's urban setting
- Use land wisely
- Celebrate pedestrian places and environments
- Mitigate traffic issues
- Define Indiana Avenue as a mixed-use district
- Integrate the campus with the Cultural Trail
- Transform the parking strategy away from surface lots
- Connect the campus to the White River and to the City

KEY THEMES

The Master Plan process was informed by a rigorous analysis and testing of ideas. The fundamental intent of the physical Master Plan can be summarized through a series of powerful and complementary themes that resulted from that process. Specific physical planning recommendations reinforce the key themes:

1. Create a Dense Urban Environment
2. Unite the Campus
3. Engage the City
4. Redefine the Public Realm
5. Animate the Campus

1. Create a Dense Urban Environment

IUPUI is positioned to create a forward-looking modern campus for a truly urban institution. New development should be dense and vertically integrated with a mix of uses. Increasing campus density from a suburban model to a more appropriate urban model will accommodate the University's need for growth for the next
10 to 20 years and will leave land available for continued growth beyond that planning horizon. Concentrating future development on the main peninsula will create a critical mass necessary to support interdisciplinary research, academic interaction, and a more vital student and campus experience. Scaling down the super blocks for enhanced pedestrian circulation, re-introducing the urban grid on the peninsula, and filling unsightly voids created by surface lots and overly monumental open space will re-define the spatial order and create a new urban campus fabric.

Theme 1 Recommendations
- Build a critical mass of density on the peninsula, at key locations on campus
- Reinforce the heart of campus fronting University Boulevard
- Integrate diverse uses for convenience and quality of campus life
- Encourage vertical integration of uses
- Celebrate the street as a valuable asset
- Clarify campus organization for visitors
- Model sustainable urban systems

2. Unite the Campus
IUPUI has historically suffered from an ‘identity crisis’, the result of a physical campus fragmentation, administrative organizational divisions and program separation between the medical and healthcare focus and the academic core. This perception has been physically evidenced by dispersed academic, medical, undergraduate and professional facilities across the peninsula. Early in the formation of the campus, impressions were that IUPUI “was not a well-integrated campus but a grouping of separate constituencies”. The 1970’s directive given to master planner Edward Larrabee Barnes and the landscape architecture firm Zion and Breen was to “make a group of separate buildings look like a campus”.

Today, IUPUI is host to a greater diversity of campus users than any other IU campus. Undergraduate, graduate, and doctoral students; faculty, administrative and support staff; private researchers, physicians and healthcare staff; hospital and clinical patients; and visitors from rural areas, the city, and the metropolitan region
all make up the estimated 57,000 daily campus population. The campus must not only work for its core academic, research and healthcare functions, it must also present a clear and organized environment for its many visitors.

The Master Plan proposes to unite undergraduate programs, professional schools, and the medical center. In the interest of leveraging the full potential of the academy, the new planning model recommends a cross-pollination of programs, schools, and centers to facilitate the exchange of ideas and provide greater student learning and mentoring opportunities. More integrated planning will allow IUPUI to consolidate redundant resources into new shared facilities that by nature can contribute to more interdisciplinary learning approach.

Physically, the Master Plan proposes a new intellectual framework and campus organization that cuts across historic divisions. The plan proposes five distinct districts on campus, each combining a variety of academic, research, medical, and residential uses. Each district is organized and defined by a major public space – either an urban park, plaza, or urban street. Three of the five districts align north-south, perpendicular to W. Michigan Street, the perceived dividing line between the academic and medical campuses. The fourth district, Vermont Street, ties the three together as an east-west street mainly containing functions geared to student life. The center of the campus is reinforced with mixed-use development containing a variety of functions and amenities, creating a natural destination and meeting spot, a common ground for the diverse IUPUI community.

**Theme 2 Recommendations**
- Integrate academic, research, and medical environments through physical, social, and programmatic mechanisms
- Promote the integration of professional and undergraduate student learning opportunities
- Facilitate a model of interdisciplinary learning
- Encourage the development of shared multi-user facilities
- Eliminate redundant, single-use facilities
3. Engage the City

“You can’t have a great city without a great university.”
— Former Indianapolis Mayor Bart Peterson

IUPUI is an important partner of the City of Indianapolis and host to many civic activities ranging from cultural to athletic events. One of the principle recommendations of the Master Plan is to meaningfully connect the campus and Indianapolis’s downtown. This includes extending physical connections to cultural attractions, athletic facilities, government operations, the White River, and to adjacent neighborhoods. Deliberate engagement will allow the campus community to fully take advantage of its position in the heart of Indianapolis and the Indianapolis community to realize the many benefits of being adjacent to world-class academic, cultural, research and medical facilities. More effective connections will be accomplished, in part, by strengthening and expanding linkages along traditional networks including the street grid, transit corridors, bikeways, open spaces, and pedestrian systems.

The Master Plan advocates mixed-use facilities, carefully placed dense development, strategic public-private partnerships, and shared community facilities in order to encourage positive bonds between the IUPUI campus and City.

Theme 3 Recommendations

- Connect the fabric of the campus to the City
- Leverage campus and city venues including: cultural, athletic, academic, medical, research, and civic amenities
- Improve all transportation networks and connections
- Reconnect the campus with all its neighbors
- Engage the White River open space
- Explore shared community amenities and neighborhood alliances

4. Redefine the Public Realm

Broadly defined, the public realm is the setting for inspired learning and intellectual exchange. The Master Plan proposes to re-define the public realm by aggressively reshaping campus spaces, orienting new buildings to activate streets and
public space, and reinforcing pedestrian activity at the ground plane. In principle, the expansion of second level skywalks is not encouraged for the academic district as it removes pedestrian activity from the street level. Exceptions are made to facilitate patient, physician, and visitor movement to and within the medical district.

The Plan expands the open space vocabulary of campus beyond the academic model of malls and quadrangles to include more urban models of active streets, plazas, and squares, fronted by buildings with a vibrant and transparent first floor presence. Through development of surface parking lots and campus infill, the plan creates a network of more pedestrian and human-scaled spaces, with interior and exterior space for socializing and interaction in both new and retrofitted facilities. Creating a central ‘piazza’ and civic space at the “100% corner” of University and Vermont Streets will anchor the heart of campus. A more urban streetscape vernacular, mid-block crossings, and multiple transportation options will enhance the pedestrian experience, lessen the visual intrusion of parking and traffic, and positively impact the social characteristics of campus.

**Theme 4 Recommendations**

- Reintroduce human-scaled open space to campus
- Use new development with active first floor uses to animate and shape outdoor space
- Return the pedestrian experience to the ground plane.
- Create a central “100%” spot on campus
- Improve and expand existing streetscapes, transportation networks, and trails systems
- Clarify the visitor experience through enhanced public spaces
- Address parking and circulation’s interface with the public realm

5. **Animate the Campus**

IUPUI’s close proximity to the White River State Park, downtown Indianapolis, the city’s Cultural and Canal Districts, and established neighborhoods provide a great foundation to build a vibrant urban campus. However, as a commuter campus, the current IUPUI
environment lacks the ingredients that build urban energy: a night life, a varied choice of amenities and services, a sense of neighborhood.

More dense development, mixed uses, and a robust campus life will transform IUPUI. An on-campus, 24-7 presence of student life is envisioned with new housing typologies on the Vermont Street corridor, supported by indoor recreational facilities located at the heart of campus. Completion of the Cultural Trail on Blackford and a new public/private mixed use development on the Indiana Avenue and N. West Street frontage will engage both the campus and adjacent neighborhoods. More residents, more eateries, more public spaces and more amenities, choreographed with pedestrian experiences at every 2-3 minute walking distance intervals, will contribute to a livelier environment for IUPUI students, faculty, and staff and for the greater Indianapolis community.

Theme 5 Recommendations
- Develop more on-campus housing
- Add indoor and outdoor urban recreational amenities
- Infuse the campus with more social and gathering spaces
- Create a 24/7 environment in the campus core
- Encourage an atmosphere that provides opportunities to learn, live, work, and play on campus
- Connect amenities on campus with amenities downtown via streetscapes and pleasant walkable corridors
- Provide dedicated gathering spaces for active and passive activities
ILLUSTRATIVE MASTER PLAN

The Illustrative Master Plan represents an ideal future campus configuration, translating the principles and key planning themes into a graphical representation. It illustrates opportunities for new development and provides a guide for growth, representing future building envelopes, their relative scale, and how they shape space. Specifically, the Illustrative Master Plan proposes the placement of new features such as opportunities for future buildings, roadways, open space, parking and other facilities in relationship to existing campus facilities, roads, parking and open space. Second, the illustrative master plan introduces a spatial order between the physical elements of campus.

The Illustrative Master Plan is supported by a series of recommendations for campus-wide systems:
- Sustainable Planning
- Campus Development
- Landscape Character
- Circulation and Parking
- Campus Infrastructure
- Architectural Guidelines

In Section 6, the overall campus is delineated into five campus districts, with further detailed recommendations on future development, re-use, open space, infrastructure, and design guidelines at the district level. The campus districts are:
- West Campus
- Central Core
- Cultural Trail and Blackford Street
- Vermont Street
- Canal District

As a planning document, the Illustrative Master Plan and its supporting graphics are most valuable when communicating the character and intent of the plan, rather than specific detail. This plan is not a final design, and the footprints shown will not be the final building configurations. At the Campus Master Plan altitude, specific college or departmental designations are not predetermined for proposed footprints. Taken collectively, the illustrative Master Plan is intended to aid in short-, mid-, and long-term decision making. As political, administrative, and programmatic variables change, the Campus Master Plan needs to remain flexible. The fundamental function of the Campus Master Plan then, is to suggest a principle-driven framework for managing future opportunities.

CAMPUS MASTER PLAN SUMMARY

STATISTICS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Use</th>
<th>Total GSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Facilities</td>
<td>9,859,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proposed Facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Construction</td>
<td>176,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic, Academic Support, and Auxiliary (Avg. of High and Low Scenario)</td>
<td>4,425,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Demolition/Replacement</td>
<td>-1,118,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Future SF</td>
<td>13,341,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Housing to Remain</td>
<td>1,107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing to be demolished</td>
<td>-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Housing</td>
<td>2,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Future Housing</td>
<td>3,447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>467,850 GSF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Demolition/Replacement does not include the existing Wishard facilities.
LEGEND

01 New Gateway Health Sciences Research Complex
02 Integrated Health Sciences Education
03 “Walk of Life”
04 Cancer Research
05 New Wishard Memorial Hospital
06 Ball Gardens
07 Ball Gardens and Riley Drive Extension
08 Vermont Street Housing
09 Central Campus Piazza
10 Riverfront Park
11 Academic Infill and Quads
12 Admin / Academic Gateway
13 Blackford Street / Cultural Trail
14 Indiana Avenue Mixed Use
15 Engineering Sciences Quad
16 Blake Promenade
17 NCAA Expansion
18 Mixed Use Garage
19 Research Incubator Partnerships
20 Neurosciences Research

Campus Master Plan
SUSTAINABLE PLANNING

Building on IUPUI’s Adopted Campus Sustainability Principles (2008), this Campus Master Plan embeds sustainability throughout. The Campus Master Plan has focused on a purposeful and strategic incorporation of both quantitative and qualitative improvements to the setting of the academic mission, to promote a campus that manifests sustainable planning principles. Implicit in the plan is the goal of developing the campus as a learning environment where innovation is promoted, implemented, and celebrated.

Overlaid on the key themes of the Campus Master Plan, the recommendations are grouped under several broad sustainable planning principles:

1. Adopt environmentally sensitive land use practices.
2. Move toward a carbon-neutral campus.
3. Ensure a range of transportation options.
4. Plan for innovative sustainable buildings and landscapes.

1. **Adopt environmentally sensitive land use practices.**
   “We value and conserve natural resources and will seek to preserve and make sustainable use of our air, water, and land. We will protect and conserve non-renewable natural resources through efficient use, careful planning, collaborative land management programs and regulatory compliance.” - 2008 Adopted Campus Sustainability Principles

IUPUI is an urban campus, but can do much to conserve, protect, and restore natural resources in Indianapolis. The riparian corridors along the White River and Fall Creek should be restored in order to improve water quality and habitat, with bank stabilization, vegetated buffers, and the removal of invasive species. The reduction of impervious surfaces, pre-treatment of storm water before discharge, and the separation of combined storm and sanitary sewers can have a big impact on water quality and habitat in the watershed. Increasing the tree canopy on campus and building an urban forest will absorb run off, sequester carbon, improve air quality, and mitigate the heat island effect of the urban environment. More compact development and minimizing the amount of impervious surfaces will also help conserve land and water resources.

**Sustainability Principle 1 Recommendations**
- Protect and restore aquatic habitat on the White River and Fall Creek.
- Eliminate invasive species and establish or enhance vegetated buffers for the White River and Fall Creek.
- Promote an increase in native landscaping, including restoration of riparian vegetation.
- Decrease use of hazardous lawn chemicals, pesticides, and fertilizer wherever possible.
- Implement Integrated Pest Management in both outdoor and indoor environments, wherever possible.
• Capture and treat water where it falls or as close as possible.
• Separate combined sewers to reduce/eliminate discharge of sewage during large rainfall events.
• Increase campus density and diversify uses to encourage walking and increase quality of life.
• Create a green network to connect to the large ecosystem of the White River and Fall Creek.
• Reduce the amount of impervious surface through more density, more vertical integration of uses, structured parking rather than surface lots, green roofs, pervious pavements, etc.

2. Move toward a carbon-neutral campus.
"As stewards of the IUPUI campus and of all its resources, we recognize the interdependence of humans with the environment. We must apply thoughtful and creative planning to achieve a thriving campus community built on the principles of sustainability. We must foster conservation, protection and enhancement of natural resources through campus policy and personal behavior. We must promote a common agenda for IUPUI as a green campus. We must preserve and enhance the quality of life for our campus community and future generations in ways that enhance teaching and learning, research, civic engagement and administrative practices." - 2008 Adopted Campus Sustainability Principles

The Campus Master Plan proposes a number of pathways that could lead to a significant reduction in greenhouse gas emissions up to 80 percent by the year 2050. It identifies strategies that, if fully implemented, would result in a 25 percent reduction in carbon emissions by 2020, even while increasing the built area by 50 percent. As an example, this is consistent with targets established by the American College and University Presidents Climate Commitment (ACUPCC). The emissions addressed here result from the use of purchased electricity, steam, and chilled water. The University may wish to address the full range of emissions related to travel, commuting, and procurement through the evolution of other policies.
Sustainability Principle 2 Recommendations

- Use carbon emissions as a metric when evaluating suppliers of purchased electricity, chilled water, and steam.
- Anticipate solar thermal applications in the design of buildings and systems.
- Expand metering of individual building’s energy use. Identify disproportionately high energy users to prioritize investments in energy efficiency.
- Set payback parameters to qualify energy efficiency initiatives.
- Establish campus-wide standards for equipment efficiencies (computers and office equipment, food service equipment, and lab equipment).
- Investigate funding and financing tools to reward/ monetize emissions reduction.
- Improve energy efficiency in new construction by 30 to 50 percent over the baseline.
- Install occupancy sensors and more efficient lighting in new and existing buildings.
- Optimize laboratory energy use with high efficiency fume hoods.
- Renovate 8 percent of existing buildings to improve energy efficiency by 26 percent over the baseline.
- Retrofit commission the remaining existing buildings to optimize performance.
- Purchase green power to accelerate the progress towards carbon neutrality.

3. Ensure a range of transportation options.

“We will minimize transportation demands to and from campus and continue to incorporate alternative fuels in the campus fleet. We will work with the Central Indiana Clean Cities Alliance, Central Indiana Commuter Services, and IndyGo to encourage increased use of carpooling and public transportation by IUPUI students and employees and we will work with Central Indiana Bicycling Association, Indy Greenways and similar programs to encourage bicycling as a commuter option.” - 2008 Adopted Campus Sustainability Principles

86% of IUPUI's total campus population live more than three miles from campus. 95% of the total population drive to campus, while 87% drive alone. 22% of students actually live
within three miles of the campus, yet 86% still drive alone. In addition, more than 20% move their car on campus during the day, and 50% of students circulate through multiple lots before finding a parking spot. All of this underscores the fact that IUPUI is still a heavily commuter campus. The Campus Master Plan proposes multiple solutions that help reduce the almost exclusive reliance on the private automobile on campus, including new campus bus routes, adding bike lanes and bike paths, encouraging carpooling, and increased use of the People Mover. Conversion of one-way streets to two-way will also make campus transit more efficient and convenient. Increased student housing on campus and more compact development will encouraging walking rather than driving to class.

**Sustainability Principle 3 Recommendations**

- Increase the use of lower impact modes of transportation and alternative fuel vehicles in lieu of reliance on single occupancy vehicles.
- Create pedestrian and bicycle priority on campus.
- Simplify transit runs and select vehicles for short headways and passenger convenience.
- Convert one-way streets to two-way streets to improve campus bus travel times and convenience.
- Improve inter-campus and intra-campus transit, including direct connections to the larger academic medical center campus.
- Connect campus shuttle routes to IndyGo routes at common stops.
- Integrate and connect bike parking, transit stops, parking garages and People Mover stations.
- Increase density of central campus to increase pedestrian walkability.
- Develop and implement Transportation Demand Management strategies to reduce future parking demand—parking pricing, bicycle sharing, marketing for carpooling and Guaranteed Ride Home programs, and car-sharing.
- Work with IndyGo and IU Health to revise regional transit routes that directly serve campus and IU Health destinations.

“We will seek to design, build, restore and manage our facilities and grounds through the use of sustainable materials and practices. Total life cycle costs, energy use, and impact on the environment are other important factors that will influence selection of materials and practices.” - 2008 Adopted Campus Sustainability Principles

The Campus Master Plan anticipates that over three million gross square feet (GSF) of new buildings will be constructed, and a number of older, inefficient buildings will be demolished. This is an ideal time to establish standards of sustainable design to guide this new development. The University has set LEED® certification as a benchmark to be considered for all new construction. In addition, the Campus Master Plan outlines strategies to build on the significant past water conservation initiatives to further reduce potable water use by 35 percent over today’s use, even while increasing the overall built square footage on campus.

**Sustainability Principle 4 Recommendations**

- Design buildings for daylight harvesting without unwanted heat gain or glare.
- Orient (and pitch) roofs for solar thermal and photovoltaic applications (immediate or future).
- Site buildings for microclimate characteristics such as cooling summer breezes, protection against winter winds, sunlight, and shade.
- Site vegetative and landscaping features to create beneficial local microclimates to minimize energy and water usage in campus buildings.
- Install meters to create a thorough database of existing campus building energy (electricity, chilled water, and steam) and water use.
- Use efficient plumbing fixtures in new construction.
- Retrofit existing plumbing fixtures, especially in residential facilities.
- Consider graywater capture and re-use in new construction.
- Systematically identify and remedy leaks.
- For academic medical and research facilities, target strategies for reducing process water by at least 10% for water intensive equipment.
CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT

FUTURE LAND USE

The Campus Master Plan proposes a future campus that is invigorated by multiple-use districts and buildings, woven together through increased connectivity. The Campus Master Plan promotes flexibility and a mixing of programs, disciplines and campus uses within districts and vertically within buildings.

A base land use of academic, administrative, and support uses on the IUPUI peninsula are overlaid with specialty focus districts for healthcare, research, clinical activity, and mixed use. Housing and the Vermont Street Corridor is the dominant axis and pedestrian spine that spans the campus east to west. Linear north-south open spaces and the Cultural Trail on Blackford Street link districts to each other, to the White River, and to cultural destinations.

Administrative offices (for both the IU School of Medicine and IU Health), research, and research incubator uses are clustered in the Canal District, in an urban mixed use pattern. Proposed research incubator facilities could be developed as IU/private sector partnership opportunities to leverage access and proximity to both IUPUI and IU Health functions. Research and clinical uses are the primary functions proposed for the Neurosciences District on 16th Street and Senate Boulevard. Academic and support uses are planned for the near and midterm along Indiana Avenue north of Fall Creek.

Vertical integration and a mix of uses within buildings in districts are encouraged, such as classroom, office, lab, conferencing, and retail space, or residential, classroom, office and retail. Mixed uses within buildings should also support multi-disciplinary team spaces, informal social spaces, and a mix of departments and schools, to break down academic silos and create more collaborative learning environments.

The proposed Mixed Use Gateway on Indiana Avenue is intended to help revitalize the neighborhood, bringing IUPUI programs, offices, community outreach, housing, and retail to an important edge of campus across from the Madam Walker Theater. Vermont Street in the center of campus is envisioned as a mixed use student neighborhood with housing, retail, student support services, gathering spaces and some retail use.

Land Use Recommendations

• Integrate academic, research, and faculty office uses horizontally across districts.
• Provide a mix of uses as vertical integration within buildings.
• Reinforce University Boulevard and Vermont Street as the center of campus life for academic, healthcare, research, visitor, graduate, and undergraduate populations on campus.
• Integrate student housing within the center of campus, not just at its periphery.
• Combine new student housing with study spaces, classrooms, student services, and campus amenities along Vermont Street.
• Consider public/private partnerships to create the mixed use development on Indiana Avenue and N. West Street.
• Partner with the City of Indianapolis to encourage redevelopment for vacant non-
IUPUI properties in the Canal District, Neurosciences District, and the area north of Fall Creek.

- Work with private developers to provide high quality student housing on nearby off-campus sites.
FUTURE DENSITY AND FAR

The Master Plan proposes a significant increase in density, with future development concentrated on the main peninsula of campus to achieve the critical mass and proximity necessary to support the goals of the plan. For comparison purposes, density areas are the same areas used in the density analysis.

The Master Plan proposes an increase in overall campus density from 0.7 to a 1.5 F.A.R. for the main peninsula. The biggest gains in density include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Existing / New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Sciences</td>
<td>2.0 / 2.5 F.A.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Core</td>
<td>2.1 / 3.7 F.A.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>0.4 / 0.9 F.A.R.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/Academic</td>
<td>0.3 / 1.3 F.A.R.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to achieve this density, building heights have been increased, particularly along key north-south corridors, and as vertical place markers on campus, as noted in the proposed Building Heights diagram.

Density and FAR Recommendations

- Concentrate future campus and related research development on the main peninsula of campus.
- Increase campus density and verticality.
- Target an overall campus density of 1.5 F.A.R.
- Use building heights to reinforce campus structure and organization.
- Increase building height to a minimum of 4 stories on campus.
- Promote a minimum building height of 6 stories along the north-south axes of Ball Garden extension, University Boulevard, and Blackford Street.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed FAR Density</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stadium Drive District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Head</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wishard Site)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campus Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/Academic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverfront</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Campus Property</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Acreage is based on IUPUI Property ownership data from the IU GIS Database
**Note: Total GSF does not include Parking Garages.
CURRENT CAPITAL PROJECTS

Current capital projects include those projects that are under construction and projects in the planning and design stage, and have been updated in this report. The University is committed to increase research space and improve the quality of academic and medical education space. The University has requested funds to support approximately one new research facility every other year for the next ten years for IUPUI. At an average 200,000 GSF per building, that could amount to 1 million GSF of new science and research facilities within the 20-year programming horizon of this master plan. The University is also committed to increase the amount and quality of academic and administrative office space on campus, construct new parking garages, and build new student housing.

The following tables and map describe the approved projects under construction or in planning and design, and their location and size, if known.
PROJECTS IN PLANNING AND DESIGN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  Ceramics Addition</td>
<td>10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  Science Lab</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  Neurosciences - Research</td>
<td>125,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>176,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECTS IN CONSTRUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4  Sports Complex Parking Deck</td>
<td>444,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>444,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEMOLITION CANDIDATES
There are four broad categories of demolition candidates proposed: 1) demolition of existing structures due to poor building condition and quality of space; 2) demolition and replacement with new facilities on campus to support the Master Plan; 3) future demolition of facilities to be relocated off campus; and 4) demolition of the existing Wishard Memorial Hospital complex. Long term plans to redevelop the Wishard site propose the demolition of the Wishard Central Plant as well. Further study should be conducted to determine the feasibility of retaining or relocating part of this facility and equipment to serve the build out of the Wishard site.

1. Demolition due to Building Condition / Location:
   • Graduate Townhouse Apartments
   • Administration Office Building
   • Oral Health Research Institute
   • Former Union Building (as part of new Wishard Memorial Hospital Construction)

2. Demolition for New Facilities in Support of the Master Plan:
   • Coleman Hall
   • Long Hospital
   • Clinical Building
   • Cavanaugh Hall
   • Lecture Hall
   • Taylor Hall (University College)
   • Physical Plant

3. Future Demolition of Facilities for Possible Relocation:
   • Psychiatric Research Building (assumes long term relocation to proposed Neuropsychiatric campus south of 16th Street near Senate Ave.)
   • Indoor Tennis Building
   • Michael Carrol Stadium and Track and Field

4. Demolition of Existing Wishard Memorial Hospital Complex

The following table and map shows the location and size of facility proposed for demolition.

ACADEMIC AND SUPPORT DEMOLITION CANDIDATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI BLD. #</th>
<th>GSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Union Building</td>
<td>152,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Coleman Hall</td>
<td>44,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Long Hall</td>
<td>56,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Gatch Hall</td>
<td>102,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Post Office</td>
<td>2,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Oral Health Research Institute</td>
<td>20,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Administration Building</td>
<td>20,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Dental</td>
<td>199,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Cavanaugh Hall</td>
<td>168,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Lecture Hall</td>
<td>37,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Taylor Hall</td>
<td>89,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Track &amp; Soccer Stadium</td>
<td>64,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Indy Sports Center</td>
<td>50,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Psychiatric Research</td>
<td>40,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Physical Plant</td>
<td>38,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Psychiatry &amp; Bellflower Clinic</td>
<td>30,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Riley Research</td>
<td>55,542</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1,174,651
WISHARD CAMPUS DEMOLITION CANDIDATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WISHARD BUILDING</th>
<th>GSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 Service Building</td>
<td>67,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Regenstrief</td>
<td>200,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Burdsal Building</td>
<td>69,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Dunlap Building</td>
<td>135,479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 West Building</td>
<td>79,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Myers Tower</td>
<td>394,176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 F-Wing</td>
<td>52,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 East Building</td>
<td>104,869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Ott Building</td>
<td>30,390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Bryce Building</td>
<td>48,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Lockfield Village</td>
<td>104,815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total GSF: 1,287,584

RESIDENTIAL DEMOLITION CANDIDATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI BLD. #</th>
<th>BEDS</th>
<th>GSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN057</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 60 beds, GSF 21,500
RENOVATION CANDIDATES
The University has evaluated the condition of its buildings and identified facilities that are in need of minor, moderate or major renovations. These include renovations to:
• VanNuys Medical Science Building
• Emerson Hall
• Ball Residence
• Rotary Building
• The Natatorium
• Technology Building
• Parts of SPEA
• School of Dentistry
• Fesler Hall
• School of Nursing

There are a number of facilities that the University identified as in need of renovation that are recommended for demolition and future replacement in the Master Plan. While modest renovations may be needed to maintain functionality for buildings such as Cavanaugh Hall and Taylor Hall, the University should balance short term renovation costs against longer term replacement costs and implementation schedules.

The following table and map shows the location and size of facility proposed for renovation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Size (sq ft)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotary Building</td>
<td>39,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball Residence Hall</td>
<td>66,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VanNuys Med Sci</td>
<td>291,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Natatorium</td>
<td>248,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerson Hall</td>
<td>52,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School of Engineering and Technology</td>
<td>124,392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEA</td>
<td>130,220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Care Center</td>
<td>98,747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 West Saint Clair</td>
<td>22,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335 West 9th Street</td>
<td>24,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental School</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fesler Hall</td>
<td>61,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing School</td>
<td>140,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1,377,427
Academic Renovation Candidates
FUTURE ACADEMIC AND SUPPORT GROWTH

The Master Plan suggests a number of future buildings to accommodate the proposed program for research space, health sciences, academic, academic support and office space, administrative office space, and auxiliary uses. The Plan shows a range of 3.4 to 5.2 million GSF of future growth depending on final program, building height, and massing. This total does not include development the University may construct with third party entities, such as the proposed mixed use development on Indiana Avenue.

The following table and map shows the location and size of future academic and support buildings.

---

**FUTURE ACADEMIC, RESEARCH AND SUPPORT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Base SF</th>
<th>FL</th>
<th>GSF</th>
<th>High-Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>68,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>42,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48,400</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>193,600</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41,650</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>166,600</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>29,250</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>117,000</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>31,050</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>124,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>67,200</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>22,400</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>89,600</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>27,800</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>111,200</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>31,550</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>126,200</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>22,500</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>23,200</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>92,800</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38,900</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>155,600</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>27,900</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>108,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>39,100</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>156,400</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15,800</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>63,200</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>18,850</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>75,400</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>37,100</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>148,400</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>40,500</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>121,500</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>40,500</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>121,500</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>31,500</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>94,500</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>87,500</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26,200</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>131,000</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>29,700</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>148,500</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>37,350</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>186,750</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>26,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>104,000</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>26,700</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>106,800</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>29,500</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>117,500</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MIXED USE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Base SF</th>
<th>FL</th>
<th>GSF</th>
<th>High-Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>12,600</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12,600</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>50,450</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>201,800</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>48,400</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>194,080</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>4,850</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4,850</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>16,600</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>29,200</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INCUBATOR RESEARCH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Base SF</th>
<th>FL</th>
<th>GSF</th>
<th>High-Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>38,250</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>76,500</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>29,950</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>59,900</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>24,600</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>49,200</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4,320,100** | **6,471,800**
RESIDENCE LIFE GROWTH
The Master Plan recommends the provision of more on-campus housing and residential life programs in order to attract, retain, and engage students. The plan proposes over 2,400 new beds (as both new facilities and replacement beds) to bring the total of housing on campus close to the target of providing on-campus housing for 10% of projected enrollment. Given its diverse student population base, a mix of housing and food options on campus to suit both underclassmen and upper class or graduate students is desirable. New on-campus housing will also require dining facilities integrated into future residential districts. The size and scale of future dining facilities will depend on the final quantity and mix of housing types.

The Master Plan proposes a new residential district along Vermont Street which will include the majority of on-campus housing. The residential street will link the west Campus Apartments on the River Walk to the academic and research activities to the east. Future campus housing opportunities are also shown on North Street and Blackford Street in order to improve the pedestrian character of the streets and to provide a front facade to parking structures. At the proposed Arts Mall south of W. New York Street, new residential facilities may provide housing and studios for fine and performing arts students.

Residential Space Summary
There are widely varying space needs for various housing typologies, each with different square footage requirements. The national average for residence halls is currently 333 GSF / bed. In general, the traditional housing model requires the least square footage, falling in the range of 250-275 GSF / bed. This housing type has become less favorable for entering freshmen. Suite-style housing requires more space per student for common amenities and generally totals 300-325 GSF / bed. Apartment style units require the most space per student, totaling 400 plus square feet per bed. For Master Plan purposes, an average of 360 GSF per bed has been applied to the demand. Future residential space totals 864,000 GSF.

Residential Base Year
Total Existing Beds 1,107 beds
Demolition Candidates - 60 beds
Remaining Existing Beds: 1,047 beds
Proposed Residential 2,400 beds
Future Year Total 3,447 beds

Total Residential Space Needs (GSF) 864,000 GSF
Residence Life Recommendations

- Increase the number of beds on campus to 10% of the projected student population.
- Develop a mix of housing types for flexibility and to reflect future housing demands.
- Retain and renovate Ball Residence Hall.
- Develop Vermont Street as the primary student housing corridor: vibrant, urban, and pedestrian-oriented.
- Integrate dining, student services, classrooms, academic support, retail, and recreational uses along Vermont Street.
- Establish learning communities and opportunities for themed residential communities on campus.
- Work with the private sector to include residential uses in the proposed public/private mixed-use development on Indiana Avenue and with the mixed use garage proposed between W. 10th and 11th Streets.

**FUTURE RESIDENCE LIFE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>FL</th>
<th>Beds</th>
<th>GSF</th>
<th>GSF/BED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>50,600</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>50,600</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>66,000</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>66,000</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>34,300</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>34,300</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>55,600</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>35,200</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>35,200</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>87,600</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>41,580</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>59,600</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>50,400</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>43,200</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,405</td>
<td>865,780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENHANCED GATHERING SPACES

“Coffee shops, bookstores, films, and little restaurants are as vital to the process of education and personal growth as labs and exams. Without them, the university is not a complete educational milieu.”
— Christopher Alexander, A Pattern Language

If the land occupied by IUPUI were owned by multiple private owners instead of one large institution, there would be numerous small and large businesses, cafes, coffee shops, and amenities serving the 57,000 people that come to campus on a daily basis. The peninsula would operate as an urban district, part of the larger city. Businesses would tend to concentrate at the most visible, easily accessible centers of population density, especially at University Boulevard, W. Michigan and Vermont Streets, and on Indiana Avenue, visible to city traffic, and adjacent to a large parking supply.

The program for IUPUI recommends up to 108,000 GSF of additional retail, amenities, services, and gathering spaces on campus over a ten to twenty-year horizon. This addition will
meet one of the primary objectives of the Master Plan: to create a vibrant urban campus and improve the quality of campus life. New retail and gathering spaces should be concentrated in a few key locations to generate the ‘critical mass’ of experiences needed to generate social interaction and to create a true sense of place with the dynamic feel of a truly urban environment.

**Reinforce the Heart of Campus**

The Vermont Street corridor from University Boulevard to Barnhill Drive, adjacent to the Campus Center, has the highest foot traffic on campus. It is within walking distance to all University functions including the University Place Hotel and Conference Center, IU and Riley Hospital facilities, clinics, and parking. Future retail, student services, and amenities are proposed as visible ground floor uses within residential buildings along Vermont Street. Active ground floor uses and amenities are also recommended for the buildings that form the perimeter of the proposed central Piazza on University Boulevard south of the Campus Center.

**Indiana Avenue as City Link**

Indiana Avenue can become the northwest equivalent of popular Massachusetts Avenue on the northeast of downtown. The University’s frontage on Indiana Avenue is a natural location for a mixed-use edge between campus and the city, providing both the campus and neighborhoods with amenities, retail uses, entertainment, and gathering spaces. New campus development on Indiana Avenue will also tie into the proposed Cultural Trail being developed along Blackford Street, connecting to the significant arts, cultural, and recreational facilities south of campus.

As the on-campus residential population grows, the University will want to consider selecting the proposed program for future retail amenities, and food service. The emphasis should focus on student and user flexibility, choice, and quality experience. The University consider including local, independent operators with a history of quality and success to run selected businesses and services on campus.
**Recommendations**

- Establish a retail and student services corridor as part of future residential construction on Vermont Street, concentrated between University Boulevard and Barnhill Drive.
- Construct new facades for the existing parking decks on Vermont Street to include ground level retail uses fronting W. Michigan Street.
- Extend future retail and amenities to surround the proposed central Piazza on University Boulevard south of the Campus Center.
- Partner with the City and community groups to revitalize Indiana Avenue as a vibrant, eclectic urban street, part of the evolving cultural district of Indianapolis.
- Work with the private sector to create a new mixed use development on Indiana Avenue from Blackford to N. West Street.
- Work with a private developer to include retail uses and a potential grocery store for the proposed garage on W. 10th and W. 11th Streets.
- Add retail, amenity, and social gathering space on major frontages and at building entrances as the Wishard site builds out.
- Conduct a further Market Implementation Strategy to determine square footage distribution of future retail space for each primary location.

Outdoor Gathering Space at the University of Cincinnati
New Retail Opportunities
Existing retail

CAMPUS GATHERING SPACES
LANDSCAPE CHARACTER

The landscape of the IUPUI campus is a radically altered manmade environment. Western parts of the IUPUI peninsula are highly artificial, created through the construction of levees and the fill of low-lying and wet areas, over time. The Master Plan sets forth recommendations for humanizing the urban environment and for creating connections to nature.

CAMPUS LANDSCAPE AND OPEN SPACE

Two overarching goals established at the beginning of the planning process are:
2. Create a Vibrant Urban Campus.

Recommendations for enhancements to the pedestrian realm and improved connections to natural systems, greenways, and the City’s larger open space network are natural outcomes of the second goal. The Master Plan proposes a green network that includes riparian corridors and tree cover forming a campus urban ecosystem; new memorable spaces; improvements to campus edges and gateways; and enhancements to the pedestrian realm.

The Master Plan builds on the open space framework established by prior master plans, including those by Zion & Breen Associates, through the late 20th century. It considers the relationships between natural systems, new and existing open spaces, streetscape corridors and pedestrian spaces as a means to physically organize the campus and as a framework for articulating future growth.

An Urban Ecosystem

Lying at the confluence of the White River and Fall Creek, the campus landscape and riparian corridors can contribute to the regional ecosystem. The riparian corridors along the White River and Fall Creek should be restored in order to improve water quality and habitat. Re-vegetation and bioengineering techniques are recommended for stabilization of the river banks and to reduce bank sloughing and siltation in the waterways. Native trees and woody vegetation will absorb runoff, silt, and pollutants and slow runoff. Vegetated buffers and the removal of invasive species along the river corridors will also create habitat for wildlife species. The existing
landscape of the levee embankments consists of mown lawn and little tree cover. Establishing a remnant woodland edge and a no-mow zone near the embankments will help filter surface run-off and create more habitat contiguous with the two largest natural systems on campus.

Increasing the tree canopy and building an urban forest will also provide habitat, shade, climate control, and stormwater management benefits. Currently the percentage of tree canopy to land area on the IUPUI campus is 10%. Good urban forestry practice recommends a minimum 15% tree cover for urban areas. The Master Plan recommends more than doubling the tree existing canopy to at least 28% of land area. At this level and density of tree cover, the campus will realize numerous environmental benefits. Air pollution removal will increase from 3,004 pounds per year to 8,542 pounds per year. Carbon storage and sequestration will triple, increasing from 1,421 total tons stored annually to 4,042 tons stored, and from 11 total tons sequestered annually to 31 total tons sequestered. Stormwater runoff will be reduced, decreasing the amount of silt...
and pollutants that drain to the White River and Fall Creek. The increase in tree canopy will provide over $165,000 in savings on stormwater detention facilities that might otherwise need to be built.

New tree cover is proposed to continue the tree allees initiated with the Zion and Breen landscape plan, and adding denser allees along north-south corridors. Increasing the number of street trees and providing parking lots with a tree canopy cover will have a significant effect on the microclimate and will mitigate heat islands. Additional tree canopy is also proposed along the riverfront, in new open spaces, within existing quadrangles, and along major pedestrian walks.

Selection of species for future tree plantings should carefully consider the type of space they will occupy, such as urban streetscape conditions or broader quadrangles. For either circumstance, a diversity of tree species will minimize the risk of loss due to pests or disease. Diversity of trees will also encourage diverse campus habitat.

**Recommendations**
- Create native habitat on campus and along riparian corridors.
- Create a range of urban ecosystems from traditional “turf and tree” campus environments to woodland fragments along riparian edges.
- Connect landscape fragments to form continuous corridors across the peninsula from the White River to Fall Creek.
- Establish a no-mow zone along the levee embankments and plant with a native seed mix to gradually replace existing lawn.
- Use native species in re-vegetation and bank stabilization efforts.
- Eliminate invasive species.
- Increase the tree cover from 10% to at least 28% on campus.
- Increase tree plantings along all streets and within parking lots.
- Increase tree plantings in future and renovated campus open spaces and quadrangles.
- Use a diverse range of native species to reinforce connectivity with the natural environment.
- Implement a Landscape Maintenance and Tree Management Plan for the campus.
EXISTING CONDITIONS:  
10% TREE COVER

PROPOSED CONDITIONS:  
28% TREE COVER
NEW MEMORABLE SPACES

A Green Network

The Master Plan proposes a green network of new memorable spaces, attractive urban streetscapes, and green linear corridors that connect to the larger ecosystem of the White River and Fall Creek, and to the cultural facilities of Military Park and White River State Park. Memorable spaces proposed range from larger one-of-a-kind spaces such as the Ball Garden Extension and improved riverfront park to smaller quadrangles and intimate campus spaces.

Overall, the green network of the Master Plan provides 153 acres of re-fashioned parks, quadrangles, plazas, and social gathering spaces. New spaces include:

• The extension of Ball Garden from Fall Creek to the White River
• A new Arts Mall from University Library to the White River State Park
• An enhanced riverfront park for athletics, recreation, and passive use
• Enhanced urban streetscapes
• New and renovated quadrangles

• A major campus Piazza at University Boulevard and Vermont Street

Recommendations

• Future development should be centered on green space, public streets, and urban amenities.
• Open spaces should connect to each other, to larger natural systems, and to cultural destinations through pedestrian-scaled streetscapes, walks, and linear corridors.
• Break down the scale of larger open spaces such as the Ball Garden extension and Arts Mall with a series of outdoor rooms, recreation fields, rain gardens, and pathways.
• Protect views and celebrate arrivals at the riverfront from all street ends.
• Create new quadrangles as semi-enclosed space (enclosed on at least three sides), but with many entry points.
• Design future development and quadrangles with a strong sense of spatial definition (typically a proportion of 1:2 to 1:4 ratio of architectural height to horizontal width of the space).
• Create a change of scale in the entry sequence to quadrangles, moving from narrow portals into broad open space.
• Use landscape and tree masses to delineate boundaries and break down the scale of existing quadrangles on campus.
NEW MEMORABLE SPACES
1. Indiana Avenue Pocket Park
2. Academic Quads
3. West Avenue Quad
4. Campus Center Piazza
5. Arts Mall Pedestrian Promenade
6. Ball Gardens Extension
7. Biomedical Research Quad

Academic Quads, Columbia University
CAMPUS EDGES AND SETBACKS
Consistent building placement, defined by clear setback and build-to lines will establish a stronger urban campus character. Setbacks define the minimum distance behind the curb line for building placement and parking areas. Build-to lines establish the dimension from the curb that new development must meet in order to create a consistent, urban streetwall. A hierarchy of setback dimensions and build-to lines are proposed. Both University Boulevard and Indiana Avenue establish build-to lines to create a more urban streetscape formed by future development and active floor uses. Vermont Street, as a smaller scale residential street, has a tighter build-to line proposed to create a more intimate spatial definition.

The Master Plan proposes to preserve the setbacks established by the previous Zion and Breen landscape plan on W. Michigan, N. West, and W. New York Streets. At the intersections of W. Michigan and W. New York Streets with Limestone, West Drive, University Boulevard, and Blackford Street, development is proposed closer to the street to reinforce a sense of gateway and urban density.

A consistent setback and landscape treatment is proposed for W. 10th Street, an important campus edge and front door image zone for the hospitals and medical campus.

Recommendations
- Establish setback dimensions proposed, free of development and surface parking lots.
- Preserve the setbacks and complete the landscape design previously established for W. Michigan, W. New York, and N. West Streets, in the areas proposed on the plan.
- Establish a 20-foot build-to line on University Boulevard and Indiana Avenue to create a consistent street wall for future development.
- Establish a 15-foot build-to line on Vermont Street for future residential development.
- Screen views of surface parking lots from the street rights-of-way through additional landscape plantings, low hedges, and/or low walls.

STREETSCAPE CHARACTER
An urban campus connects most immediately to the city through the pedestrian experience. The character of the urban streetscape is a key component of the pedestrian experience at IUPUI. Enhancements to the pedestrian realm and a consistent streetscape treatment with more trees, pedestrian lighting, site furniture, and signage is proposed for all campus streets. The conceptual cross sections that follow describe the proposed character and minimum dimensions for campus streetscapes.

Recommendations
- Provide a consistent streetscape design for all campus streets, based on scale, character, width of roadway, and volume of pedestrian traffic.
- Increase the number of street trees on all campus streets, planted in either lawn panels or tree grates, depending on street type.
- Complete the landscape design and staggered double row of trees established within the historic setbacks for W. Michigan and W. New York Streets.
• Provide appropriately scaled lighting for all campus streets to light both roadways and sidewalks.
• Provide additional site furniture on major pedestrian routes.
• Sidewalks for all campus streets should be a minimum of 8 feet wide, wider in areas of heavier foot traffic.
• Building service zones and loading docks should not front campus streets.
• Improve the streetscape for IUPUI properties on Stadium Drive north of Fall Creek with street trees and appropriately sized walks, and screen all campus surface parking from view of the right-of-way.
• Improve and maintain the streetscape for IUPUI properties on W. 10th Street at the Canal with street trees, appropriately sized walks, and screen all campus surface parking from view of the right-of-way.

1. Historic setbacks
2. 35’ roadway setbacks
3. 20’ build-to lines
4. 15’ Vermont street build-to lines
5. Cultural Trail
6. 30’ wide Pedestrian Promenade
4. **STREETSCAPE CHARACTER**
**UNIVERSITY AND INDIANA 20’ BUILD-TO**

Indiana and South University

- Multi-use retail
- Sidewalk 14’
- 6’ min. roadway

North University

- Landscape
- Varies 8’ min.
- 6’ min. roadway

---

5. **STREETSCAPE CHARACTER**
**BLACKFORD STREET / CULTURAL TRAIL**

- Landscape buffer
- Varies 8’ min.
- 6’ min. roadway

---

6. **STREETSCAPE CHARACTER**
**CULTURAL ARTS MALL 30’ PEDESTRIAN PROMENADE**

- Landscape buffer
- 40’
- 8’ min.
- 14’ min.
- 8’ min.

- 2-lane road
- 30’ Promenade

---

THE MASTER PLAN
CAMPUS GATEWAYS

Campus gateways and entrances create a positive first impression. As an urban campus, gateways for IUPUI should not separate the campus and city, but should mark the transition from one urban space to the next.

Gateways and entrances serve both vehicular and pedestrian traffic. Vehicular entrances must be legible for drivers traveling at faster speeds, while pedestrian entrances must foster a sense of safety, scale, and engagement. Entrances that serve both vehicular and pedestrian traffic must be legible at multiple scales. The design of gateways should be simple, appropriate, and compatible with the surrounding urban and architectural context of the campus and its districts.

Because of the diverse populations that come to IUPUI, campus gateways and entrances should include a straightforward wayfinding and signage system to direct students, patients, visitors and staff to parking, drop-offs, and/or campus and healthcare destinations.

Recommendations

- Work with City and community partners to develop an appropriate gateway image and wayfinding for IUPUI and the hospitals at the I-65 entrance and exit ramp and at W. 10th and 11th Streets.
- Establish vehicular scaled entrances on W. 10th Street at Indiana Avenue and Wilson Street, and at the new entrance on Riley Drive to include directional signage for all hospital, clinical, emergency, and regular campus traffic.
- Establish vehicular scaled gateways at the bridges on W. 10th Street, W. Michigan, and W. New York Streets, for east bound traffic.
- Establish combined vehicular and pedestrian scale gateways on N. West Street at Indiana Avenue, W. Michigan, and W. New York Streets.
- Incorporate vertical architectural elements of high quality materials that are compatible with campus architecture into the design of vehicular gateways for visibility and campus image.
- Establish a combined vehicular and pedestrian gateway at University Boulevard and Indiana Avenue.
- Ensure there are adequate pedestrian walkways and hardscape areas to accommodate foot traffic at combined gateways.
- Create a series of internally focused, pedestrian-scaled gateways to campus districts similar in scale to the Barnhill pedestrian mall gateway.
- Create pedestrian-scaled gateways at the south end of campus to welcome visitors from White River State Park and cultural museums.
- Develop a consistent palette of lighting, signage, hardscape and landscape materials for all levels of gateway designs that reflect the character of the campus.
MAJOR GATEWAY
1. University Boulevard
2. W. Michigan St. at N. West St.
3. W. New York St. at N. West St.

VEHICULAR
4. W. 10th Street at West Drive
5. W. 10th Street at Limestone
6. W. Michigan St., W. New York St. at Bridges
7. W. 11th Street at N. West St.

PEDESTRIAN
8. Canal at Blackford
9. Blackford
10. University Blvd.
11. Medical Campus
12. New York at Barnhill

MIXED
13. W. 10th Street at Wilson
14. Blackford at Indiana
PEDESTRIAN REALM

Improved campus streetscapes and pedestrian corridors are elements of an enhanced pedestrian realm for IUPUI. A finer network of campus pedestrian walks at the ground level is proposed to serve future development. As one of the major pedestrian paths on campus, Vermont Street will be transformed from a walk through parking lots to a lively urban residential street, connecting to the proposed Central Piazza at University Boulevard, and to the main pedestrian walk through the center of the academic core. This main east west path can also be developed into the “IUPUI Campus Trail” with bike and pedestrian paths and interpretive graphics, as an offshoot from the Cultural Trail extension on Blackford Street.

Pedestrian crosswalks at Indiana, W. Michigan, Vermont, and W. New York Streets across North West Street remain critical pedestrian links to the City and to the Canal District. As plans are pursued to bury the overhead utility lines on N. West Street, the campus should engage in discussions with the City and State regarding the future design of N. West Street. A minimal widening of the roadway to allow construction of a continuous landscaped median on N. West Street from Indiana Avenue to W. New York St. would greatly enhance safe pedestrian movement across this major thoroughfare.

On the main campus, more north-south pedestrian walks will overcome the lack of circulation options created by the earlier super-block plan of the campus. Designated mid-block crossings on W. Michigan and W. New York Streets are proposed as part of the conversion of the roadways to two-way traffic and will enhance pedestrian safety. These new north south walks will connect to the recreational trail along the White River, and to the footbridge north of W. 10th Street to link up with the White River Wapahani Trail north of Fall Creek. The plan also includes the route for the Cultural Trail on Blackford Street and the proposed setback to accommodate its combined walkway and bike path.

In order to create a critical mass of pedestrian activity and vitality at the ground level, expansion of the existing second level skywalk system is not recommended. Exceptions are made only within the hospital district in order to serve patient, visitor, and materials movement between medical and research facilities.

Recommendations
• Provide a hierarchy of sidewalks along natural desire lines to link major destinations across campus.
• Provide walks that connect major building entrances directly to safe street intersections or designated mid-block crosswalks.
• Increase plantings of deciduous canopy trees along major pedestrian routes for more shade and wind protection.
• Improve and increase site furniture, lighting, and amenities along major pedestrian routes on campus.
• Locate outdoor gathering spaces along major campus pedestrian routes.
• Improve and enhance pedestrian connections from parking facilities to hospitals and clinics for visitors and patients.
• Limit skywalks to those necessary to aid critical patient, visitor and materials movement in the hospitals district.
• Extend the Cultural Trail onto campus along Blackford Street to connect Indiana Avenue and the campus to the White River State Park.
• Develop the “IUPUI Cultural Trail” on campus along the Vermont Street corridor as an internal interpretive path from the Cultural Trail.
CAMPUS CROSSWALKS
The Master Plan recommends a number of new pedestrian intersections and mid-block crossings to improve pedestrian safety. New intersections and mid-block crossings should include clearly marked and consistent designs to alert motorists to yield to pedestrians. More detailed traffic studies to determine the specific design and location of mid-block crossings and the potential need for pedestrian-activated signals should be conducted.

CAMPUS LIGHTING
The IUPUI campus has three variations of a modern globe and simple pole base design as its pedestrian lighting standard. The quality and consistency varies across the campus light sources. Evening observations indicate that campus illumination is poor and there is insufficient lighting for pedestrians at the locations.

A consistent design and hierarchy of pedestrian and street lighting should be developed and implemented over time. Exterior lighting should form part of a unified family of site elements that visually organize the campus setting and improve its function, visibility, safety, and security. As a first step toward implementing these recommendations, a detailed campus-wide lighting study should be conducted.

Recommendations
• Pedestrian lighting should differ in style and scale from roadway and parking lot lighting.
• The illumination, intensity, quality and distribution of light should respond to site characteristics and patterns of use.
• Fixtures should direct light downward and minimize light pollution.
• Light sources should be utilized for energy efficiency, color rendition, and visibility of pedestrians.
• The source of illumination for pedestrian fixtures should be concealed.
Typical Campus Lighting Fixtures on the IUPUI Campus
CIRCULATION AND PARKING

ROADS AND VEHICULAR TRAFFIC

The Master Plan proposes to re-establish a two-way, urban street grid to improve campus connectivity, simplify access and wayfinding, and reduce congestion at key intersections. The plan proposes to convert Michigan, New York, and Blackford Streets from one-way to two-way operation. New north-south roads are proposed to facilitate movements and increase options for drivers, transit, and cyclists. Vermont Street is envisioned as a pedestrian-friendly, local road, supporting residential and retail uses.

An initial analysis was performed for the IUPUI Master Plan in January 2009 in order to determine the future roadway network demands. This included analysis of the existing and future traffic conditions (with and without the conversion from one-way to two-way streets). Existing traffic counts, signal timings, and roadway configurations were determined from traffic counts obtained from the City of Indianapolis and through field reconnaissance performed by Gorove/Slade. Trip generation for the campus was determined and used in order to account for the demolished parking and the replacement parking. Trip distribution was determined from the survey data and regional traffic patterns. The analysis was performed using the software package Synchro 7, based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology.

The analyses found that both street grids (with and without the conversion of some streets to two-way traffic) were able to meet the transportation needs of the campus. Converting some streets to two-way traffic was recommended, because it showed a reduction in the overall number of choke-points on campus due to added ability of the two-way streets to provide more routing options for drivers.

Following the update of the IUPUI Master Plan, an additional analysis was performed to evaluate the updated parking needs and confirm the initial conclusions and roadway recommendations. In general, the roadway recommendations follow the initial conditions proposed in 2009. However, detailed recommendations follow below.

**Recommendations**

- Convert Michigan Street from one-way to two-way, staying within the existing four-lane cross-section. Along the eastern edge of campus, the roadway would operate with two travel lanes westbound, one eastbound, and a center lane for left-turns. Along the western edge of campus, the roadway would transition to two lanes in each direction.
- Convert New York Street from one-way to two-way, with two travel lanes in each direction.
- There will be a need to widen W. New York St. between California and West St. to accommodate future turning movement. See land diagram, page 155.
- Install short medians in the center turn lanes on Michigan Street at mid-block pedestrian crossings where they do not interfere with turning movements.
- Reconstruct all traffic signals on Michigan and New York Streets in conjunction with the two-way conversion. Add new lane markings, signage, and medians to both streets to adapt intersection with West Street.
• Construct a northbound left-turn lane in the existing median on West Street for turns onto New York Street.
• Convert Blackford Street between Michigan and New York Streets from one-way to two-way with one travel lane in each direction and center turn lanes approaching the intersections with Michigan and New York Streets.
• Extend West Drive and Limestone Street to connect 10th Street to New York Street.
• Provide north-south and east-west roadways through the medical area, serving as parking access points and providing more options for drivers.
• Extend University Boulevard to the east, and connect it to Blackford Street south of New York Street.
• Provide a local street connection from New York to the extended route of University Boulevard.
• Provide a vehicular drop-off on the south side of the Library with ADA-accessible parking spaces.
• Enhance California Street as an internal north-south connection from New York Street to North Street.
• Develop Vermont Street from its western terminus to University Boulevard as a narrower, pedestrian-scaled street intended to carry localized traffic.
• De-emphasize parking access points along Vermont Street.
• Increase the number of signalized intersections on Michigan and New York Streets to aid pedestrian crossings.
• Reduce the cross-section of University Boulevard between Michigan and New York Streets from two lanes in each direction to one lane in each direction with a center turn lane.

The technical analyses that form the basis of the recommendations were performed at a planning level. Detailed studies, with additional data collection, traffic modeling and documentation will be needed during each step of the implementation process.
PROPOSED LANE AND INTERSECTION CONFIGURATIONS ALONG MICHIGAN STREET
New York Street and University Boulevard Intersection

New York Street and Blackford Street Intersection

New York Street and California Street and West Street Intersections

PROPOSED LANE AND INTERSECTION CONFIGURATIONS ALONG NEW YORK STREET
PARKING
As an urban commuter and academic medical campus, parking supply is a priority concern for IUPUI. Of the surface lots and decks allocated for campus users, parking utilization was over 93% - at full capacity – in 2008.

Future Demand
Parking demand has been projected to support IUPUI’s growth in student enrollment to 35,000 students; to accommodate research and healthcare growth; and to support more on-campus housing in accord with the Master Plan's goals. It is estimated that future parking demand could equal 6,000 new spaces. Subtracting out current available spaces brings that total down to 4,620 spaces, (see Table D).

Proposed Parking
In order to accommodate future growth and provide the necessary parking facilities, the campus must reduce its reliance on surface parking and incrementally increase the amount of structured parking over time. Structured parking is encouraged to include liner buildings and/or active uses at the ground floor fronting key pedestrian corridors and streets.

The Master Plan illustrates the locations for over 10,955 new and replacement parking spaces on campus, both in surface lots and in the phased construction of seven new parking garages (including one underground) to serve future growth on campus. This total includes the gain of the existing Wishard Garage at University Boulevard and Wishard Boulevard, as part of the land swap conducted at the end of 2013.

Proposed garages are located where feasible at major campus entries near the direction of approach, to intercept traffic and alleviate the need to travel into the core of campus. This includes a proposed mixed use parking garage...
TABLE A - EXISTING PARKING SUPPLY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI Parking 2008</th>
<th>Existing Spaces 2008</th>
<th>Spaces In Use</th>
<th>Spaces Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peninsula Surface Lots</td>
<td>8,878</td>
<td>7,593</td>
<td>1,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Garages</td>
<td>8,331</td>
<td>7,882</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal Peninsula Parking</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,209</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,475</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,734</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Campus Parking</td>
<td>2,715</td>
<td>2,715</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total All Parking</strong></td>
<td><strong>19,924</strong></td>
<td><strong>18,190</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,734</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE B - 2008 FUTURE PARKING DEMAND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI Proposed Use</th>
<th>Future Demand</th>
<th>Demand with TDM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academic</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>1,160</td>
<td>1,044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare/Hospitals</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>1,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-Campus Housing</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Range</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,040</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,880</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE C - CHANGES SINCE 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI</th>
<th>Existing Spaces 2008</th>
<th>Spaces In Use</th>
<th>Spaces Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008 all parking</td>
<td>19,924</td>
<td>18,190</td>
<td>1,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 loss of parking</td>
<td>-2,068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 gain in parking</td>
<td>2,807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New total spaces</strong></td>
<td><strong>20,663</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Gain</strong></td>
<td><strong>739</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2,473</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI Future Displaced Parking</th>
<th>Existing Spaces 2010</th>
<th>Spaces In Use</th>
<th>Spaces Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Parking</td>
<td>-5,431</td>
<td>-5,274</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bush Stadium</td>
<td>-905</td>
<td>-905</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking Garages</td>
<td>-1,016</td>
<td>-915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Displaced</strong></td>
<td><strong>-7,352</strong></td>
<td><strong>-7,094</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Add Spaces Available</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2,473</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Displaced</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>-4,621</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE E

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI Future Parking Demand</th>
<th>Low with TDM</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Future Demand</td>
<td>5,764</td>
<td>6,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demand plus Displaced</td>
<td>10,385</td>
<td>10,661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE F

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI Future Supply</th>
<th>Surface Parking</th>
<th>Garage Parking</th>
<th>Total Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Spaces</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>10,190</td>
<td>10,955</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE G

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IUPUI Supply vs Demand</th>
<th>Supply Proposed</th>
<th>Demand Low (TDM)</th>
<th>Demand High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Future Supply</td>
<td>10,955</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Surplus/(Deficit)</strong></td>
<td><strong>570</strong></td>
<td><strong>294</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
between W. 10th and W. 11th Streets, west of Martin Luther King Drive that could intercept commuters closer to I-65. A new People Mover stop is proposed at this garage location, allowing commuters easy access to campus or to the other districts of the Academic Medical Campus. The parking garage could be built in partnership with a private developer to include residential units and ground floor retail, such as a grocery, to provide a much needed amenity for the existing neighborhood.

Transportation Demand Management (TDM)
To create a more sustainable transportation policy and reduce the need for future structured parking, testing and implementing Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies is recommended. TDM strategies provide incentives to students, faculty and staff to use alternatives to single occupancy vehicles to travel to campus and could lower parking demand 5-10%. Such strategies could include:

- A review of current parking pricing policies for changes that could help reduce demand;
- Subsidies and improved facilities for transit and bicycle use;
- Increased marketing of the carpooling program, and reservation of priority parking spaces for participants;
- Increased marketing of the Guaranteed Ride Home program;
- Establishment of car-sharing and/or bike sharing programs on campus;
- Improved inter-campus and intra-campus shuttle systems, including stops serving the larger academic medical center campus; and
- Coordination between IUPUI, IU Health and IndyGo to revise regional transit routes that directly serve campus and IU Health destinations.

Recommendations
Construct seven new parking garages in phases to meet incremental demand in the following locations:
Between W. 10th and W. 11th Streets west of MLK Drive, as a mixed use garage connected to a future People Mover station.
- On W. 10th Street at the realigned Wilson Street entrance. This garage replaces and adds spaces from the demolition of the existing Wilson Street
STRUCTURED PARKING

OFF CAMPUS PARKING

SURFACE PARKING

092 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd (L101) 72 72
HITS L (L102) 30 30
1323 N. Indiana Ave (L401) 75 75
1360 N. Indiana Ave (L402) 77 77
1302 N. Indiana Ave (L403) 230 230
1200 Indiana Ave (L404) 576 576
1410 N. Indiana Ave (L405) 55 55
1828 W. 16th St. (L406) 70 70
1701 W. 15th St. (L407) 13 13
1345 W. 16th St. (L408) 191 191
Busch Stadium (L92) 905 905
Kuntz Stadium (L93) 377 377
Emerging Technology Center (LTK) 44 44
8,715 1,810

Lot 1 68 0
Lot 2 243 0
Lot 3 49 0
Lot 4 22 0
Lot 6 48 0
Lot 12 205 0
Lot 14 8 0
Lot 19 9 0
Lot 20 555 0
Lot 21 80 0
Lot 22 532 0
Lot 25 16 0
Lot 27 39 39
Lot 28 170 170
Lot 30 9 0
Lot 33 46 0
Lot 34 20 0
Lot 42 20 20
Lot 53 58 0
Lot 54 266 0
Lot 55 161 0
Lot 57 26 26
Lot 58 253 0
Lot 59 644 325
Lot 60 36 0
Lot 62 47 0
Lot 63 504 0
Lot 64 77 0
Lot 65 71 71
Lot 66 56 56
Lot 67 197 197
Lot 68 (XG) 180 180
Lot 69 689 689
Lot 70 9 0
Lot 71 7 0
Lot 73 740 0
Lot 77 16 16
Lot 79 24 0
Lot 80 406 0
Lot 81 56 0
Lot 83 450 0
Lot 85 492 0
Lot 86 214 0
Lot 87 4 0
Lot 92 470 0
N. Union Dr. 48 0
Clinical Dr. 4 4
Blake St. 17 17
Hene St. 35 35
Spata Dr. 19 19
P96 0 42
P97 0 400
P98 0 236
P99 0 45
8,878 1,940

Garage, for Riley Hospital and research growth. Phasing of this garage will be dependent on the pace of build out on the former Wishard site.

• On North Street just east of University Boulevard, as part of a new academic/administrative facility. This garage could provide additional capacity for IU Hospital staff and visitors, as necessary.

• Replacement of the existing undersized North Street Garage between Blake and Blackford Streets.

• Expansion of the California Street Garage to serve new mixed use development on Indiana Avenue.

• Underground structured parking between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets to serve future academic growth on Blackford St.

• On Blake Street just south of W. New York Street and the existing Sports Garage.

• On W. New York Street and Barnhill

Increase
Decrease
Drive, to serve residential and School of Dentistry growth.

- Renovate existing parking structures to improve the visitor arrival and experience, particularly the Vermont Street parking south of IU Hospital.
- Remove wood slats from existing parking garages and repair/enhance parking deck facades. Consider adding liner retail for the Vermont Street structure on W. Michigan Street.
- Renovate existing surface lots with additional tree plantings, pervious pavement, and/or bio-swales to channel and treat run-off.
The transit recommendations of the Master Plan include simplifying the on-campus shuttle routes, establishing a central transfer area and taking advantage of the proposed one-way to two-way street conversion.

In order to improve campus mobility, the campus shuttle system proposed is organized into three primary routes: a west route that takes advantage of new north south roads, connecting future research facilities to health care facilities and the People Mover; an east-west route that links west side residential to campus destinations and east side commercial activity; and a north route that links facilities and parking along Stadium Drive to the main campus. The area of University Boulevard between W. Michigan and W. New York Streets should be established as a transfer point between routes. Coordinated stops between campus shuttle routes and IndyGo routes are encouraged.

**Recommendations**

- Simplify transit runs to ‘out and back’ lines with end loops for turn-arounds.
- Connect transit routes with parking reserves, decks, and major destinations on campus.
- Integrate bike parking, transit stops, and parking garages where possible to encourage ridership
- Connect campus shuttle routes to IndyGo routes at common stops.
- Develop a shuttle route serving remote parking resources and key transfer stops at the People Mover and at the core of campus.
- Increase connectivity between the People Mover and campus shuttle lines.
- Develop an east-west shuttle route connecting housing with the campus hub.
- Develop a west shuttle route that links the People Mover, existing hospitals and research buildings with proposed research uses south of W. Michigan Street.
- Work with IU Health to evaluate ridership and function of the People Mover and possible increase in capacity.
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BICYCLE CIRCULATION

The Master Plan proposes a comprehensive network of on-street bicycle lanes, off-street bicycle paths, and bike-friendly streets that connect to existing city routes and the regional greenway trails along the White River. Development of this alternative transportation infrastructure will reduce the amount of campus vehicular movement.

Creating a campus bike station with covered parking, bike repair, showers and lockers will also facilitate bike transportation. It is also recommended that the University evaluate and implement a bicycle-sharing program.

Recommendations

• Make bike transportation easier and more convenient. Bicycle transportation should form part of a campus-wide Transportation Demand Management plan.
• Create a hierarchy of bicycle paths/lanes.
• Connect the campus bike system to regional bikeways.
• Design new roadways to encourage on-street bike lanes.
• Utilize off-street campus paths for mix of bike and pedestrian use.
• Develop five foot wide on-street bike lanes along the Limestone street extension, North Street, and secondary roads on campus and north of Fall Creek, as feasible.
• As W. Michigan and New York Streets are reconfigured and re-striped to two way travel, implement off-street bike paths alongside the roadways.
• Create off-street bicycle lanes along high traffic volume streets, University, Barnhill, West Drive and the campus frontage on N. West Street.
• Develop bicycle friendly streets along Vermont Street, portions of California Street, and the pedestrian promenade on the Blake Street extension south of W. New York St..
• Work with community partners and the City to develop the Cultural Trail on Blackford Street.
• Establish an “IUPUI Cultural Trail” as an interpretive trail along the Vermont Street pedestrian spine on campus.
• Create multi modal parking decks with bike parking and storage.
• Include shower facilities where feasible at major campus destinations.
• Provide additional bike parking on campus, including covered bike parking.
CAMPUS INFRASTRUCTURE

CHILLED WATER

The 42-inch chilled water mains serving the campus are at peak velocity at the height of the cooling season, and cannot support more flow. Therefore, new building additions on campus will be supported by the new cooling plant capacity located on the peninsula. The existing Wishard chilled water plant can support 3,000 tons of new building load if connected to the chilled water system. However, long range plans for the redevelopment of the Wishard site may require relocation of this equipment. Its feasibility requires further study. Also, new capacity can be installed at the new Wishard Chiller/Boiler Plant (CBP) by Citizens Thermal (CT) and exported to the IUPUI campus.

A 20-inch distribution extension on Vermont Street west of Barnhill Drive will be needed to connect the proposed buildings in this area to district cooling. A total of 2,600 tons of cooling capacity will be needed to serve this new load. In addition, another 16-inch distribution expansion will be needed to connect the proposed buildings on the south side of campus on University Avenue. A total of 1,600 tons of cooling capacity will be needed to serve this new load.

The new building additions shown on the existing Wishard site north of Wishard Boulevard represent approximately 3,000 tons of cooling capacity. There is not enough distribution capacity in the existing campus chilled water system to support this fully developed area. It is noteworthy that a chiller plant, not currently connected to the campus chilled water system, exists on the existing Wishard site with a capacity of 3,000 tons and with 10-15 years of equipment service life remaining. This plant currently just serves the Myers and Regenstrief buildings of the existing Wishard complex. These buildings are ultimately slated for demolition in accordance with the plans for the new Wishard site. Three options exist to support the cooling load associated with the new buildings proposed for this area. 1) The existing Wishard chiller plant could be preserved and connected to the new buildings; and a connection made back to the campus chilled water system for redundancy. 2) The existing Wishard chiller equipment could also be relocated to a new site west of the Barnhill Street north extension, connected to the new buildings and to the campus chilled water mains. 3) The third option would be to install capacity for the new building additions north of Wishard Boulevard at the new Wishard CBP. Due to the remote location of the CBP relative to the current Wishard site and the expected heavy load for buildings in between, this option may require an additional 20” chilled water extension from Vermont Street to Walnut Street along University Boulevard. Further study of the timing for these building additions is necessary to understand which will be the best solution to implement.

From an economic perspective, the locations of the proposed residential buildings may be conducive to connection with the district cooling system. However, the proposed buildings are relatively small and extend past the present district cooling mains. This type of building is not a good match for a district cooling system due to the low cooling density. The residence hall may follow a similar path of cooling that was utilized for the new campus apartment complex.
Other potential energy saving techniques could be geothermal or variable-volume direct expansion (DX) cooling systems. CT has expressed a desire to build thermal storage capacity into the chilled water system to improve efficiency and reduce carbon footprint. Thermal storage can accomplish this by maximizing the use of lower power electrical chillers during the off-peak demand period through the night hours. To maximize the effectiveness of this program, the campus, hospitals and new customers should make it a goal to utilize building cooling coils designed for a 20°F chilled water temperature rise for all new building and retrofit projects. Past history has demonstrated that chilled water thermal storage is most successful if the chilled water system operates at a 20°F supply-return temperature differential.
STEAM AND CONDENSATION SYSTEM

The existing steam load is approximately 254,000 lbs/hr including the load from the existing Wishard Memorial Hospital complex (approximately 30,000 lbs/hr). The new Wishard Memorial Hospital complex will be connected to the district steam system (approximately 67,000 lbs/hr). Phase I construction for the IUPUI campus is expected to add approximately 106,000 lbs/hr. The total load through O-Vault will be approximately 397,000 lbs/hr. O-Vault has a capacity of 440,000 lbs/hr. The requirement for redundant steam supply service to the hospitals is satisfied by the combination of steam supplies from 1) the Citizens Thermal district steam system on campus and 2) the newly constructed Chiller/Boiler Plant in the area of the new Wishard Memorial Hospital site.

There is no condensate return system at the IUPUI campus. Generally speaking, condensate is tempered and discharged to the sewer. There are heat recovery systems at a few locations on campus. However, heat recovery is minimal.

New construction projects could at least incorporate heat recovery even in the absence of a return system.

To make room for the new campus gateway between IUPUI and White River State Park, O-Vault must be relocated. It could possibly be relocated in to the Building R17 footprint.

Steam service will need to be extended to serve the academic and mixed use buildings located between Indiana Avenue, Michigan Street, West Street, and Blackford Street. This extension would run north along Blackford and east along Michigan to enter the building complex.

Steam will be needed for the new buildings that will occupy the existing Wishard site. To serve this area, new steam distribution piping will connect to the existing system in Barnhill Drive and run north to the Wishard Boulevard utility corridor and then east to the building site.

An extension along Barnhill Drive connecting the north and south parts of campus is needed for operational purposes after the piping on the west end of campus is demolished or decommissioned to make way for the new Wishard Memorial Hospital complex.
The Master Plan

Infrastructure/Utilities

Existing Steam
Proposed Steam

Steam O-Vault

INFRstructure/UTILITIES

0 600 1200 1800 Feet

0 800 1600 2400 Feet

THE MASTER PLAN
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM

New equipment, duct bank and cable must be installed to support campus growth. As the campus grows, these new 15KV distribution feeders should be routed to balance the loads among the three substations when feasible. Project costs to extend infrastructure should be included in funding for new buildings.

Additional switching infrastructure is required for campus electrical reliability and maintenance. Upgrades should continue replacing aged cable on the existing system. New duct bank must be installed to support campus growth. As the campus grows, new 15KV distribution radial feeder loops should be routed to balance the circuit loads among the three substations when feasible. Substation A is currently built out to its maximum capacity. However, it may be possible to increase the cable size and fuse size in the existing circuits. Future consideration should be given to centralizing power generation for emergency circuits. This would centralize the maintenance for equipment and would reduce cost when compared to operating and maintaining separate generator sets for each building.

This centralization would necessitate a separate duct bank to carry the emergency circuit feeders. Future addition of system capacitance should be evaluated and added at new buildings for specific loads to improve the power factor billing. The undeveloped area north of Fall Creek is a technically feasible location from which to extend circuits to Substation A, which is built to maximum capacity, to provide a new substation in collaboration with AES/IPL.

The old Wishard Memorial Hospital site will be fed from the Substation A. The existing 5kV primary transformers feeding the old Wishard Memorial Hospital site will be available for temporary and transitional power as the old Wishard Memorial Hospital site is transformed through the new campus Master Plan. The Master Plan includes the removal of the existing 5kV service and the provision of 13.8kV service to all new buildings from Substation A. During the transformation period new ductbank and circuits shall be distributed. The future assumption would include upgrades to Substation A for the new circuits and rebalancing of existing loads. The 5kV distribution and one utility 5kV transformer would remain in service and continue to feed the existing utility building for the old Wishard Memorial Hospital site.

Substation A is presently fed by overhead lines from 10th Street. To meet the Master Plan these overhead lines should be routed underground. The route would follow planned street routes to avoid future building growth.

Substation C is presently located in a planned green space. To meet the Master Plan negotiations with IPL will be required to develop a new site for Substation C. Relocation would include routing overhead lines underground. Further study is warranted to determine the feasibility and cost of relocation.

For sustainability issues photovoltaic solar power should be considered since there is an abundance of roof space especially on parking structures. IPL incentive programs for photovoltaic systems should be researched as part of the design for each future project. Such consideration should include the relative value of such technology in comparison
to other alternatives. IUPUI does not envision providing peak shaving due to the relatively constant nature of the loads. IUPUI does not consider a wind generation system to be feasible because the campus is not located in a favorable wind area. Alternative energy sources that would allow the University to acquire grants and partnering are always to be evaluated.
TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM

The telecommunications duct bank systems have adequate capacity for future expansion throughout the campus with the exception of the northeast and southwest areas of the campus. In the northeast area future new university buildings require additional diverse telecommunications routes. In the southwest area development of new academic and support buildings are proposed. Both areas would require new duct bank infrastructure to connect the new buildings to the existing telecommunications infrastructure network. To support the southwest campus expansion new duct bank infrastructure would be required along New York Street from University Boulevard to Lansing Street. To support the northeast campus expansion new duct bank infrastructure would be required from the intersection of Michigan and Blackford Streets routed north to North Street then west to University Boulevard. This would provide additional infrastructure for new buildings near Lockfield Green. Additional duct bank infrastructure would be added up University Boulevard from Lockfield Green north to the future utility corridor (Wishard Boulevard). The duct bank would follow the utility corridor west to Wilson Street then route south connecting into existing tunnels.

Connecting the IUPUI campus to a future neuroscience building on 16th Street near the Methodist Hospital is also an expansion possibility for the future. This is a fiber optic link that could potentially be routed along 16th Street from the existing duct bank infrastructure located at Indiana Avenue and 16th Street.

Fiber optic cable would be installed in the duct bank systems to connect new campus buildings into the existing telecommunications system. Single mode fiber optic cables will be installed in two diverse paths from the University Library and the Informatics and Communications Technology Complex building to new facilities to support various future communications. With the future VOIP implementation copper cable installation will be minimized to small emergency phone cables for each new facility. With the elimination of copper cable connections in the backbone system this will free up even more infrastructure for future expansion.

Exterior wireless network access around the IUPUI campus has been historically satisfied by wireless access located within each building, which has been sufficiently powerful to allow exterior wireless access. Should additional exterior wireless network coverage be required additional antennas would be installed on top of campus buildings, and cabling from a telecom room within those buildings would connect the antennas into the IU network. IUPUI anticipates the continuation of this contingency for future expansion. No new telecom infrastructure would be required.

Cellular phone service is currently adequate throughout the IUPUI campus. Any future signal amplification required will be provided by the cellular service providers, not by the IU.
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ENERGY AND WATER USE
This Energy and Water Use section predicts the energy use, GHG emissions and water use of the IUPUI campus when the Master Plan is fully implemented once the buildings proposed in the master plan have been completed. The analysis summarized here was completed in 2009 and excluded the Medical Campus and existing campus hospitals. There have been minor adjustments to the campus master plan after the analysis was completed, and these changes could impact the predicted energy and water use of the proposed campus. That said, the strategies for energy and water conservation found within the report are still valid and should be recommended considerations to guide the future development of the campus. The potential impact of those strategies, as well, remains relevant although there may be minor changes to the specific predicted energy and water savings resulting from revisions to the master plan since the time of this study.

Over the next ten years and beyond, the IUPUI campus will grow with the addition of nearly 5.1 million square feet of building. This growth could increase the campus greenhouse gas emissions and potable water consumption. By embracing sustainable design strategies, the campus can grow while reducing its resource impacts. The Energy and Water Use portion of the Master Plan estimates the greenhouse gas emissions and potable water consumption associated with the existing campus and predicts the energy and water use of proposed development based on current campus building standards. It demonstrates how sustainable design strategies can significantly reduce the campus's carbon footprint and water consumption.

The American College & University Presidents Climate Commitment (ACUPCC) establishes a
goal of reducing campus greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 80% by the year 2050, equating to a 23% reduction target by the year 2020, the end of the Master Plan development. Similarly, the Association for the Advancement of Sustainability in Higher Education’s (AASHE) Sustainability Tracking Assessment & Rating System (STARS) establishes campus water conservation goals to reduce potable non-irrigation water consumption by 10%, 25%, and 50%, using water consumption per square foot of building as the unit for comparison. While IU has not committed to the ACUPCC goal, a series of sustainable design practices have been proposed for both the planned and existing building stock at IUPUI in order to demonstrate a path towards meeting similar targets to the ACUPCC and STARS goals.

Carbon Emission Reduction Recommendations
The colored wedges in the chart below represent the emissions reduction potential associated with a series of strategies to reduce predicted campus carbon emissions. No one strategy or “wedge” alone will reach the ACUPCC target, but the cumulative effect of combined strategies will reach and exceed the target.

Wedges 1a and 1b demonstrate the impact from requiring all new construction to meet energy use reduction thresholds prescribed in the US Green Building Council’s Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Green Building Rating System™. Wedges 2-6 demonstrate the impacts from retrofitting existing campus buildings for more sustainable practices. Wedges 7 and 8 examine the impacts of incorporating sustainable policies to the building stock. If all of the measures from Wedges 1-8 are implemented, the strategies combined will result in a greenhouse gas emissions reduction of 62,430 MT eCO2. IUPUI can grow by over 50% while at the same time reduce its greenhouse gas emissions by over 25%. This 25% reduction would put the campus well on track to reach a goal of an 80% reduction of GHG emissions by the year 2050.

Water Use Reduction Recommendations
Using wedge analyses similar to those discussed previously, the chart to the right represents the water use reduction potential associated with a series of proposed strategies. Wedges 1, 3, and 5 examine the impacts of conservation measures applied to new campus buildings, while wedges 2, 4 and 6 demonstrate the impacts from retrofitting existing campus buildings to include water conservation measures. If all of the measures from Wedges 1-6 are implemented, the strategies combined would result in a potable water savings of 187.6 million gallons a year. IUPUI would increase its building area by 50% but increase its potable water consumption by only 4%.
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Water Use Reduction Recommendations

1. Use efficient toilets and urinals in all new construction
2. Retrofit existing fixtures with efficient toilets and urinals
3. Use efficient faucets and showers in all new construction
4. Retrofit existing fixtures with efficient faucets and showers
5. Use Greywater Recovery in all new construction
6. Reduce Process Water Use by 10%

GHG Emissions Reduction Recommendations

1a. All new work earns 10 points in LEED 2009 EA1
1b. All new work earns 19 points in LEED 2009 EA1
2. Occupancy Sensors in Offices
3. Replace all standard fume hoods with high-efficiency fume hoods
4a. Renovate 7.83% of existing building stock up to LEED 2009 standards
4b. Renovate 7.83% of existing buildings to earn 10 points in LEED 2009 EA1
4c. Renovate 7.83% of existing buildings to earn 19 points in LEED 2009 EA1
5. Of the remaining building stock, take on 1 funded energy savings project / year
6. Retrofit Commission the remaining building stock
7. Change winter setpoint from 70° to 68°
8. Purchase green power for 15% of remaining electricity use
STORM WATER

Campuses across the country are creatively incorporating storm water management techniques into traditional campus environments. The IUPUI campus is sited on a former floodplain of the White River, and due to its unique location at the toe of the watershed, the pre-development condition would not have detained flooding events, therefore, water quantity issues have not been considered as part of the master plan. Recommendations instead focus on improving water quality issues and disconnecting much of the campuses storm water that is currently directed toward a combined sewer system which, in large rain events, dumps raw sewage directly into the river.

The City of Indianapolis Storm Water Specifications Manual includes all pertinent information on the storm water management requirements of the City. Because the White River and Fall Creek are currently considered direct discharge waterways by the city of Indianapolis, storm water runoff can be discharged to these waterways without detention as long as the necessary water quality requirements are met and a downstream analysis is performed showing that the peak runoff volume is released to the river well before the river reaches its peak elevation following a storm event.

Rainfall data was obtained from the Utah Climate Center (UCC) for the City of Indianapolis for the years 1900 to 2005. Based on this data, it was determined that the average annual rainfall is 39.35 inches. Runoff coefficients were also calculated for the IUPUI campus. The watershed was broken up into categories based on surface type: existing and proposed buildings, existing and proposed parking lots, other paved areas (roads, walks, drives, etc.), and lawn/woods. Each of these different categories was assigned a specific runoff coefficient according to their use. The weighted average was then determined for each watershed (see Table 1). As the areas change from existing to proposed conditions, the runoff coefficients are updated. When the average annual rainfall is multiplied by the runoff coefficient and the watershed area, the Average Annual Runoff (AAR) is calculated.

Assuming that the quality of storm water leaving a watershed is at its highest when it is in its pre-developed state, the goal for storm water quality for the IUPUI campus will be to reduce the AAR for the proposed condition back to that of the pre-developed condition. In order to accomplish this goal, water quality facilities will need to be carefully incorporated into existing and proposed parking lots, proposed buildings, existing buildings, and existing roads on campus, since space is very limited. Storm water treatment facilities within the master plan have been designed for the 2-month, 24-hour storm event, treating 94.5% of all storm events with only a slight increase in necessary infrastructure. Since these facilities will take runoff “off-line” by allowing it to infiltrate, the AAR will be reduced to that of the pre-developed condition.

Specific storm water quality techniques recommended in this report attempt to slow down storm water runoff from large rainfall
Table 1: Average Annual Stormwater Runoff

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Total Drainage Area (ac)</th>
<th>Existing Building (ac)</th>
<th>Proposed Building (ac)</th>
<th>Existing Parking (ac)</th>
<th>Proposed Parking (ac)</th>
<th>Misc. Paved (ac)</th>
<th>Lawn/Woods (ac)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Average Annual Runoff*(gal)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-developed</td>
<td>448.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>448.3</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>143,679,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing</td>
<td>448.3</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>122.4</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>330,106,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>448.3</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>124.3</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>325,009,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>151.0</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>143,679,402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 39.35 inches x 1ft/12in x Area x 43,560 sf/acre x C x 7.48 gal/ft^3 = AAR

Rain Gardens
Rain gardens, infiltration planters, bioswales and constructed wetlands are examples of infiltration facilities which help to filter storm water from smaller events. By encouraging and assisting infiltration, these facilities enhance water quality, reduce runoff rates, recharge the groundwater system, and create habitat. If there are existing impervious soils, the storm water will be going into the storm sewer conveyance system; however, the benefit of the infiltration facility still exists since the runoff has been slowed down and cleaned.

Pervious Pavements
Pervious pavements allow the infiltration of storm water in areas that would normally be impervious. They also enhance groundwater recharge through increased percolation of rain water into the soil underneath paved areas. Pervious pavements can be applied to walks, parking lots, roads and driveways and can come in the form of pervious asphalt, pervious concrete or pervious pavers. Similarly to rain gardens, if local soils are not sufficient to infiltrate the storm events, mimic pre development runoff conditions by managing small storm water events at or close to where rain falls, and by minimizing impervious surfaces. In order to do this, several strategies, described below, are woven into the master plan to improve storm water treatment at IUPUI.

Detention Basins
Detention basins are large volume storage facilities which help to manage large storm events by providing added capacity to a drainage system. Due to the campus’s location in the White River and Fall Creek watersheds, detention provided on campus would have a very minimal impact on the hydraulics of either water course. Due to this and the infrastructure needed to create detention, it is not recommended on campus. However, rainwater cistern systems can be included to collect rain water. The water collected by the cistern can then be used for non-potable uses like landscape irrigation, toilet flushing, and mechanical system make up.
water into the ground water system, underdrains can be included to take the storm water to the storm sewer system after it has been cleaned and delayed.

**Green Roofs**

Green roofs, while relatively new to the United States, have proven effective at managing smaller rain events while slowing runoff for larger rain events. Including natural surfaces to what would normally be impervious allows for storm water from small rain events to be absorbed and used by plants rather than running off to the storm water system. This scenario more accurately mimics the conditions that would have occurred prior to the development of the site.

The IUPUI plan focuses on implementing urban water quality measures while also disconnecting storm water from the combined system by encouraging disconnection of existing buildings, and designing new buildings with separate sewer lines.

**Recommendations**

- Reduce the Average Annual Runoff (AAR) to pre-developed condition by incorporating water quality facilities into the campus
- Size structures for a two-month, 24-hour event to manage 94.5% of all storm events
- Design 100% of existing and proposed parking lots, 90% of proposed and existing buildings, and 36% of existing roads in the watershed with infiltration facilities to meet two-month, 24-hour event

A more detailed stormwater study was completed for portions of the campus. This study outlines the type of treatment measures to be used in an effort to meet the recommendations listed above.
SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM

The sanitary sewer system on campus is jointly maintained by the City of Indianapolis (sewers in public right-of-way) and private owners, one of which is IUPUI. The sanitary sewage (and storm water runoff) is collected in a system of combined sewers and eventually outlets at the Belmont Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility, which is approximately three miles south of campus.

As part of the master plan process, the IUPUI campus was evaluated based on main drainage lines to determine what areas currently have separate storm and sanitary sewers and what areas could be separated in the future. A best case scenario for sewer separation and campus drainage was developed, encouraging all new development (buildings, parking lots, rec. fields and open space) to be separated out if not currently. The sizing and capacity of the proposed system was determined based on the current capacity of the system as it is today, and the projected load on the system at full build out. The “Future Sanitary Solutions Best Case Scenario” plan on page 173 shows approximately 180 acres of separated areas at full build out.

Because of the significant investment on the part of the City and the campus to achieve separation in all proposed development areas, the campus sought to pursue separation along four major corridors as part of the Master Plan process. These corridors were identified based on the four main combined sewer overflows (CSO) which outlet excess storm water runoff and sanitary discharge from campus property; Blackford Street Corridor (CSO 37), University Boulevard Corridor (CSO 38), Beauty Avenue Corridor (CSO 39), and Indiana Avenue Corridor (CSO 210). The areas which contribute storm water runoff to these main lines are, in large part, planned for development and therefore create an opportunity to separate storm and sanitary sewers. The “Future Sanitary Solutions--Phase One” plan on page 172 highlights these initial sanitary projects.

Blackford Street Corridor (CSO 37)
The existing combined sewer main which runs from North Street at the northwest corner of the Blackford Street Parking Garage south and eventually ends up at the White River at CSO 37 will need to be re-routed as part of the master plan. The existing sewer main south of the Science Building should be abandoned, and replaced with a new line which will run south along Blackford, west along W. New York Street and then south again to eventually be tied back into the system at CSO 37. Adjacent to this sewer, a new storm line should also be installed from the south end of the Science Building to W. New York Street which will tie into the existing 54” storm sewer main and facilitate sewer separation in the area. At full build out, approximately 49 acres can be separated out if all the necessary storm lines are installed along this drainage corridor. The total effect of the Master Plan on the system is shown in Table 2.

University Boulevard Corridor (CSO 38)
The replacement of the undersized and outdated combined sewer main located along University Boulevard is a first priority as part of the master plan. This sewer line runs south from the
University Hospital main entrance, at Michigan Street, to the White River at CSO 38. As part of this project, a new storm line should be installed adjacent to the upgraded sanitary line to facilitate full separation. By separating all the sanitary and storm loads currently contributing to this combined sewer line, overflow events can be avoided. At full build out, approximately 34 acres can be separated out if this project is completed and all the necessary secondary storm lines are installed tying into the proposed storm main along this drainage corridor. The additions and subtractions to the system proposed by the Master Plan are shown in Table 2.

**Beauty Avenue Corridor (CSO 39)**

Realignment of the combined sewer main that runs along Beauty Avenue is necessary to avoid interference with the buildings and parking garage proposed for this area. Currently, this sewer turns southeast at Michigan Street and runs along Beauty Avenue to CSO 39. The proposed route would continue south along Lansing Street to W. New York Street before turning east to tie back into itself.

---

### Table 2: Projected Sanitary Sewer Demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outlet</th>
<th>Academic</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>294,930</td>
<td>34,386</td>
<td>342,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>963,318</td>
<td>376,544</td>
<td>342,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,258,248</td>
<td>736,544</td>
<td>376,544</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phase 1 - 10-Year Build Out**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outlet</th>
<th>Academic</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>207,747</td>
<td>17,084</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130,630</td>
<td>29,392</td>
<td>338,377</td>
<td>46,476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>1,891,731</td>
<td>155,570</td>
<td>419,220</td>
<td>125,766</td>
<td>468,591</td>
<td>105,433</td>
<td>2,779,542</td>
<td>386,769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>1,402,177</td>
<td>115,311</td>
<td>147,306</td>
<td>44,192</td>
<td>355,634</td>
<td>80,018</td>
<td>1,905,117</td>
<td>239,520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,501,655</td>
<td>287,965</td>
<td>566,526</td>
<td>169,958</td>
<td>954,855</td>
<td>214,843</td>
<td>5,023,036</td>
<td>672,765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Phase 2 - 20-Year Build Out**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outlet</th>
<th>Academic</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>2,433,161</td>
<td>200,096</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>197,128</td>
<td>44,354</td>
<td>2,630,289</td>
<td>244,450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>20,559</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>20,559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>781,954</td>
<td>64,306</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>175,357</td>
<td>39,456</td>
<td>957,311</td>
<td>103,761</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>198,000</td>
<td>16,283</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>198,000</td>
<td>16,283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,663,115</td>
<td>301,244</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>372,485</td>
<td>83,809</td>
<td>4,035,600</td>
<td>385,053</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outlet</th>
<th>Academic</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Study Area (GSF)</th>
<th>Flow (gpd)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7,164,770</td>
<td>589,209</td>
<td>566,526</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Academic Buildings 82 gpd/1,000 sf; Research Buildings 300 gpd/1,000 sf; Residential Buildings 225 gpd/1,000 sf
As part of this re-alignment project, a new storm sewer main should be installed adjacent to the sanitary sewer. If enough storm lines are disconnected from this sewer and diverted to the new storm main, it may be possible to convert CSO 39 to a storm outlet and direct sanitary loads downstream without the need to overflow.

The 66” storm sewer main at Beauty Avenue and W. New York Street should be disconnected from Sewer 39 and connected to the proposed storm sewer mentioned above. Table 2 shows the cumulative effect of the Master Plan on the system.

**Indiana Avenue Corridor (CSO 210)**

With the exception of the parking lot north of North Street and west of Blackford Street, the area of campus bounded by North Street, Indiana Avenue and University Boulevard drains to a large storm main which runs northwest along Indiana Avenue, discharging to Fall Creek just west of CSO 210. There is a combined sewer main which parallels this storm main which overflows into CSO 210. With the addition of new storm
sewers along North Street and Blackford Street and the re-routing of existing storm sewer lines, it may be possible to separate out approximately 33 acres of proposed development area by tying into the storm main. A closer examination of this area will be necessary to determine what the best options are for separation depending on the capacity of the existing system and the location of proposed buildings and underground infrastructure. The total effect of the Master Plan on the system is shown in Table 2.
ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES

The architectural guidelines consist of broad design guidelines applicable to the IUPUI campus as a whole, as well as specific formal and functional objectives adapted to each campus district. The guidelines reinforce the Master Plan themes:

• Create a Dense Urban Environment
• Unite the Campus
• Engage the City
• Redefine the Public Realm
• Animate the Campus

The guidelines reflect primary principles of enhancing academic excellence and urban experience by guiding construction of distinctive, quality structures.

The Master Plan breaks down the scale of the large IUPUI campus by proposing four distinct districts, each with an individual, memorable character. The qualities of each district and their specific architectural guidelines are detailed in Section 6 – Campus Districts. The intention is to create a strong identifiable character for each district, while maintaining a unified sense of

Public Space

Diverse Campus Resources

Pedestrian Connectivity
campus and physical identity for the University. This will be achieved by physically reinforcing the core design principles that remain consistent from district to district. The following general guidelines therefore apply to the design of campus structures in all of the campus districts.

The guidelines reinforce IUPUI’s essential connection to its urban Indianapolis context. They focus on fundamental physical qualities of urbanism: diversity, pedestrian experience, civic engagement and presence. They are not prescriptive in nature, and are directed toward furthering the high-quality, inventive modern spirit that has led to much of the campus’ architectural development to date. Application of the guidelines is meant to capitalize on each individual architect’s intellect, each contributing to the forward-looking dynamic physical environment that facilitate IUPUI’s rigorous, forward-looking academic programs.

The guidelines are also meant to unify the design of individual structures into a coherent larger pattern expressive of the enduring qualities of Indiana University. Rather than a collection of individual objects, the recommended design approach connects buildings with one another and with the landscape to form an integrated and architecturally rich campus context.

**General Design Guidelines**

The campus development methodology encourages diversity among its districts and programs. While each building should reflect its own time and place; it should also reflect the enduring values of Indiana University and IUPUI: quality, durability, commitment to academic excellence. Each building design should contribute to the identity of the campus while reinforcing the architectural and landscape pattern of its individual district.

**City Interface**

Campus development should celebrate and connect to IUPUI’s urban setting, in terms of program, activity and building form. Campus edges are considered porous with seamless and natural visual and physical connections to Indianapolis. Buildings should be designed as
welcoming to the larger community. Maintaining security and necessary privacy may be achieved with clear organization for visitors: clear means of access, wayfinding, signage, etc. Diverse uses are encouraged to promote activity and urban vitality.

The edges that define the limits of campus at its urban interface must also present a character and identity appropriate to a leading academic institution. Opportunities for the campus to visually announce itself should be incorporated—these may include signage, architectural expression of programmatic activities and purpose, and/or transparency.

Expression
IUPUI has a legacy of notable architectural design and planning. From 1976-1993, the architect Edward Larrabee Barnes worked for Indiana University on three campuses as a master planner and architect. At IUPUI he built ten buildings including Business/SPEA, the University Library, and the Natatorium.
Barnes had a long and influential career and is considered a modern master.

Distinguishing characteristics of his work for IUPUI include clarity, monumentality, and comprehensible distinction between object, landmark structures versus background buildings whose primary role is to define campus spaces. However, some buildings and spaces have a scale that is too large and appears grand and corporate rather than appropriately institutional and collegiate. New buildings should achieve a more human-scale presence and relationship to their setting as the campus transitions to a more urban, pedestrian oriented character.

More positive attributes should be extended with the design of new buildings and spaces: clarity, precise building forms, and a simple, direct emphasis on campus space making. Two principles should underlie the design of new buildings. Buildings should clearly communicate their purpose and their time period. Each new building should address its context and district, and contribute a spirit of invention and intellect to the campus’s architectural expression.

Materiality
The existing IUPUI campus has a varied palette of building materials consisting of Indiana limestone, brick, precast concrete, metal panel and glass. While no one building material predominates, Indiana limestone in various forms has been used to convey physical identity for landmark academic and student life structures: the University Library, the Law School, the Informatics and Communications Technology Complex, and the Campus Center. While this approach shall be extended with the design of new campus landmarks, the use of a variety of materials expressive of forward-looking dynamism is also encouraged, either in combination with or in lieu of Indiana limestone. These materials may include metal panel, glass, and/or terra-cotta panels. Materials will be varied within each district to contribute to their individual character. Selection of durable materials will convey permanence and quality, appropriate attributes for IUPUI.

Scale
Large buildings should incorporate design features to reduce their perceived mass, creating a human scale for the campus. Such features may include articulated masses, façade treatments, changes in vertical height, and/or incorporating a variety of materials.

Entrances
Entrances to buildings should be considered a major design feature, easily identifiable and expressive of the activities of building programs and activities. Entrances should be located along prominent open spaces or primary pedestrian and vehicular routes to maximize visibility and identity. Projecting, recessing or otherwise articulating entrances is encouraged. Buildings should be sited and designed to create gathering places adjacent to their entrances.

Active Spaces and Streets
Campus development will maximize opportunities to create active campus spaces. Streets must be pedestrian-oriented in order to take full advantage of IUPUI’s distinctly urban environment.
Building forms should define appropriately-scaled campus spaces. Ground level interiors in each building facing a campus space or a street should house active functions and should be transparent and visually accessible. Canopies, colonnades, and other ground level articulations and integrated, adjacent site and seat walls are encouraged. Ground level spaces in blocks along Indiana Avenue as well as at other locations should include retail or storefront services.

**Height / Density**

Building heights and development density should be established to fully recognize the value of urban land. Buildings should take full advantage of opportunities for integration of functions, mixing retail, office, academic, housing and research functions vertically, as well as horizontally. Specific guidelines for building heights are included for each district. To ensure adequate height for anticipated and future uses, floor-to-floor heights should be approximately 17’ at ground level and no less than 15’ above ground level. Building widths should be limited to 70’ where permanent staff and faculty workspaces are located to maximize access to daylight and views.

**Orientation and Exposure**

Buildings should be oriented and designed in response to solar angles and wind direction to reduce energy consumption. Appropriate shading options should be incorporated including architectural and landscape elements. Measures to optimize natural airflow and ventilation should be integrated.

**Program**

Building design should provide for flexibility as programs and program requirements change over time. Internal partitions should be easy to reconfigure while maintaining the visual character of permanence and enduring quality. Floor-to-floor heights should allow for flexible, adaptable building systems. Net building area to gross building area ratios must be carefully established to ensure adequate unprogrammed casual/communal spaces that are conducive to informal, unstructured interaction.

**Service Points**

Building service points and discrete connections to utilities must be carefully integrated into a building’s design without compromising visual integrity. Loading docks must be fully enclosed or visually screened and accessible from predefined service corridors. Exterior rooftop equipment must be fully concealed with integral architectural building elements. Pad mounted equipment at grade must be similarly screened.

All exterior equipment on grade must be located in a designated service yard area and must be visually screened architecturally or with landscape elements. Screening must be continuous on all sides and extend to the top of the equipment. Alternate screening configurations that include landscape and/or topography may be considered.

**Sustainability**

All new buildings and renovation projects shall incorporate sustainability design and building practices. IUPUI is committed to achieving LEED Silver Certification as defined by the United States Green Building Council for all building and renovation projects.
INTRODUCTION

District Organization

The organization of the IUPUI campus can be understood as a collection of distinctive districts or neighborhoods stitched together by a network of memorable pedestrian and vehicular corridors. Each of the five districts identified in the master plan is unique in its programmatic function, spatial character and level of activity. Three of the districts are oriented north-south, West Campus, Central Core, and Cultural Trail-Blackford Street. The north-south orientation of these districts promotes greater connectivity across the West Michigan Street and West New York Street corridors where meaningful connections have traditionally been absent. The West Campus District and Central Core overlap along University Boulevard and share both sides of this major circulation artery and gateway to the campus.

The West Campus District largely contains medical, allied health, academic, and research facilities with Ball Garden defining its western edge. Barnhill Drive is to be developed as a central circulation axis and organizing pedestrian feature that will unify the district north to south. The Central Core district contains predominantly academic, research, administrative, and student life facilities and functions as the primary social hub of the academic campus. This district effectively functions as the heart of the campus and defines a clear identity for IUPUI as a whole. The Cultural Trail-Blackford Street District will contain a lively variety of mixed-use facilities organized around the Indianapolis Cultural Trail planned for Blackford Street. The West Street edge of this district defines IUPUI’s civic relationship to downtown Indianapolis and represents the campus’ physical identity within the urban context.

The north-south districts are interrupted by the primary vehicular east-west corridors, West Michigan Street and New York Street. Significant modifications suggested for these corridors will create a more pedestrian-oriented environment and human scale by establishing a campus fabric that is more comfortable and easier to traverse. The intersections between Michigan and New York and each of the north-south corridors will present new opportunities for gateway structures and spaces that can function as thresholds to each district and visually represent the unique qualities of each area.

Vermont Street comprises the fourth district and is oriented east-west between West Michigan and New York. In contrast to Michigan and New York’s vehicular focus, this corridor is envisioned as the primary pedestrian circulation spine for the academic campus. Its character will be uniquely urban, relying on tightly-clustered student residences and a variety of mixed use structures to activate a dynamic street life.

The Canal District is a unique area of campus that is focused around the northern terminus of the historic Indiana Central Canal. Currently home to several research and health science administrative facilities, future development in this district will include additional administrative,
research/incubator facilities and structured parking. A proposed new station for the people mover just west of the Canal will strengthen ties to the main campus and the northern precincts of the Academic Medical Center campus.

Each district defines a region of campus loosely defined by programmatic function and spatial character. To achieve a sense of urban vitality consistent with the Indianapolis context, programmatic uses and activities are deliberately mixed and integrated throughout the campus. While certain programmatic functions may be concentrated in a particular district, others may be widely distributed. Similarly, the physical landscape and architectural design of each district should not reinforce differences, but rather embody and subtly unite aesthetics that together form part of an identifiable whole for the entire campus. The design guidelines for districts will connect campus spaces and structures to one another within an integrated, overall campus framework for development.
DISTRICT 1: WEST CAMPUS

EXISTING CHARACTER
The West Campus District extends from Fall Creek to New York Street and from Ball Garden to University Boulevard. The architectural styles represented are a range of historic turn of the century neoclassical to modern contemporary. Construction materials are predominantly Indiana limestone, brick masonry, concrete and glass. Buildings in this district from New York Street to 10th Street date from the early 1930s. They include Riley Children’s Hospital, the Rotary Building, Ball Residence Hall, Fessler Hall, Gatch Clinical Building, Long Hospital, and Coleman Hall north of West Michigan Street. South of West Michigan Street, the district includes the School of Dentistry, the Oral Health Institute and Campus Administration, university townhouses, the Ronald McDonald House, and the Center for Young Children. Several buildings address West Michigan Street in a monumental fashion, such as the School of Dentistry, with generous setbacks, colonnades, and symmetrical facades. The Indiana
University Hospital covers a large block within the district and embodies a structural density common among urban hospitals. Large surface parking lots and temporary metal structures also occupy significant portions of this area of campus. Architectural quality varies widely, from the very high quality facades of the 1930’s historic structures such as the Rotary Building, Ball Residences, and Riley Children’s Hospital, to the lesser quality one-story temporary metal buildings housing the IUPUI Chancellor and administrative offices. Large surface parking lots form the remaining character of development, south of West Michigan Street.

The existing Ball Garden forms the western edge of the district and is one of the most recognizable and memorable open spaces on the IUPUI campus. With design roots dating back to the Olmsted Brothers firm, this important green space signifies an important legacy of the area and its development.
Barnhill Drive has been extended north and south to create the major pedestrian corridor and internal axis of the district. Envisioned as a “Walk of Life”, the pedestrian corridor will link the West Campus District to the other districts outside of the academic campus as outlined in the Academic Medical Center Campus Plan. At the western edge of the district, Ball Gardens provides an ideal resource for both quiet reflection and recreation. The extension of the original green space from 10th Street south to the White River will reinforce the connection between the campus and the riverfront, enhancing access to this unique natural feature.

**Development Objectives**

- Define a new iconic and monumental campus gateway
- Promote further integration of the health sciences, medical, research, and academic uses

**Existing Qualities**

- Densely organized healthcare facilities with minimal integrated green space
- Varied architectural styles
- Singular monumental, historic green space – Ball Gardens
- Large surface parking lots and parking structures
- Temporary structures

**DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES**

The West Campus District encompasses the Health Sciences District (District A) of the Academic Medical Center Campus Master Plan. Future development in this district will focus on the medical and health sciences and integrating the hospital environment into the academic campus. Development includes academic, research, support services, and hospital expansion. The acquisition of the Wishard site provides an opportunity to create a prominent and monumental new gateway to the campus at 10th Street and University Boulevard.
• Reinforce the aesthetic identity
• Enhance and extend Ball Garden
• Engage the regional greenway system

BUILDING INITIATIVES
The West Campus District will include the Academic Health Sciences Center for the health professional schools and expansion to Riley Children’s Hospital. The Wishard site will be redeveloped for medical research and faculty office growth. A full description of their program is included in Volume 2 of this report, An Integrated Plan for the Academic Medical Center Campus.

Biomedical Research and Faculty Office Complex
Building masses of 4-6 stories will create a new gateway for the University and IU Health on Indiana Avenue and University Boulevard. Proposed faculty office buildings in this complex will provide the replacement space for demolition of Long Hospital and Gatch Clinical Building.

Integrated Health Sciences Education Complex
4-6 story footprints are proposed in phases to create a new Integrated Health Sciences Education complex for the School of Nursing, School of Health and Rehabilitative Services, and Department of Public Health, with space for School of Medicine functions. The realignment of Wishard Boulevard to the north allows room for this new complex and for a new Health Sciences quadrangle bounded by Walther Hall and existing research institutes on Walnut Street. Building facades and major building entries fronting the new Wishard Boulevard should incorporate a sense of scale, arrival, and transparency to engage the public realm of the street.

Cancer Research Facility
A new cancer research building adjacent to the Simon Cancer Center will provide future specialized research space in conjunction with the pursuit of an NCI Comprehensive Cancer Center designation for the IU Hospital. Its proximity to the hospital, medical education,
and other facilities will allow greater integration of research, patient care and education.

**School of Dentistry Expansion and Renovation**

Building sites shown flanking the existing School of Dentistry will allow for expansion of operatory clinics to the east, and phased renovation and redevelopment of the School of Dentistry, south of West Michigan Street, between Barnhill and Riley Drive. Demolition of portions of the existing School of Dentistry will create a new academic quadrangle within the complex. Where the building footprints approach Vermont Street, the ground floor should include active uses such as a food marche, cafe, and gathering spaces.

**Ronald McDonald House Expansion**

A potential expansion of the Ronald McDonald House for Children is shown just east of the existing facility, south of W. Michigan Street. This site is adjacent to the original house, and could take advantage of the open space and views of the Ball Garden extension.

**Parking Structures**

The Wilson Street Garage will be replaced by a new larger parking structure to serve Riley Hospital and new development in the Biomedical Research complex, bounded by W. 10th Street, a re-aligned Wilson Street entrance, and the new Wishard Boulevard. Ground floor retail or services uses are encouraged to help activate the western and southern street edges for pedestrians.

A new parking structure at the northwest corner of New York Street and Barnhill will replace several large surface lots as building projects are completed. The parking structure will be shielded from view by landscape and residential courtyards on Vermont Street and Ball Garden.

**RENOVATION INITIATIVES**

**Fesler Hall and School of Nursing**

As identified earlier, Fesler Hall and the School of Nursing building are in need of additional renovation. These buildings however are located where their conversion to faculty office use, rather than classroom use, would make sense...
as ancillary functions to the proposed Cancer Research facility and Simon Cancer Center.

**Van Nuys Medical Science Building**
This facility is the primary educational building for the IU School of Medicine. It is also at a critical location for medical education, and needs renovation to continue as an educational, faculty, and research facility.

**Primary Care Building, Wishard Site**
This facility, constructed in the 1990’s is in good condition and used for outpatient clinics and offices. It is proposed for renovation and repurposing to accommodate School of Medicine administrative functions moving out of Long Hospital and Gatch Clinical Building, and should be renovated accordingly.

**Ball Residence Hall and Rotary Building**
These two structures are historic and part of the fabric of the original Ball Gardens. Ball Residence Hall should be renovated and retained as a residence hall. The Rotary Building is a unique structure that could be renovated for smaller scale programs, offices, or institutes.

**OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES**

**Ball Garden**
The historic Ball Garden, designed by the Olmsted Brothers, serves as a model outdoor environment. The firm envisioned Ball Nurses’ Sunken Garden and Convalescent Park in 1929 as a therapeutic greenspace. The design included plantings, water features, and pathways conceived of as a healing space for visitors. Not all of these elements were carried through although the sunken gardens became a much-used, popular space for nursing students for three decades.

The area has lacked maintenance and there is now a plan in place for its revitalization. The themes which the Olmsted Brothers brought to this part of the IUPUI campus, which was originally envisioned to be 10 acres, will be assimilated into the organization of the new greenway system of the Ball Garden Extension precinct. These themes include outdoor space tightly defined by buildings, careful proportion of planting to open space, and dynamic natural forms contrasted with more rigid geometry.
The mature trees and well thought out landscape design form a pleasant oasis on campus. The gardens should be preserved, restored, and refurbished to achieve the original design intent.

**Ball Garden Extension Open Space**
A central open space aligned with the historic Ball Garden will become the unifying element of the district. Pedestrian-scaled streetscape elements, pathways, lawns, infiltration swales, and storm water treatment planters will unify the district and create an iconic open space for the IUPUI campus. Inclusion of intramural recreation fields are proposed as student housing is built out on campus.

**Riverfront**
It is important that the existing gardens successfully connect to the proposed garden extension and to Fall Creek and White River regional trail systems, through a series of pedestrian and bicycle paths. This connection will allow for better active and passive recreation use throughout the district, extending to White River State Park.
A refurbished riverfront park will be the front door for this area and will provide improved riverfront access for campus and city community members. Active recreational uses such as trails, paths, open lawns, and sport fields will terminate the Ball Garden extension as it meets the White River. New pedestrian walks and terraces will connect the street ends at Barnhill, Limestone, and Lansing to the riverfront and recreational trail.

**Quadrangles**
As new research, residential, and medical buildings are planned, their surrounding quadrangle and gathering space must be successfully developed. These spaces will unify the campus and create more livable and walkable pedestrian-scaled outdoor environments. Linked to the larger campus open space network by pedestrian walks and well-designed campus streetscapes.

**STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES**

**The Walk of Life**
Similar to the proposed Cultural Trail on the east end of campus, a new pedestrian promenade is proposed along the length of Barnhill Drive from W. 10th Street to W. New York Street and the riverfront. This new promenade, the “Walk of Life” is intended as a uniquely designed and branded streetscape that knits together IUPUI’s academic, healthcare, and research functions. Parts of it already exist in the form of the pedestrian mall and street closure of Barnhill Drive north of W. Michigan Street. Although Barnhill Drive needs to stay open to vehicular traffic for its remaining length, it should incorporate wide sidewalks, street trees, specialty paving, lighting, signage, and artwork to identify it as the Walk of Life corridor.

This unique streetscape design is intended to continue on W. 10th Street from IUPUI campus to Senate Boulevard, past the Canal District, up to the Neurosciences and Methodist districts of the Academic Medical Center Campus. Streetscape furniture, maps, mile markers,
interpretive features and other pedestrian amenities should be included to encourage healthy exercise and walking between districts.

**New Roadways**

Three new roadway projects are proposed for the build out of the West Campus: 1) the extension of Riley Drive to connect W. 10th Street to W. New York Street; 2) a re-aligned Wilson Street to directly connect W. 10th Street to Riley Hospital's emergency access drive and east entrance; and 3) a re-aligned Wishard Boulevard and new east-west street providing local circulation for the academic medical district from the VA Hospital to University Boulevard. Each of these streets will have different road characteristics depending on the expected volume of traffic, however, each should also be designed as high quality pedestrian-friendly streets, with appropriately scaled lighting, street trees, signage, and sidewalks.
INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES

Chilled Water System
The existing Wishard site will be supplied by a new underground chilled water main loop. A new underground chilled water main is also planned to be routed along Vermont Street. In addition, another new underground chilled water main will be routed along University Boulevard between New York and Vermont Streets.

Steam and Condensate System
The new CBP will be connected to the existing system by a new underground steam main to be routed along Vermont Street. A new underground steam main supplying the area of the existing Wishard site is planned to connect to the existing system at Barnhill and Walnut Streets. Another new underground telecom main is to be routed along New York Street.

Electrical System
New underground electrical mains will feed the old Wishard Memorial Hospital site from Substation A. A new underground main between Substations B and C is to be routed along Vermont Street.

Telecommunications System
A new underground telecom main is to be routed along Wishard and University Boulevards. Another new underground telecom main is to be routed along New York Street.

Water System
The water supply is adequate to serve both the domestic and fire protection systems; however, the results of flow and pressure tests may require distribution system upgrades to serve new facilities. This analysis will be needed once the design of new buildings begins.

Storm Water and Sanitary System
As new buildings are developed, infiltration facilities should be incorporated to increase the quality of the stormwater flowing further downstream. The existing buildings and parking lots should be analyzed to determine whether infiltration facilities can also be incorporated as surrounding development occurs. Due to its position in the watershed, detention facilities are not included for this district.

Separation of storm sewers from combined sewers should also occur whenever possible as development occurs. Since several of the existing combined sewer mains are deteriorated or undersized, this will also allow for the storm sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions.

Much of the existing sanitary sewer system exists as a combined sewer. During large rainfall events, the combined sewers overflow and discharge sewage into Fall Creek and the White River. Separation of the storm and sanitary sewers should occur whenever possible as development occurs. Several of the existing combined sewers are deteriorated or undersized. Separation will allow for the sanitary sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions. Separation will also reduce or eliminate the overflow of sewage into the natural water courses.
ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES
Several themes inform the development of new buildings in this district: outdoor space tightly defined by buildings, dynamic natural forms contrasted with more rigid geometries, the use of large expanses of glass especially at walls surrounding open spaces. In addition to glass, other primary building materials within the district will consist of brick, limestone, metal panel, terracotta panels, and limestone accents.

Biomedical Research Gateway Buildings
The 4-6 story buildings that comprise the biomedical research quadrangle on the Wishard site must leverage this prominent location to create a significant iconic gateway to campus, defining an emblematic university presence that is civic in scale. The building footprints should form a concave form to imply reception and cradle the entry. New quadrangles and courtyards are to provide a sense of public space, with views and landscape carefully configured to convey an impressive institutional quality appropriate for Indiana University. Entrances must be significant and scaled appropriately to convey monumentality and visibility. Construction materials should convey a sense of permanence and stability through the use of natural limestone. Brick masonry or terracotta panels should also be utilized to assimilate the new structures within the existing medical campus context. Transparent glazing should be leveraged to promote interest and to activate the ground floor uses that line new quadrangles and open spaces.

Dental School
The new School of Dentistry building planned for Barnhill Drive between West Michigan and Vermont Street must have a welcoming character with highly transparent façades along Barnhill and Vermont. The ground floor must be active and house dynamic portions of the building program. Active uses on the ground floor should address the Vermont Street edge with permeable and pedestrian-friendly façades. Construction materials will consist of brick, metal panel and glass. Architectural refinements and articulations may be of a finer scale and grain than those found elsewhere in the adjacent buildings.
Cancer Research Building
The cancer research building planned along Michigan Street must sympathetically extend the aesthetic established by the existing adjacent structures while also defining a fresh new image for this important new program expansion. The 4-6 story structure should maintain a similar setback north of Michigan Street, solidifying the street edge and defining a clear threshold to the medical campus. The building should be configured to form a formal courtyard along the northeastern quadrant of the site to reduce campus density and facilitate pedestrian circulation. The formal entrance should be located on Michigan Street adjacent to Clinic Drive, with a secondary primary entrance facing the formal courtyard. The base of the structure should support pedestrian permeability at multiple locations and convey a sense of transparency and openness. The building facades should be rendered with terracotta or brick masonry with significant limestone features along Michigan Street.

Integrated Health Sciences Education Buildings
The new facilities planned for Integrated Health Sciences Education will be located on internal campus sites, south of the realigned Wishard Boulevard. The new 4-6 story structures must assimilate to the established architectural context while also conveying a progressive new image appropriate for the programs they support. The new buildings should be configured to extend and link the existing research courtyards and align with the new street edge. Gaps should be provided between the structures to allow for convenient pedestrian circulation and connectivity. The north facing facades should relate to the Gateway Biomedical Research structures architecturally without competing. The south facing facades should fully integrate aesthetically with the existing research structures to provide a compelling unified campus context. Construction materials should be primarily terracotta or brick with minor limestone accents.

Parking Structures
The parking structure proposed at W. New York Street and Barnhill Drive will be shielded from view by other buildings along Vermont Street and Ball Gardens. The south and west facades, visible from New York Street, should incorporate glass or metal mesh screening devices to create an appropriate aesthetic visible to these important campus corridors.

Objectives
• Monumental research/academic structures that reinforce gateway
• Large scale transparent façades define open green spaces
• Flexible building formats to accommodate changing uses

Primary Materials
Mainly metal panel and glass around perimeter of quadrangles; brick, terra cotta panels, and metal panel and glass at other facades.
CAMPUS DISTRICTS

BUILDING INITIATIVES

01 Biomedical Research and Faculty Office Complex
02 Future Cancer Research
03 Glick Eye Institute expansion
04 Dental School expansion and renovation
05 Vermont Street housing
06 Parking structures
07 Future Integrated Health Sciences Education Building
08 New physical facilities service building
09 Riley Tower addition, long term
10 Ronald McDonald House expansion

RENOVATION INITIATIVES

11 Building Renovations
12 Renovation of Ball Residence Hall and Rotary Building

OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES

13 Existing Ball Garden
14 Ball Garden extension with pedestrian paths connecting to regional trail system

STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES

15 Recreation fields
16 Riverfront park and fields
17 New pedestrian walks to river
18 New quadrangles

INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES

19 New streets and streetscape design
20 Re-aligned entrance street
21 Walk of Life pedestrian promenade
22 New underground electrical mains
23 New underground steam mains
24 New underground telecom
25 New underground chilled water mains
26 Electrical Substation A

West Campus District

Recreation fields
Riverfront park and fields
New pedestrian walks to river
New quadrangles

STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES

New streets and streetscape design
Re-aligned entrance street
Walk of Life pedestrian promenade

INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES

New underground electrical mains
New underground steam mains
New underground telecom
New underground chilled water mains
Electrical Substation A
West Campus District Plan
DISTRICT 2: CENTRAL CORE

EXISTING CHARACTER

The Central Core parallels University Boulevard and extends from Lockfield Green to the White River. Several buildings including Cavanaugh Hall and the Lecture Hall define a pedestrian environment that is opaque, uncomfortable and difficult to navigate. Several structures present windowless façades and service areas along primary pedestrian and vehicular corridors. The large parking structures that are aligned along West Michigan Street and Vermont Street contribute to a negative pedestrian experience.

North of West Michigan Street, large hospital facilities and the University Hotel and Conference Center also promote a less than ideal pedestrian experience. The IUPUI Natatorium and athletic facilities to the south are similarly large structures that celebrate building mass and bulk with minimal street level articulation, transparency, or pedestrian orientation.

The new Campus Center, in contrast, is largely transparent along University Boulevard, West...
Michigan and Vermont Streets. It intentionally connects interior and exterior spaces and is an extremely active campus hub. The new IU Simon Cancer Center at the corner of University Boulevard and West Michigan Street has also added significant activity to the district.

**Existing Qualities**
- Large expanses of open space
- Vast surface parking lots and structured parking
- Few pedestrian oriented facades

**DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES**
The Central Core and University Boulevard will serve as the vibrant and active home for some of the most central functions of the University, including academics, administration, medical, research, health care, and student life. The district will have a coherent design character and its physical presence will embody the identity of IUPUI.

New development is planned for University Boulevard at Lockfield Green. This site will serve as a gateway to the campus core. The character of this development should work in tandem with the West Campus gateway site at Indiana Avenue and University Boulevard.

Particular emphasis is placed on creating active exterior spaces connected to visually exciting interior spaces. A ceremonial plaza at the intersection of University Boulevard and Vermont Street will establish this district’s architectural character. The plaza’s surrounding structures will be 4-6 stories in height and of an urban scale consistent with the Campus Center, the central feature of the plaza and of the entire district.

Ground level activity in particular will be prominently displayed throughout the district. Building and landscape design elements will encourage daily gathering as well as a wide variety of more formal University and civic events. The overall development is relatively dense consistent with that of its urban context, and the district will be characterized as the campus’ active academic hub.

**Development Objectives**
- Establish University Boulevard as a prominent campus gateway
- Encourage density and urban form with emphasis on the pedestrian experience
- Create a major public space and destination gathering space at the heart of campus
- Integrate research, medical and academic activities
- Reinforce the student experience
- Connect to the riverfront
- Create a unified distinctive architectural character.

**BUILDING INITIATIVES**

**Academic, Administrative, and Student Life Buildings**
New academic, administrative, and student life buildings will front University Boulevard and frame the edges of a new central campus plaza. Development of these buildings will provide replacement space for Cavanaugh Hall, the Lecture Hall, and Taylor Hall. Future development will be 4-6 stories in height and in scale with the existing Campus Center, the central feature of the plaza and of the
entire precinct. New building entrances will be prominently displayed and face University Boulevard and the plaza to further define and enhance the urban street wall and emphasize human scale while promoting gathering and activity.

Building uses will mainly include shared instructional space, academic departmental and administrative offices, student life facilities, as well as distributed community spaces including meeting rooms, lounges and cafes, to complement Campus Center functions. A Wellness and Academic Center containing health and fitness facilities and general instructional and academic spaces is one of the possible uses for new development fronting the central piazza. Replacements for the existing Lecture Hall and Cavanaugh Hall structures are recommended.

New undergraduate residential development along Vermont Street will be immediately adjacent to the plaza, signifying the integration of student life with the full range of University academic environments.

**South of W. New York Street**
To create visual connectivity to the riverfront park, it is recommended that the Michael A. Carroll Stadium grandstands be removed. The track will remain and be improved as part of the active recreational portion of the park.

**New Academic/Administrative Building on University Boulevard**
The district’s northern edge will be established by a new building and parking garage on University at Lockefield Green, highly visible from the major vehicular entrance to the campus at Indiana Avenue and University. The parking garage will be shared with the University Hospital. The new building at Lockefield Green may contain facilities for central University administration and will create a strong academic, institutional presence among the adjacent IU Hospital and University Hotel and Conference Center buildings.

**Parking Structures**
The new parking structure at University Boulevard and North Street will serve University
Hospital patients and visitors as well as members of the University community. The structure will be shielded from view along University Boulevard. Its southern edge along North Street should include a ground floor façade of potential retail or service uses, to help activate the street and screen views of the University Conference Center and Hotel. An adequate setback should be provided from the Lockefield Green residences to the north of the new garage.

RENOVATION INITIATIVES

**Natatorium**
As identified earlier, the Natatorium is a significant athletic venue on campus. It has had significant deferred maintenance issues and is in need of major renovation.

**Business SPEA and Technology Buildings**
Both buildings in the central academic core are in need of renovation, with labs and classrooms undersized for their use. The Business SPEA building is an unusual and dimension for its program, and should be considered for alternative uses should this program be relocated.

**OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES**

**Central Piazza**
The Central Piazza, conceived as the ceremonial and emblematic campus outdoor space at the intersection of University Boulevard and Vermont Street will unify the district and establish its character. The Piazza will be urban in nature and largely paved. Landscape design elements will encourage daily gathering as well as a wide variety of more formal University and civic events. The Piazza will be an academic crossroads, surrounded by mixed-use academic, office, recreation, and student services functions. With direct adjacencies to Vermont Street’s residential resources and athletic resources south of New York, the Central Piazza is envisioned as the memorable and iconic space on the IUPUI campus.

**Quadrangles**
The Master Plan proposes a tighter, more appropriately urban, pedestrian scale grid for the campus. New and renovated quadrangles should also be detailed and designed at a more pedestrian-oriented scale. The new outdoor spaces will create a collegiate atmosphere, encourage activity and interaction, and form part of a connected series of open spaces that define the Central Core and reference the larger campus framework.

**White River Park Development**
This system of small scale and pedestrian open spaces will connect with the development of an improved riverfront park directly south of New York Street. The park will be designed to encourage more formal and informal recreation, with paths that connect to the levee and regional trail system south of New York. A new artificial turf and multi-purpose recreation field along the river will become a new destination.

**University River Terrace**
A new pedestrian plaza/terrace space is proposed at the bend of University Boulevard and the White River. This terrace will serve as a gateway point of connection between regional public space and the campus, linking the White River and recreational trail to campus recreational uses.
New Arts Mall
Similar to the Ball Garden extension of the West Campus, a second major north south open space is proposed from the Wood Memorial Fountain and Plaza across New York Street to the NCAA headquarters and Hall of Fame. This broad mall will open up views and access from the center of the academic core directly to the cultural facilities on the Central Canal and the White River State Park. Strategic groves of trees and pedestrian spaces will break up the scale of the space into a series of outdoor rooms. The landscape is intended to be an urban, innovative, and artistic expression, with interpretive exhibits and public art in conjunction with the adjacent Herron School of Fine Arts.

Blake Street River Terrace
A second major pedestrian plaza/terrace at the riverfront is proposed at the terminus of the pedestrian promenade, by the future NCAA expansion. This easement and public space will provide both views and a direct connection to the terminus of the Central Canal at the White River.

STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES
Streetscape
W. Michigan and New York Streets
As part of the conversion and lane re-configuration of West Michigan and New York Street to two-way traffic, the pedestrian streetscape along these two major campus corridors should be reconsidered. A new streetscape design compatible with the staggered double row of trees established by the Zion and Breen Landscape Master Plan should be incorporated, with new pedestrian-scaled lighting and street trees between the sidewalk and the curb.
Consistent with West Michigan and New York Streets’ conversion to two-way streets, a denser grid system is recommended.
An important component of the denser grid system is the proposed extension of University Avenue south of New York Street. The extension will continue east-west in order to connect University to Blackford Street, unifying campus circulation and providing active street frontage for existing and proposed buildings.

**Blake Street Extension**
Blake Street is proposed as a street connection from New York Street to the new University Boulevard east-west extension. This will provide local access to facilities, and a front door for future development on the mall.

**Southern Entrance and Drop-off to Library**
A new driveway and drop-off is proposed south of University Library to provide better ADA access. Modification to the ground floor of the Library to accommodate a new southern entrance is also proposed.

**Mid-block Pedestrian Crosswalks**
Pedestrian mid-block crossings on Michigan, New York, and North Streets are proposed to help facilitate pedestrian movement through the super block of the academic core. Clearly marked pedestrian crossings will utilize potential medians in Michigan and New York as part of their redesign and conversion to two-way streets.

**INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES**

**Chilled Water System**
A new underground chilled water main loop is to supply the area of the existing Wishard site. In addition, a new underground chilled water main is planned to be routed along University Boulevard from W. New York Street to Vermont Street.

**Steam and Condensate System**
Wishard Boulevard is proposed to receive a new underground steam main to supply the area of the existing Wishard site.

**Electrical System**
The new underground electrical main planned to supply the area of the existing Wishard site.
is to be routed from Substation A along Walnut Street, then north, west of University Boulevard. Another new underground electrical main is to be routed north from Substation C, proceeding along New York Street to reach Blackford Street to the east and University Boulevard to the west.

Telecommunications System
A new underground telecom is to be routed from Barnhill and Walnut Streets to Blackford and Michigan Streets. University Boulevard and New York Street are also planned to have new underground telecom routed along them.

Water System
The water supply is adequate to serve both the domestic and fire protection systems; however, the results of flow and pressure tests may require distribution system upgrades to serve new facilities. This analysis will be needed once the design of new buildings begins.

Storm Water and Sanitary System
As new buildings are developed, infiltration facilities should be incorporated to increase the quality of the stormwater flowing further downstream. The existing buildings and parking lots should be analyzed to determine whether infiltration facilities can also be incorporated as surrounding development occurs. Due to its position in the watershed, detention facilities are not included for this district.

Separation of storm sewers from combined sewers should also occur whenever possible as development occurs. Since several of the existing combined sewer mains are deteriorated or undersized, this will also allow for the storm sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions.

Separation of the storm and sanitary sewers should occur whenever possible as development occurs. Several of the existing combined sewers are deteriorated or undersized. Separation will allow for the sanitary sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions. Separation will also reduce or eliminate the overflow of sewage into the natural water courses.

ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES
The Piazza will establish the Central Core’s architectural expression. Building and landscape design elements will encourage daily gathering as well as a wide variety of more formal University and civic events. The Piazza’s surrounding structures will be 4-6 stories in height and of an urban scale consistent with the existing Campus Center.

The district will have a unified, coherent academic character and its physical presence will embody the identity of IUPUI. Building materials will consist mainly of Indiana limestone and large expanses of glass especially at ground level to connect interior and exterior activity. The General Design Guidelines for Active Spaces apply particularly to the development of this district. Building entrances should be oriented to the Piazza. The character
of the buildings that surround the Piazza should continue that established by the Campus Center which will remain a strong visual focus. Limestone building masses with punched openings should form a lively contrast with glass building forms and surfaces.

Each structure should be vertically integrated, containing a deliberate mix of functions. Interdepartmental interaction should be encouraged. Multi-level places for interaction at building entries and on upper floors should form glassy design features both at the exterior and within the interiors. While common interaction spaces may be fixed elements of the design, other program areas should be designed to anticipate future reconfiguration and evolving uses.

In addition to shaping the Piazza, building design should activate University Boulevard and define it as the academic “main street.” New buildings, in marked contrast to Cavanaugh Hall and its windowless expanses and service areas, will be oriented toward University, with a high degree of visual connections between exterior and interior activity. Entrances will be prominently displayed and transition spaces including colonnades and covered exterior spaces will emphasize human scale and promote gathering and activity.

The new building on University at Lockefield Green will create a strong academic, institutional presence among the adjacent University Hospital buildings and University Hotel and Conference Center. Like the gateway buildings at Indiana Avenue and University Boulevard, Its materials should consist of limestone and glass, and the building should be considered a monumental, emblematic University landmark.

The Central Core will contain a variety of structures housing a variety of functions. Visual unity will be created by a relatively unified palette of materials – mainly limestone and glass – and an emphasis on creating active exterior spaces connected to visually exciting interior spaces.

Objectives
• Vibrant outdoor spaces

Primary Materials
• Mainly limestone and glass for academic buildings
• Brick, metal panel with limestone accents for residential buildings

Drachmann Hall, University of Arizona, SmithGroupJJR
BUILDING INITIATIVES

01 Academic buildings
02 Academic/administrative building
03 Student recreation and classroom building
04 Vermont Street housing
05 Iconic tower/campanile
06 Future liner residential buildings
07 New parking garages

RENOVATION INITIATIVES

08 Renovation of Natatorium
09 Renovation of School of Engineering and Technology, Business SPEA

OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES

10 Central Piazza
11 Quadrangles
12 White River paths and active recreation uses
13 University River Terrace
14 Arts Mall
15 Blake Promenade

STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES

16 Blake Street River Terrace
17 University Boulevard extension
18 Blake Street extension
19 Southern entrance and Library drop-off
20 Mid-block crossings

INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES

21 New underground electrical main
22 New underground steam main
23 New underground telecom
24 New underground chilled water main
DISTRICT 3: CULTURAL TRAIL-BLACKFORD STREET

EXISTING CHARACTER

The Cultural-Trail Blackford Street district follows the Blackford Street corridor, running north-south between Indiana Avenue and the canal, west of West Street.

The area currently contains academic buildings including Engineering and Science and Technology. The Herron School of Art and Design occupies the successfully renovated former School of Law building south of New York Street.

West Street forms the district’s eastern edge. Physically as well as symbolically, West Street establishes the interface between the campus and the Indianapolis urban core. The new School of Law building and Informatics and Communications Technology Complex (ICTC) are gateway structures that convey a monumental civic presence for the University along West Street. Large parking lots however diminish this area’s visual quality.

The scale of the spaces between buildings is very
large. Pedestrian circulation patterns are not defined by the building infrastructure and lack coherence. Buildings appear as independent entities rather than linked related facilities that work together to form a cohesive campus environment. The southern portion of the district is defined by Indianapolis’s primary cultural area, with major cultural attractions that include the Indiana State Museum and NCAA Hall of Champions.

**Existing Qualities**
- Variety of building forms
- Lack of coherent circulation patterns
- Vast outdoor spaces
- West Street gateway, IUPUI civic identity
- Major cultural attractions adjacent

**DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES**
The Cultural Trail – Blackford Street district will be a dynamic, engaging urban environment, containing a mix of facilities to serve the University and Indianapolis communities. The district will form a coherent campus edge and provide a positive identity at this important city interface.

New developments will fundamentally connect the University to its urban setting and will eliminate existing physical and perceived barriers. A nexus of campus and community life, the pedestrian-oriented district will contain a wide variety of academic, cultural, retail, housing, and civic amenities. There are several opportunities for establishing new connections, partnerships, and civic and University relationships, utilizing the Cultural Trail as a catalyst for development.

**Development Objectives**
- Establish mixed-use facilities along Indiana Avenue between West and Blackford
- Celebrate and embrace the Cultural Trail along Blackford
- Develop infill sites to create more appropriately scaled spaces
- Foster connectivity to the White River State Park cultural district

**BUILDING INITIATIVES**
*Indiana Avenue and Blackford Street*
The northern edge will be established by new buildings within the Indiana Avenue cultural district. The Master Plan proposes a mixed-use development on the block at the intersection of Indiana Avenue and West Street across from the Madame Walker Theater. Redevelopment of this formerly grand avenue will engage the community and provide desirable retail and commercial amenities. Directly opposite the Madame Walker Theatre sits the Sigma Theta Tau Center for Nursing Scholarship. Currently, the main entry to this building is from the surrounding parking and opposite the public avenue. The surface parking will be transformed to green space and drop-off, and the Sigma Theta Tau building should be retro-fitted to front Indiana Avenue.

Development along Indiana and Blackford represents an opportunity to collaboratively realize the planning vision at the urban scale. Design of new buildings and public spaces will integrate new facilities and academic space into an evolving city cultural district. The intersection of Blackford Street and Indiana Avenue will mark an important gateway entry. Large parking structures will be placed at the interior of the blocks to serve visitors, residents, and members of the campus community. Development along Indiana Avenue will extend from West Street to Blackford Street with retail, commercial, and residential uses concentrated in the vicinity.

Academic University Buildings
Blackford Street will include a number of new academic buildings. Highly active functions should be located at their ground floors when possible to further engage Indiana Avenue’s mixed-use environment.

New science labs and engineering buildings will be located at the northwest corner of New York, West Michigan, and Blackford Streets. The building footprint creates an urban street wall condition that encourages a pedestrian-friendly sidewalk.

A new academic site will anchor the southern stretch of the Blackford Street-Cultural Trail,
immediately south of the School of Art. Located adjacent to the arts district, this site provides the opportunity for a unique, iconic structure that engages the street and the larger context. The new buildings will complement the major cultural and recreational destinations at White River State Park. The Tennis Center currently on site will be relocated off of the main campus, making more seamless connections between the University and White River State Park possible.

**North West Street**
The eastern edge of the district is defined by West Street, a primary gateway to the IUPUI campus especially for visitors to the University. A busy traffic corridor between major interstate highways, West Street is also the primary address for governmental, cultural and civic institutions. The Indiana Government Center, Indiana Historical Society, Eiteljorg Museum and Military Park are immediately proximate to the University along its West Street edge. Physically as well as symbolically, West Street establishes the interface between the campus and the Indianapolis urban core.

Future building sites in this area include the northwest corner of West Michigan and West Streets envisioned as appropriate for a future professional school and the site near the current intersection of Vermont and California Streets identified for future academic or administrative uses. Several characteristics of future structures are anticipated by the design of Inlow hall, the School of Law, and by the Informatics and Communications Technology Complex (ICTC). These characteristics include setbacks, materials and specific elements and design features intended to convey a monumental civic presence.
for the University along the West Street corridor.

Parking Structures
A new parking structure has been constructed at the interior of the block between Indiana Avenue, Blackford Street and West Michigan Street. The setbacks provided will allow future mixed use office, retail, and academic buildings along their perimeter that will screen the garage from view. Future expansion of the new parking garage to the north will also accommodate increased parking demands in the area.

In addition, underground structured parking is envisioned to serve the academic and University buildings in the area of the ICTC and Inlow Hall.

OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES
Mid-Block Pedestrian Environment and Plaza
In conjunction with the urban streetscape on Indiana Avenue, a smaller urban space and pocket park is proposed at the terminus of California Street to create a break in the street wall of Indiana Avenue, provide views to the Walker Theater, and facilitate pedestrian movement. This plaza is proposed as an intimate scale urban space with pervious pavement, landscape, and high quality site furnishings and amenities.

Academic Quadrangles
New academic quadrangles will be defined by future academic buildings along Blackford Street and should be detailed and designed at a pedestrian-oriented scale. The new outdoor spaces will create a collegiate atmosphere, encourage activity and interaction, and form part of a connected series of open spaces that complement the terraces at Inlow Hall and ICTC. They can also be utilized for innovative urban rain gardens to treat local storm water run-off.

STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES
Indiana Avenue Streetscape
The streetscape on Indiana Avenue is envisioned as a classic urban streetscape, defined by a strong streetwall of new development with retail and active ground floor uses, encouraged to spill out onto the street. Broad sidewalks, a high quality of finish and level of detail, street trees, pedestrian scale lighting, and street furniture will re-establish this district as a lively commercial environment. Innovative design that supports sustainable measures such as porous pavement and small scale infiltration planters should also be included.

Blackford Street-Cultural Trail
Blackford Street is identified as the campus route of the Indianapolis Cultural Trail, an urban walk and bike route that strategically connects districts, cultural facilities, and
entertainment amenities throughout the city. The Cultural Trail is made possible by a public and private collaboration led by the Central Indiana Community Foundation, the City of Indianapolis and several not-for-profit organizations devoted to building a better city. The organization has developed landscape and materials guidelines for specific streetscape improvements. These urban enhancements will unify the Cultural Trail as it passes through IUPUI, establishing its distinctive character. Construction of the Cultural Trail is scheduled to begin in summer of 2011, within the larger campus and city framework.

**Campus Arrival and Drop-Off at N. West Street**

A more formal entry space and vehicular drop-off is proposed where Vermont Street enters campus from West Street. A similar high quality landscape design of the West Street campus edge should be carried through this new arrival point.

**INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES**

**Chilled Water System**

A new underground chilled water main is to be routed north from W. New York Street along Blackford Street, and extending to North Street. A new underground branch main is proposed to be routed east from Blackford Street along Michigan Street to California Street, then north.

**Steam and Condensate System**

New underground steam mains are proposed to be routed along Blackford Street to the Lockefield Green area. In addition, a new underground branch main is to be routed from Blackford and Michigan Streets east to California, then north.

**Electrical System**

New York Street is proposed as the location for a new underground electrical main that is to be routed east along this roadway. The electrical main will then turn north to run along Blackford Street, then east along Michigan Street, and north along California Street.
Telecommunications System
A new underground telecom is planned to be routed east along North Street and south along Blackford Street to Michigan Street.

Water System
The water supply is adequate to serve both the domestic and fire protection systems; however, the results of flow and pressure tests may require distribution system upgrades to serve new facilities. This analysis will be needed once the design of new buildings begins.

Storm Water and Sanitary System
As new buildings are developed, infiltration facilities should be incorporated to increase the quality of the stormwater flowing further downstream. The existing buildings and parking lots should be analyzed to determine whether infiltration facilities can be incorporated as surrounding development occurs. Due to its position in the watershed, detention facilities are not included for this district.

Separation of storm sewers from combined sewers should also occur whenever possible as development occurs. Since several of the existing combined sewer mains are deteriorated or undersized, it will allow for storm sewers to be updated to account for existing conditions. Much of the existing sanitary sewer system exists as a combined sewer. During large rainfall events, the combined sewers overflow and discharge sewage into Fall Creek and the White River. Separation of the storm and sanitary sewers should occur whenever possible as development occurs. Several of the existing combined sewers are deteriorated or undersized. Separation will allow for the sanitary sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions. Separation will also reduce or eliminate the overflow of sewage into the natural water courses.

ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES
The Cultural Trail district will be a dynamic urban environment with an engaging architectural character. Its design will create nexus of campus and community life.

New buildings will serve as a civic interface and gateway to the University. Building massing of 4-6 stories should form a unified street-wall datum, within which a variation of materials and treatments will create a lively urban texture. A diversity of architectural expression should reinforce a coherent whole.

Buildings should reinforce the street and encourage activity with transparent storefront treatment at the ground level. Large parking structures at the interior of the blocks will be concealed along street edges by the new mixed-use structures. Materials to include brick, stone, glass and metal panel should be durable and convey a sense of permanence and quality.

The intersection of Blackford Street and Indiana Avenue should be celebrated with a symbolic vertical element, possibly a sign, sculpture, tower or vertically extended building form.

Buildings along Blackford Street should continue the pattern established at Indiana Avenue, however more academic or University facilities may be visually signaled by
incorporating more limestone within the overall palette of materials and transparent storefront treatments should be maintained. Ground floor uses may contain active centers and institutes. The configuration of the future science and research building at New York and Blackford Streets should provide a sense of enclosure and serve to create a more appropriate human scale for the active University Library fountain plaza. Materials for the laboratory complex should include limestone and glass.

Additional material selections may include brick and metal panel to reference its position in the Cultural Trail district.

In the long term, the building site south of Herron School of Art’s Eskenazi Hall provides an opportunity for a significant institutional or cultural facility. This block should encourage more signature architecture, with the east facade designed to relate to Military Park and create a striking civic presence. The west façade should relate to and reinforce a newly configured Arts Mall, extending from the National Institute for Fitness and Sport and new NCAA garage to the south, to the University library to the north, forming a visual connection to the Library’s Fountain Plaza. Buildings in the cultural district are each objects meant to be viewed from all sides. The new development should equal its neighbors and be a unique, iconic structure.

The new building at the northwest corner of West and West Michigan Streets should form a relationship with the ICTC to establish a formal gateway to the University. The ICTC’s design orients two square tower elements toward the intersection, suggesting that the new building completes the gesture by incorporating a related building form. The new building should also follow the height datum and setback along West Street established by the existing structures. Its materials should consist of limestone and glass. Entrances should be monumental features, surrounded by porches or colonnades, contributing to an institutional character.

Inlow Hall and ICTC will create symmetrical bookends for the new building at the current intersection of Vermont and California Streets. This new building’s dominant form should appear as a strong object and formal symbol. Subsidiary building masses and elements like colonnades and porches should frame and directly relate to a formal lawn, together creating a distinguished and stately setting. Building materials should be mainly limestone and glass. This building will also occupy a significant frontage along Blackford Street within the Cultural Trail District and will perform a dual role. When viewed and approached from the west, its materials, character, and relationship to landscape elements may form a more direct relationship to surrounding structures. The setback along Blackford Street will create a space that should be developed as an intimately-scaled urban courtyard.
The Cultural Trail Precinct will be a vibrant urban development, establishing new connections, partnerships, and civic and University relationships. Embracing a deliberate mix of functions and a particularly urban design sensibility for both street-wall and iconic new buildings will create a landmark neighborhood, integrating the University with its Indianapolis context.

**Objectives**
- Celebrate the scale of the street
- Buildings to contain a mix of uses with active ground floors
- Link to civic and cultural activities
- Reinforce West Street as IUPUI’s civic interface

**Primary Materials**
- Lively mix of materials at Blackford Street and Indiana Avenue: brick, terra cotta panel, metal panel, glass, limestone accents
- Primarily limestone and glass for University academic and administrative buildings

**BUILDING INITIATIVES:**
- Mixed use residential, commercial and office buildings
- Academic buildings
- Special Use
- Proposed above grade parking structures
- Proposed below grade parking structures

**OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES**
- Academic quadrangles

**STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES**
- Blackford Street-Cultural Trail
- Indiana Avenue streetscape
- Campus Arrival and Drop-Off at N. West Street
- Mid-block pedestrian crosswalks

**INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES**
- New underground electrical main
- New underground steam main
- New underground telecom
- New underground chilled water main
DISTRICT 4: VERMONT STREET

EXISTING CHARACTER

Vermont Street currently serves as a parking corridor. To the west, the newly constructed West Campus Apartments on the Riverwalk offer 750 beds in apartment-style one, two, and four bedroom units. The design of these existing apartments creates a series of connected objects in an arrangement more typically found at the urban periphery or suburbs rather than at the central downtown urban core.

Existing Qualities
- Surface parking lots, parking garages
- Campus apartments on the Riverwalk
DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

IUPUI is in a rapid process of development and transformation. To realize its goal of becoming a preferred undergraduate institution the IUPUI will build new undergraduate housing facilities on campus.

Traditionally commuter-based with notable graduate and professional programs, IUPUI has identified the strategic goal of becoming a preferred undergraduate institution. In order to implement this goal the master plan identifies opportunities for graduate and undergraduate housing facilities for the future. The recently constructed apartments on the River walk opened in 2009 and provide approximately 750 of the existing stock of approximately 1,100 beds. The next phase of residential development is expected to add approximately 2,000 new beds in the Vermont Street precinct. The residential units will contain suites, traditional undergraduate dorms, and both single and double loaded corridors. The new residential development is envisioned as a uniquely urban environment, tied to its Indianapolis context and contributing to a compelling and unique undergraduate experience.

Development Objectives

- Develop Vermont as an import east-west pedestrian connection
- Create a vibrant urban street with a variety of residential types and a wide variety of first floor services and amenities
- Encourage and foster the student life experience within the proposed streetscape and building designs
- Create opportunities for enhanced gathering space

BUILDING INITIATIVES

Vermont Street Residential Units

Residential configurations will recognize differing needs of IUPUI’s diverse student population. Consistent with an overall trend for market-style apartments, one arrangement will be in suites containing various number of individual bedrooms with shared living rooms, kitchens and bathrooms. At the same time the development could contain traditional
undergraduate dormitory configurations, with double-loaded corridors between individual and shared bedroom/living rooms and larger shared bathrooms, lounges and amenities.

The new units will be arranged in a dense “alley-like” configuration consisting of structures 3-5 stories in height. This arrangement will create a pedestrian-oriented residential space that weaves through the campus, from the existing campus housing at Limestone Street to the west through to the University Library in the core campus. Rather than an isolated district, the residential precinct will deliberately connect to areas that predominately contain academic, recreational and student life facilities. The design approach recognizes and celebrates undergraduate experience as an integrated continuum.

Vermont Street residential development should form a unified linear development, eventually concealing the Barnhill Drive garage and Vermont Street garage from view.

The residential buildings will contain spaces for gathering including study rooms and seminar rooms especially at their ground floors. Ground floors will also contain small-scale recreational amenities and retail, including cafés, student-run shops, and convenience stores. Retail uses should be concentrated at the corners of street intersections in typical district fashion.
**Tower**
The relatively consistent and smaller scaled street wall established along Vermont will be punctuated by a tower component at Vermont’s termination at the existing Business/SPEA Building. Similar to a campanile and piazza, the tower will be a bell tower or other element of similar scale and relationship. Placed at Vermont Street’s mid-section near the center of the campus, the tower element provides a visual marker and a link to the Indianapolis tower skyline in the near distance, visually bridging the distance between campus and downtown.

**OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES**

**Academic Quadrangles**
Several new academic quadrangles will be formed by Vermont Street residential buildings and new academic buildings within other campus districts. These spaces will provide opportunities for informal recreational uses adjacent to the student residences. The design of the building facades that address these spaces may vary from those developed along Vermont Street. Their design should recognize their primary role in defining and enclosing outdoor space, and acknowledge the immediate adjacency of academic buildings with a much different scale and use.

**STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES**

**Vermont Streetscape**
While certain stretches of Vermont Street including the area between University Boulevard and Business/SPEA will remain or be closed to vehicular traffic, the area from just west of the Campus Center to Limestone Street will remain open, however the new configuration will discourage significant vehicular volume. Vermont Street is mainly intended for local, residential traffic.

The existing parking garages in the vicinity of Barnhill Drive and Vermont will remain and their faces along Vermont will be 'veneered' by new residential buildings. The garage entries on Vermont should be studied for the possibility of consolidation or relocation onto W. New York and Michigan Streets.
Vermont Street will be an extremely distinctive place contributing a unique urban character to the University. Its layout follows the overall planning principles established by the Master Plan, creating a clear east-west pedestrian corridor punctuated by the Ball Gardens Extension Precinct, the Undergraduate Core, and the Cultural Trail, each running north—south. Vermont Street will be a true district, a place of interaction fostering memorable human connections, the heart of campus life and of the IUPUI campus.

**INFRASTRUCTURE INITIATIVES**

**Chilled Water System**
A new underground chilled water main is to be routed along Vermont Street. In addition, a new underground chilled water main is also to be routed along University Boulevard between New York and Vermont Streets.

**Steam and Condensate System**
The proposed new CBP will be connected to the existing system via a new underground steam main to be routed along Vermont Street.
Another new underground steam main is to be routed north along Barnhill Drive from Vermont Street.

**Electrical System**
A new underground electrical main will be routed along Vermont Street between Substations B and C.

**Telecommunications System**
New underground telecom to be routed south along Limestone Street to New York Street.

**Water System**
The water supply is adequate to serve both the domestic and fire protection systems; however, the results of flow and pressure tests may require distribution system upgrades to serve new facilities. This analysis will be needed once the design of new buildings begins.

**Storm Water and Sanitary System**
As new buildings are developed, infiltration facilities should be incorporated to increase the quality of the stormwater flowing further downstream. The existing buildings and parking lots should be analyzed to determine whether infiltration facilities can also be incorporated as surrounding development occurs. Due to its position in the watershed, detention facilities are not included for this district.

Separation of storm sewers from combined sewers should also occur whenever possible as development occurs. Since several of the existing combined sewer mains are deteriorated or undersized, this will also allow for the storm sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions.

Much of the existing sanitary sewer system exists as a combined sewer. During large rainfall events, the combined sewers overflow and discharge sewage into Fall Creek and the White River. Separation of the storm and sanitary sewers should occur whenever possible as development occurs. Several of the existing combined sewers are deteriorated or undersized. Separation will allow for the sanitary sewers to be updated and adequately sized to account for existing conditions. Separation will also reduce or eliminate the overflow of sewage into the natural water courses.
ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES
The Vermont Street residential development is envisioned as a uniquely urban environment arranged in a dense “alley-like” configuration. Building forms will be of an urban ‘townhouse’ scale. The overall image will be of development over time, created with a deliberate mix of materials and architectural expression, and intentional dynamism. Predominant materials for the sidewalk, buildings and street paving will be brick, in a varied color palette to provide variety and human scale. The relatively uniform building height will establish unity which should be extended with a regular rhythm of fenestration and pattern of small and large scale elements. Expanses of relatively solid walls with smaller punched openings will be contrasted by large glass openings and bay windows at living rooms, lounges, and activity spaces.

Landscape and streetscape elements including furniture, lighting, trees and plantings will significantly contribute to the district’s neighborhood character. Building elements including stoops, porches, overhangs and balconies will be similarly employed to connect interior to exterior and encourage the perception of the street as an outdoor living room, a true neighborhood and place of activity and interaction.

Vermont Street will contribute a uniquely urban architectural character, an environment that fosters memorable human connections at the fundamental core of the IUPUI campus experience.

Objectives
• Dense urban residential neighborhood
• Variety and vitality within relatively uniform street-wall datum
• Solid walls with punched openings contrasted with large glass openings/bay windows
• Architectural configurations that encourage gathering: stoops, porches, balconies, overhangs.

Primary Materials
Brick, with glass and metal panel, and limestone accents.

Proposed Vermont Street Streetscape Massing
CAMPUS DISTRICTS

Proposed Vermont Street District

BUILDING INITIATIVES
01 Mixed use residential units along Vermont
02 Residential units along Vermont

OPEN SPACE OPPORTUNITIES
04 Central Piazza
05 Academic Quadrangles

STREETSCAPE OPPORTUNITIES
06 Vermont Streetscape

INFRASTRUCTURE OPPORTUNITIES
07 New underground electrical main
08 New underground steam main
09 New underground telecom
10 New underground chilled water main
DISTRICT 5: CANAL DISTRICT

EXISTING CHARACTER
The Canal District is located at the terminus of the Indianapolis Canal Walk and extends from 11th Street to West St. Clair Street.

The Canal Walk serves the downtown community as a waterside promenade for walkers, runners, bikers and sightseers and spans from the Riverfront Park to 11th Street.

Much of the canal immediately south of the district is lined by residential developments. Significant new office, research and incubator buildings surround the canal terminus and define a progressive and forward leaning design aesthetic. Large surface parking lots and light industrial/warehouse buildings occupy the area east of the canal along Senate Avenue.

The area west of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street between 10th and 11th Streets lacks...
density and consistency of character. Currently, the area is occupied by a one-story commercial strip mall development, a walk-up style apartment building, and single family homes.

Existing Qualities
- Fragmented remnants of original historic urban context
- Strong progressive aesthetic among new structures
- Consistent quality aesthetic along interior canal corridor
- Inconsistent character along canal perimeter
- Large expanses of surface parking

 DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES
The Canal District is part of the Integrated Plan for the Academic Medical Center Campus. It links Precinct A within the IUPUI campus with the Methodist Hospital Districts to the north. The Canal District provides for a mixed-use development of office expansion, incubator research and adjacent privately developed retail and office.

A new people mover station and parking garage planned for the parcel between 10th and 11th Streets, west of Martin Luther King Drive, will alleviate parking demand and allow for development elsewhere on campus. Retail, residential apartments, and office space along 10th Street will activate the street level and screen the bulk of the structure.

Development Objectives
- Continue the established progressive aesthetic character
- Increase density and re-establish an urban neighborhood fabric
- Promote physical and visual connectivity with other parts of campus
- Convey a unique IUPUI image and identity

BUILDING INITIATIVES
IU Health administrative functions will occupy a second phase office building, Fairbanks Hall II, between 10th and 11 Streets, adjacent to the existing Fairbanks Hall. A research incubator zone between the canal and Senate Boulevard, from 10th Street to St. Clair will allow consolidation and future expansion of incubator research facilities related to the School of Medicine research programs for private public partnerships in biomedical start-ups and accelerator laboratories. Administrative functions for IU Health will be retained within the existing Gateway Building on Illinois and 10th Street. A new parking garage with retail frontage will be constructed on 10th Street to serve the building and allow for future growth of the district.
A new mixed use parking garage and people mover station is proposed west of Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Drive. This development is proposed with a liner apartment building on the south side of the garage, with retail and a potential grocery store at the ground floor level. Separate entry drives on its east and west facades will help stage vehicles off of 10th and 11th Streets.

OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES

Quadrangles
Small courtyards and quadrangles are planned for the emerging research incubator area east of the Canal Walk, both along the river and internal to the blocks. These outdoor spaces will provide a common amenity for a development of smaller private research start-up and incubator companies. Addressing the Canal Walk with appropriate architectural detail, transparency, lighting, and landscape to create a front door on the Canal will be important to harmonize with adjacent residential development.

Gateway
The intersection of W. 11th and 10th Streets with the I-65 freeway on and off ramps is an important regional gateway and entry into the IUPUI and IU Health campus and academic medical district. This is a large, vehicle-oriented and congested intersection. Initial studies have been done to create a signature gateway object at this site. Further design should be explored that will identify the district, provides for basic wayfinding, and bring a sense of campus identity to this gateway, without adding to the confusion or visual clutter.

‘Freeway’ Park
The Integrated Plan for the Academic Medical Center Campus proposes site improvements under the I-65 overpass to create a more inviting environment for pedestrians walking between the Canal District and the Neurosciences District. Establishing a buffer between parked cars and sidewalks, screening, and creative lighting solutions would improve the visual quality and perceived safety of this area.

STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES

The Walk of Life
The streetscape design of the “Walk of Life” is intended as a uniquely designed and branded streetscape that knits together IUPUI’s academic, healthcare, and research functions. It is proposed to continue on W. 10th Street from the IUPUI peninsula campus to Senate Boulevard, past the Canal District, and up to the Neurosciences and Methodist districts of the Academic Medical Center Campus. The Walk of Life will also tie in the proposed mixed use parking garage on W. 10th and W. 11th Streets west of Martin Luther King Jr. Drive. Where possible, sidewalks should be widened to include street trees, specialty paving, lighting, signage, and artwork to identify it as the Walk...
of Life corridor. Streetscape furniture, maps, mile markers, interpretive features and other pedestrian amenities should be included to encourage healthy exercise and walking between districts.

**W. 11th Street**
As the westbound leg of the one-way pair for east-west travel, streetscape improvements are also proposed on W. 11th Street to enhance the pedestrian environment. Consistent street tree planting and pedestrian-scale street lighting at a minimum should be incorporated.

**ARCHITECTURAL GUIDELINES**
The Canal District serves as a vital link between the IUPUI main campus and the medical districts to the north. A wide variety of existing character and uses require that the new development be sensitive and responsive to its context.

A strong aesthetic quality and precedence exists among the newer buildings at the canal terminus. Fairbanks Hall and The Health Information and Translational Sciences Building are an eclectic combination of brick masonry, metal panel and glass curtainwall. The architectural scale of these structures has been broken down through effective shifts in material selection and massing. The new development directly east of the terminus sympathetically mimics these qualities very successfully. The massing, language, scale and materiality of all future development should also reflect a similar aesthetic approach.

To the south, the research incubator zone will front the canal on its east and Senate Boulevard on its west. The two-story structures should be predominantly brick and glass. Along the canal, the new building will sit directly opposite residential townhomes. Similar to the existing building directly to the north, the existing topography should be used to minimize the scale of the building at the canal. The incubator buildings are organized around an interior park. To promote collaboration and activity, facades facing the park should be predominantly glass with multiple opportunities for connections across the park.

West of Doctor Martin Luther King Junior Street, the additional density created by the new garage and residential and retail frontage will be minimized to respond to the surrounding residential context. Upper levels of the residential and retail frontage will be a combination of brick and metal panel to break down the façade. Glass openings shall be regular and articulated for apartment typologies giving way to large expanses of glass at the ground level. The street level will provide a friendly pedestrian environment along 10th Street by providing brick paving and canopies. The exposed faces of
the garage shall utilize screens and articulation to disguise the function and reduce the overall scale of the structure. Materiality of the garage facades shall be architectural-finish concrete and metal panel. Color and integrated green screens shall be considered to provide vibrancy and interest.

**Objectives**
- Increase pedestrian activity
- Reinforce urban context and environment
- Unify divergent architectural styles

**Primary Materials**
Brick with metal panel and glass.

**BUILDING INITIATIVES:**
- **01** Proposed People Mover station and mixed use parking structure
- **02** Proposed Incubator Partnerships
- **03** Fairbanks Hall Phase II and parking garage
- **04** Building renovations for IUSOM research and surgery space

**OPEN SPACE INITIATIVES**
- **05** Freeway Park
- **06** Proposed Courtyards

**STREETSCAPE INITIATIVES**
- **07** Walk of Life Streetscape Improvements on 10th Street and Senate Boulevard
- **08** W. 11th Street Streetscape Improvements
Canal District Plan

KEY

- Existing Building
- Building Opportunity
- Parking Opportunity
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